GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2007

(July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007)

Federal Project ID Number: FAP 02410(44)M

CFDA Numbers:
20.205 - Highway Planning and Construction - Federal Highway Administration
20.505 - Federal Transit Technical Studies Grant (Metropolitan Planning) - Federal Transit Administration

FDOT Financial Project ID- 214094-5-14-01

Approved by the

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization
For The Gainesville Urbanized Area
2009 NW 67th Place, Suite A
Gainesville, Florida 32653

Rodney J. Long, Chair

May 2, 2006

GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

FISCAL YEAR 2007

(July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007)

Prepared by:

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization
For The Gainesville Urbanized Area
2009 NW 67th Place, Suite A
Gainesville, Florida 32653-1603

Phone- (352) 955-2200
Fax- (352) 955-2209
Website- www.ncfrpc.org

This report was financed in part by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, the Florida Department of Transportation, the City of Gainesville and Alachua County.

Federal Project ID Number: FAP 02410(44)M

CFDA Numbers:
20.205 - Highway Planning and Construction - Federal Highway Administration
20.505 - Federal Transit Technical Studies Grant (Metropolitan Planning) - Federal Transit Administration

FDOT Financial Project ID- 214094-5-14-01

(page left blank intentionally)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1
II. ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT 5
III. WORK PROGRAM 9

1.0 ADMINISTRATION

1.1 Program Management 11
1.2 Functional and Financial Responsibility 17
1.3 Professional Development 21
1.4 Program Reporting 23
1.5 State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance FTA . . 25
1.6 State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance PL 27

2.0 SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES

2.1 System Characteristics 29
2.2 System-Associated Characteristics 31

3.0 SYSTEM PLANNING

3.1 System Review and Analysis 35
3.2 Long Range Plan Update 39
3.3 Transportation Disadvantaged Program 41
3.4 Transportation Systems Management (TSM)/
Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Element 45
3.5 Management Systems 49
3.6 Charrette Element 51
3.7 Regional Planning 53
3.8 Planned Growth and Transportation Improvement Consistency 57
3.9 Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study 59

4.0 PROJECT PLANNING

4.1 None

5.0 FDOT STATEWIDE PLANNING STUDIES

5.1 None

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

6.0 FDOT DISTRICT II PLANNING STUDIES

6.1 None

SUMMARY BUDGET TABLES

Table 1: Agency Participation Table 61
Table 2: Funding Source Table 62
Table 3: Estimate for the Total Expenditures for the Prior Fiscal Year UPWP . . . 63

APPENDIX A- FUNDING CODES A-1

APPENDIX B- GRANT APPLICATION, CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES REQUIRED IN THE MPO UPWP B-1

APPENDIX C- 8 METROPOLITAN PLANNING FACTORS AND PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007 RELATIONSHIP TO MPO UPWP TASKS C-1

APPENDIX D- LIST OF ACRONYMS D-1

APPENDIX E- GAINESVILLE METROPOLITAN AREA (GMA) PLANNING STUDIES E-1

APPENDIX F- COST ALLOCATION FOR THE NORTH CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL F-1

APPENDIX G- BUSINESS PLAN G-1

APPENDIX H- AMENDMENTS TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2007 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM H-1

APPENDIX I- TITLE VI PROGRAM I-1

APPENDIX J- PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS J-1

APPENDIX K- ARCHER BRAID BICYCLE PEDESTRIAN CORRIDOR DESIGN PROPOSAL K-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

APPENDIX L- SUMMARY OF DISTRICT PLANNING ACTIVITIES L-1

APPENDIX M- CONSULTANT DOLLARS M-1

(page left blank intentionally)

I. INTRODUCTION

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM- DEFINED

The Fiscal Year 2007 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) for the Gainesville Urbanized Area outlines and describes the planning efforts to be undertaken by participating agencies to maintain a comprehensive, cooperative and continuing transportation planning program in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area.

This UPWP has been prepared to define the tasks and anticipated funding requirements of the Gainesville Metropolitan Area transportation planning process for Fiscal Year 2007. This document serves to inform all public officials and agencies who contribute manpower and allocate funds to the transportation planning process in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. In addition, the UPWP provides the basis for federal funding of transportation planning activities identified in the document.

Transportation planning in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area is currently guided by a transportation plan using input from public officials, technical experts and local citizens. State and federal governments participate in this planning process through the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

The metropolitan area transportation planning process is administered by the MTPO. Voting members of the MTPO include the Gainesville City Commission and the Alachua County Commission. Non-voting members include the Florida Department of Transportation, the University of Florida and a Rural Advisor selected by the Alachua County League of Cities. The North Central Florida Regional Planning Council (NCFRPC) provides staff services to the MTPO.

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL AND REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ACTIVITIES

Local and regional comprehensive transportation planning activities are currently underway in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. Both the City of Gainesville and Alachua County have adopted comprehensive plans. City and County comprehensive plans are updated on a regular basis. In addition, the NCFRPC has an adopted regional policy plan and the University of Florida has an adopted campus master plan.

These local and regional planning programs are incorporated into the MTPO planning program. This is primarily accomplished through the MTPO's Technical Advisory Committee. City, County, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Department of Transportation, Gainesville/Alachua County Regional Airport Authority, School Board of Alachua County, St. Johns Water Management District, Planning Council and University of Florida representatives are all members of this Committee.

JUSTIFICATION FOR THE LEVEL OF PLANNING EFFORT DESIGNATED IN THE UPWP

The work tasks included in this document are those necessary to meet the transportation planning needs of the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. These work tasks are also required to maintain a level of proficiency necessary for continued certification by the federal agencies involved. Certification of the area's transportation planning process is required for eligibility to receive federal funds to implement transportation improvement projects.

PLANNING PRIORITIES FACING THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING AREA

- an effective Community Involvement Program that involves citizens in the transportation planning process
- an accurate Transportation Improvement Program that informs the public concerning proposed transportation projects that will be implemented over the next several years
- updated land use and socioeconomic information that supports the long range transportation planning models
- updated level of service information concerning traffic congestion
- an annual review of the long range transportation plan to identify revisions that may be necessary
- updating the long range transportation plan every five years
- staff assistance and support to the Regional Transit System
- development of additional effective modeling systems for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area that view transit, pedestrian and bicycling activities as viable modes of transportation
- identification of tasks that MTPO staff will perform with regard to implementation of FDOT's Efficient Transportation Decision-Making (ETDM) process

TITLES 23 AND 49 FEDERAL TRANSIT ACT

This document contains planning tasks to be performed with funds under *Titles 23 and 49 Federal Transit Act*. These tasks are identified in Task 3.4- Transportation System Management (TSM)/Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Element.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

This UPWP was developed by involving the public and other transportation agencies. Planning tasks included in this UPWP were reviewed by the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board on April 18, Citizens Advisory Committee on April 19 and Technical Advisory Committee on April 19. In addition, this document was reviewed and approved by the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization on May 2. These meetings were noticed and time was allocated for public comment. All comments received from the public were considered and revisions were made where appropriate.

Enclosed as Appendix I is the MTPO's latest Title VI statement from the Federal Transit Administration dated June 16, 2005. This information expires on June 16, 2008.

REGIONAL, STATE AND FEDERAL PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS

Each year, the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration and the Florida Department of Transportation identify Planning Emphasis Areas (PEAs) to promote priority themes for consideration, as appropriate, in metropolitan and statewide transportation planning processes. Enclosed in Appendix J are this year's 12 Planning Emphasis Areas.

TITLE VI

The UPWP complies with the public involvement provisions of Title VI, which states: No person in the United States shall, on grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.

(page left blank intentionally)

II. ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

PARTICIPANTS AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ROLE IN THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCESS

The Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area consists of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO), the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board (B/PAB), the Design Team and the Alachua County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Board. Each carries out its prescribed responsibilities at regularly scheduled meetings.

The MTPO is responsible for transportation planning in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) conducts research, reviews plans and programs and makes recommendations based upon technical expertise. The Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) and the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board (B/PAB) provide the MTPO with citizen viewpoints and goal directions. The Design Team includes agency staff and citizens with special expertise in project design. The Alachua County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Board works to increase transportation services to low-income persons, the elderly and persons with disabilities. Additional public input is provided through public meetings, meetings with local civic organizations, public workshops and public hearings.

The work effort required to support the planning process will be conducted by the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Tallahassee Office and the FDOT, District Two Office. The North Central Florida Regional Planning Council (NCFRPC) assembles and disseminates data, reports and other information generated by the continuing transportation planning process.

PLANNING AND FUNDING AGREEMENTS

The following planning and funding agreements have been executed by the MTPO:

1. Interlocal Agreement for Creation of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (May 26, 2004)- The purpose of this Agreement is to assure eligibility for the receipt of federal capital and operating assistance pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 134 and Section 3 (a) (2), 4 (a), 5 (g) (1) and 5 (1) of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended [49 U.S.C. 1602 (a) (2), 1603 (a), and 1604 (g) (1) and (1)], and to implement and ensure a continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process that results in coordinated plans and programs consistent with the comprehensively planned development of the affected urbanized area in cooperation with the Florida Department of Transportation.

2. Transportation Planning Funds Joint Participation Agreement (December 7, 2005)- The purpose of this Agreement is to assist in the undertaking of the PL funded portion of the Annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) of the Gainesville Metropolitan Area prepared by the MTPO in conformance with 23 CFR Part 1 and 23 CFR 450, Subpart A, to provide financial assistance to the MTPO for transportation planning related activities, as required under 23 U.S.C. 134, to state the terms and conditions upon which such assistance will be provided, and to recite the understanding as to the manner in which the Project will be undertaken and completed.

3. Standard Joint Participation Agreement for Section 5303 FTA Funds- Cash Match (November 25, 2002)- The purpose of this Agreement is to provide Florida Department of Transportation assistance to the MPO for the undertaking of FTA funded work elements as defined in the UPWP, and to state the terms and conditions upon which such assistance will be provided.

4. Standard Intergovernmental Coordination And Review Agreement (July 26, 1978)- The purpose of this Agreement is to set forth mutually acceptable procedures for carrying out the provisions of Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Chapter 1, Part 450, Subpart A and B, Governor's Executive Order 83-150 and FHPM 4-1-4. *Note- We are currently working to update this Agreement.*

5. Professional Staff Services Agreement (April 1, 1978)- The purpose of this Agreement is to set forth the responsibilities of the Planning Council and the MTPO in performing the professional staff planning functions relative to the transportation planning process for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area, and to describe the cooperative procedures under which planning and programming will be carried out.

OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES AND BYLAWS

The MTPO has adopted a Policy Manual containing rules and bylaws for the MTPO, its Technical Advisory Committee, Design Team and its Citizens Advisory Committee. The bylaws and rules serve to guide the proper functioning of the Urban Transportation Planning Process for the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area (MTPO). The intent is to provide procedures and policies for the MTPO and its Advisory Committees for fulfilling the requirements for the MPO transportation planning process for all modes of travel, and to ensure the accomplishment of the planning tasks within a cooperative framework properly related to comprehensive planning on a continuing basis. A copy of the rules and bylaws can be obtained by calling MTPO staff at (352) 955-2200.

GRANT APPLICATION, CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES REQUIRED IN THE MPO UPWP

The following certifications and assurances are included in Appendix B:

1. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 Certification Regarding Lobbying;

2. Forms Required for Federal Transit Administration Section 5303 Funds:

    A. Standard Form 424, Application for Federal Assistance;

    B. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 FTA Certifications and Assurances;

    C. Section 5303 Planning Line Item Codes - FY 2007 (FTA Funds Only); and

    D. Section 5303 Approved Project Budget for FY 2007 (total dollars).

3. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 Debarment and Suspension Certification; and

4. Statement of Continued Validity of One-time Submissions.

8 METROPOLITAN PLANNING FACTORS RELATIONSHIP TO MPO UPWP TASKS

The work tasks included in this document are assessed as to their relationship to meeting the eight metropolitan planning factors. These areas are required to be considered as part of the metropolitan planning process by 23 U.S.C. 134(f)(1). The eight metropolitan planning factors are listed and a metropolitan planning area-UPWP work task matrix is provided in Appendix C.

(page left blank intentionally)

III. WORK PROGRAM

The 2007 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), covering the Fiscal Year from July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007, was developed by MTPO staff in cooperation with the Florida Department of Transportation.

The Work Program Section of the UPWP documents each specific transportation planning task to be undertaken during Fiscal Year 2007 including the purpose of the task, previous work accomplished, proposed methodology, responsible agencies, cost estimates and proposed funding sources.

The UPWP is made up of four major sections of which some are further subdivided to individual tasks. Sections Five and Six are included when necessary to show Florida Department of Transportation Statewide and District II planning activities respectively. The final section contains summary tables detailing the UPWP tasks by participating agencies and funding sources.

The four major categories of the UPWP are briefly described as follows:

1.0 Administration--includes those functions necessary for proper management of the transportation planning process on a continuing basis. Work tasks include program administration, program development, program review and reporting. Transit planning tasks included in the UPWP are consistent with the Federal Transit Administration's latest guidelines for National Emphasis Areas.

2.0 Surveillance Activities--includes those work tasks required to provide a continuous monitoring of area travel characteristics and factors affecting travel in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. Work tasks include collection and analysis of socioeconomic, land use, environmental and transportation system data. Information collected in these tasks is used in other UPWP elements (including the transportation planning element) along with specific project planning activities.

3.0 System Planning--includes transportation system planning work tasks related to transit, energy and long or short range transportation planning.

4.0 Project Planning--includes more detailed planning tasks needed for special projects usually affecting a significant portion of the study area such as bicycle, airports, corridors and special transit studies.

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP-FY 2007

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
1.1	Program Management

PURPOSE:

To properly manage the urbanized area transportation study and insure that a continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process is maintained throughout the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. The focus of this task is to provide the venue and policy context for the Florida Department of Transportation to consult with local officials.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Work during Fiscal Year 2005/2006 consisted of Staff Support for the MTPO and its Advisory Committees, State and Regional Clearinghouse Review of Transportation Projects and the Community Involvement Program. The Community Involvement Program is designed to give citizens an opportunity to participate at the system planning level and not restrict them to involvement at the project level when it is sometimes too late. In the accomplishment of the Community Involvement Program, Advisory Committee and MTPO meetings are usually held about every other month. In addition, an audit was performed of financial statements of the MTPO for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005.

METHODOLOGY:

Program Management- professional, technical and administrative activities conducted by staff in accordance with the Professional Staff Services Agreement entered into by the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council (NCFRPC) and the MTPO. In addition, the MTPO will update its Standard Intergovernmental Coordination And Review Agreement this fiscal year.

State and Regional Clearinghouse Review of Transportation Projects- to review and comment on state and regional Clearinghouse review projects that pertain to the systemwide 3-C transportation planning process. This does not include state and regional Clearinghouse review of preliminary engineering and construction projects or environmental impact studies on projects.

Financial Statements And Auditor's Report- an audit will be performed of financial statements of the MTPO for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. This audit will be performed in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-133.

MTPO Advisory Committees- staff will review materials and attend meetings as necessary in support of the MTPO Advisory Committees. In addition, this task will include paying the City of Gainesville $6,303 to provide staff support to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board when it discusses MTPO issues and agenda items.

Annual Certification- staff will prepare for and assist federal and state officials in the annual certification process. The Annual Certification review meeting is conducted along with the development of the UPWP. This year's certification was signed/completed on March 22, 2006.

Indirect Cost Rate- the indirect cost rate used for MTPO programs is described in Appendix F.

Planning Emphasis Area #1- Consideration of Safety and Security in the Transportation Planning Process

Continuity of Operations Plan- staff will review, and make needed revisions to, its Continuity of Operations Plan as part of addressing consideration of safety and security in the transportation planning process. This plan is concerned with how the MTPO will continue to function in the event of a natural or man-made disaster.

Planning Emphasis Area #8- Public Involvement

Public Involvement Process - This task will work on increasing public participation, particularly among traditionally underserved segments of the population. In addition, this task will include the development of evaluation systems that will evaluate the effectiveness of public involvement activities and use the results to continue to improve the public involvement process. For this task, the following work activities will be implemented:

1. Staff support to the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board (BPAB), Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and Design Team;

2. "Reader friendly" display ads will be published for public hearings;

3. Transportation Information Network workshops will be held as needed;

4. Display ads will be published each year prior to adoption of the Transportation Improvement Program;

5. Annual report information will be published each year;

6. Each year, the public involvement program will be reviewed for overall performance and effectiveness, especially for low-income and minority populations, and if necessary, revised to increase public participation in the transportation planning process;

7. A document entitled Citizens' Guide to Transportation Planning will be updated and distributed to the public;

8. Outreach programs will continue to be provided to identify and involve disadvantaged transportation users, including minority and low-income communities, or any other segment of the business or public community in the transportation planning process;

9. The focus of public involvement efforts will be expanded to include special efforts to engage those, such as low income or minority communities, who may be traditionally underserved and under-represented by the transportation system in order to increase their representation in the planning process;

10. Assessments will be made of the distribution of benefits and adverse environmental impacts at both the plan and project level;

11. Meeting formats will encourage or facilitate participation by minorities or persons with disabilities;

12. Technical information will be available in formats and at places and times conducive to review by minorities;

13. Contacts with minority groups or leaders will be used appropriately to identify information needs and planning/programming issues of concern;

14. Minority media sources will be appropriately included in all notification process for public meetings or public review of agency documents;

15. Ensure that the MTPO planning process is open and that public input is acknowledged and is considered in the MTPO's decision-making; and

16. Outreach programs will continue to identify and involve freight shippers and providers of freight transportation in the transportation planning process.

Service Equity - the following work activities will be implemented:

1. Procedures will be developed to collect and analyze data for assessing the regional benefits and impacts of transportation system investments, such as highway and transit projects in the Long Range Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program, for different socioeconomic groups; and

2. Procedures will be developed to coordinate with citizens and community-based agencies, groups and/or organizations in defining "communities" within the Gainesville Metropolitan Area in assessing potential benefits and impacts of transportation system investments, particularly related to low-income and minority populations, the elderly and disabled, and ethnic or religious groups.

Planning Emphasis Area #11- Three-Year Business Plan

The MTPO has developed a Three-Year Business Plan that describes major planning activities anticipated to occur two to three years from now. This Business Plan, shown in Appendix G, explains the need for maintaining a PL fund carryover balance in excess of 50 percent of the annual PL fund allocation.

END PRODUCTS:

The products will be good record keeping, a Financial Statement and Auditor's Report (FSAR), an updated Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP), an updated Public Involvement Plan (PIP), an updated Standard Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Agreement (SICRA), a transportation planning program that is accepted and understood by the public and transportation planning and programming that is coordinated with the comprehensively planned development of the urbanized area. Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

	SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
	2006						2007					
PRODUCT	**Jul**	**Aug**	**Sep**	**Oct**	**Nov**	**Dec**	**Jan**	**Feb**	**Mar**	**Apr**	**May**	**Jun**
COOP	✔	✔										
FSAR							✔	✔	✔			
PIP				✔	✔	✔						
SICRA	✔	✔	✔									

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$67,606	-	-	-	-	$67,606
FDOT	-	-	$14,911	-	-	$14,911
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	$9, 360	$9, 360
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	$13,258	$13,258
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	$2,000	$2,000
TOTAL	$67,606	-	$14,911	-	$24,618	$107,135

*Lead Agency

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP TASK NUMBER: **TASK TITLE:**

1.2 Functional and Financial Responsibility

PURPOSE:

The preparation of documents used to delineate the functional and financial responsibilities for transportation planning and project implementation.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Unified Planning Work Program- preparation of the Fiscal Year 2007 UPWP.

Transportation Improvement Program- preparation of the Fiscal Year 2007 - 2011 Transportation Improvement Program.

METHODOLOGY:

Unified Planning Work Program- The development of a document that contains all transportation and transportation-related planning activities anticipated within the Gainesville Metropolitan Area during the year, regardless of funding source.

Transportation Improvement Program- The development of a document which is a staged five-year program of transportation improvement projects consistent with the transportation plans of the area. In addition, this task will include:

A. developing tangible strategies and activities for proactively involving freight shippers and users of public transportation in the development of the transportation improvement program; and

B. **Planning Emphasis Area #1- Consideration of Safety and Security in the Transportation Planning Process-** The Safe, Accountable, Feasible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) emphasizes the safety and security of transportation systems as a national priority and calls for transportation projects and strategies that "increase the safety and security of transportation systems." This entails communication and collaboration among safety professionals, the enforcement community, and transportation planners in order to successfully integrate safety and security into all stages of the transportation planning process.

C. Federal Funds Obligation Project List- An annual listing of projects for which federal funds have been obligated in the preceding year will be published or otherwise made available for public review. This information will be consistent with the categories identified in the Transportation Improvement Program. This list is found in Appendix H of the List of Priority Projects (LOPP).

D. This task will assess the distribution of benefits and adverse environmental impacts of proposed Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) projects on various socioeconomic groups identified in Task 2.2.

Planning Emphasis Area #9- MPO TIP Project Prioritization Process

The MTPO will ensure that its Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) includes a description of the methodology that was used to prioritize projects. The description will include criteria that were used for ranking the projects, and preferably what weights were given to each criteria. The description will be done for highway, transit, bicycle and pedestrian projects.

END PRODUCTS: Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

Fiscal Year 2008 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) report prepared and submitted in the third and fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2007.

Fiscal Years 2008 - 2012 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) report prepared and submitted in the third and fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2007, which includes an assessment of the distribution of benefits and adverse environmental impacts of proposed transportation improvement program (TIP) projects on various socioeconomic groups identified in Task 2.2.

Fiscal Year 2006 Federal Funds Obligation Project List prepared and submitted in the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2007 as an appendix to the Fiscal Year 2007 List of Priority Projects document.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔						✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$40,000	-	-	-	-	$48,000
FDOT	-	-	$10,587	-	-	$10,587
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	$48,000	-	$10,587	-	-	$58,587

*Lead Agency

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP TASK NUMBER: **TASK TITLE:**

1.3 Professional Development

PURPOSE:

To keep up-to-date with the latest techniques and guidelines in transportation planning, management, financing and implementation. To inform all local governments about these new concepts in an effort to streamline and improve the transportation planning process.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

During Fiscal Year 2006, staff continued subscriptions to various transportation journals and attended several seminars and training workshops on urban transportation planning and program management.

METHODOLOGY:

In order to keep abreast of new and changing techniques and guidelines related to transportation planning, various staff members will go to training workshops, seminars and conferences. Prior to incurring any costs related to training workshops, seminars or conferences, prior approval will be obtained from the Florida Department Of Transportation and/or the Federal Highway Administration where necessary. This is the task where travel expenses are billed to PL funds.

Planning Emphasis Area #5- Enhancing the Technical Capacity of Planning Processes

Reliable information on current and projected usage and performance of transportation systems is critical to the ability of planning processes to supply credible information to decision-makers to support preparation of plans and programs that respond to their localities' unique needs and policy issues. To ensure the reliability of usage and performance data, as well as the responsiveness of policy forecasting tools, an evaluation is needed of the quality of information provided by the technical tools, data sources, forecasting models, as well as the expertise of staff to ensure its adequacy to support decision-making. If this expertise is found to be lacking, the MTPO will devote appropriate resources to enhance and maintain its technical capacity.

The metropolitan transportation planning processes have become critical tools for responding to increasingly complex issues at the State and local levels. Many of these issues are encompassed in previously listed planning emphasis areas (e.g., integrated planning and environmental processes, management and operations, analytical tools and methods) and include much more. The purpose of this task is to enhance the skills and capabilities we use to conduct effective transportation planning processes.

Attendance at workshops, seminars and conferences will increase the expertise of staff with respect to technical tools, data sources, and forecasting models. This will help ensure that staff are adequately prepared to provide information needed to support local decision-making.

END PRODUCT:

None. Anticipated completion date is not applicable.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$24,000	-	-	-	-	$24,000
FDOT	-	-	$5,293	-	-	$5,293
Alachua County	-	-	-	$4,078	-	$4,078
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	$6,116	-	$6,116
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	$24,000	-	$5,293	$10,194	-	$39,487

*Lead Agency

UPWP-FY 2007

UPWP TASK NUMBER: **TASK TITLE:**

1.4 Program Reporting

PURPOSE:

To prepare reporting documents for distribution to local, state and federal agencies.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Preparation of:

Quarterly Progress Reports
Year-end Report
Technical Memorandums

METHODOLOGY:

Quarterly Progress Reports- prepare progress reports on a quarterly basis.
Year-end Report- include a description of accomplishments during the previous year in the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council's (NCFRPC) Annual Report.
Technical Memorandums- preparation of special studies which could not be anticipated. Some of these special studies may be conducted using purchase orders to obtain consultant services for planning related tasks and studies, such as corridor planning studies and charrettes. This arrangement was approved by FDOT District 2 staff on March 19, 2001 after discussing this arrangement with FHWA staff.

END PRODUCTS: Anticipated completion date is not applicable.

Quarterly progress reports to the Florida Department Of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration.

Year-end report of transportation planning accomplishments during the previous year in the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council's (NCFRPC) Annual Report prepared and submitted in the first quarter of calendar year 2007.

Technical Memorandums for the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) prepared as needed during the year.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	**Aug**	**Sep**	**Oct**	**Nov**	**Dec**	**Jan**	**Feb**	**Mar**	**Apr**	**May**	**Jun**
		✔			✔			✔			✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$35,000	-	-	-	-	$35,000
FDOT	-	-	$7,719	-	-	$7,719
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	$35,000	-	$7,719	-	-	$42,719

*Lead Agency

UPWP-FY 2007

UPWP TASK NUMBER: 1.5

TASK TITLE: State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance FTA

PURPOSE:

To provide guidance and technical assistance to the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO), MTPO staff and various committees as requested and/or required by Florida Department of Transportation policy for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5303 programs.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

In past years, this task was divided among various management systems as required to match federal Section 5303 funds by task.

METHODOLOGY:

Management efforts include guidance and supervision of administrative tasks (program management, development, review and reporting) necessary to carry out the transportation planning process within the future urbanized area boundaries of the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. Technical assistance includes both computer usage and staff analysis of various systems and project planning efforts (routine reviews and planning evaluations) as spelled out in current study designs. Match for this task is cash and not "soft match" funds.

END PRODUCT:

Proper and timely response to management and technical problems and/or requests. Anticipated completion date is not applicable.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (Section 5303)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$13,806	-	-	-	-	$13,806
FDOT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	$13,806	-	-	-	-	$13,806

*Lead Agency

UPWP-FY 2007

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
1.6	State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance PL

PURPOSE:

To identify FDOT District 2 planning activities that provide guidance and support to the urban transportation planning process leading to the adoption and maintenance of a transportation plan and implementation of the most urgently needed projects consistent with the plan.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Previous work includes system surveillance activities, system development/evaluation efforts (including the long-range transportation plan), transportation system management strategies and the transportation improvement program.

METHODOLOGY:

The Florida Department of Transportation will provide system surveillance inventories. The Florida Department of Transportation will also provide a substantial effort toward the continuing evaluation and updating of the long-range transportation plan, the transportation system management element and the transportation improvement program. This task uses "soft match" funds. These funds involve the use of State toll revenue expenditures as a credit toward the non-federal matching share.

END PRODUCT:

Proper and timely response to management and technical problems and/or requests. Anticipated completion date is not applicable.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	-	-	-	-	-	-
FDOT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Lead Agency

UPWP-FY 2007

UPWP TASK NUMBER: 2.1

TASK TITLE: System Characteristics

PURPOSE:

Routine surveillance activities to assess the network condition and the actual growth and changes in travel demand characteristics. Task 2.1 is an on-going task that is performed each year by the Florida Department of Transportation, Alachua County and the City of Gainesville.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Traffic Volume Surveillance - City, County and FDOT traffic counting program.
Accident Surveillance Study and Program - City of Gainesville Accident Map and Alachua County Accident Surveillance Program.

METHODOLOGY:

Traffic Volume Surveillance- the maintenance of a traffic count program by FDOT, Alachua County and the City of Gainesville.
Accident Surveillance Study- the collection and analysis of accident data by FDOT, Alachua County and the City of Gainesville.

END PRODUCT: Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

Traffic Volume Surveillance- traffic count information.
Accident Surveillance- accident information.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
NCFRPC	-	-	-	-	-	-
FDOT	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Alachua County	-	-	-	-	$33,102	$33,102
*City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	$37,780	$37,780
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	$70,882	$70,882

*Lead Agency

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
2.2	System-Associated Characteristics

PURPOSE:

To collect system-associated characteristic information to detect changes in the community growth patterns which influence the volume and pattern of travel.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

The Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Update is a two year process that was completed in the second quarter of Fiscal Year 2006. The Year 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan was adopted by the MTPO in November 2005. The final deliverable required by the consultant in the update process was received for review in the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2006.

As part of the transition to Cube/Voyager from TransCAD, MTPO staff attended workshops and training seminars to gain familiarity with the new software package. Staff also used the model to test the transportation impacts of new land use considerations in the urban area through adjustments to the Year 2025 socioeconomic data.

Staff used Year 2000 Census data to identify low-income, minority and other under-represented population groups within the county. This information was used to address service equity issues and other aspects of the LRTP process.

METHODOLOGY:

During the coming year, MTPO staff will work with Alachua County staff and the municipalities in Alachua County as they update their comprehensive plans to refine the Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) structure to better represent the goals, objectives, and policies set forth in these plans. Updating and revising the TAZ structure should allow for greater accuracy and flexibility in modeling of land use impacts to the transportation system.

Staff will also use the latest American Community Survey (ACS) data developed by the U.S. Census to identify workerforce flows into and out of the Alachua County region. This information will be used to update the External-External/Internal-External trip tables in the Alachua County model and facilitate the development of region-wide transportation planning.

Funding may be allocated this year to purchase additional travel surveys from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) associated with the 2007 National Personal Transportation Survey (NPTS). These additional surveys may be used to ensure that the MTPO receives a statistical representation of citizens in Alachua County.

In addition, staff will work with the Alachua County and City of Gainesville Public Works departments and Gainesville Regional Transit Service (RTS) to inventory the infrastructure associated with bicycle, pedestrian, and transit service. The information collected will be used to update the model to represent the ease of walking between origins and destinations, ease of access to the transit system and predict the frequency of bike trips.

END PRODUCT:

Continued maintenance of the system-associated characteristics data base of land use and socioeconomic information to maintain an accurate and current zonal data file, including the update of ZDATA files. A report proposing changes to the traffic analysis zone delineations that are consistent with and/or compatible to the comprehensive plans of the municipalities located within Alachua County and the impacts to model validity. A report identifying the workerforce flows into and out of the Alachua County region as depicted by the ACS, generated by the U.S. Census and proposed changes to the external-external/internal-external trip tables. Updates to the model that represent the ease of walking between origins and destinations, ease of access to the transit system and predict the frequency of bike trips. Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$25,000	-	-	-	-	$25,000
FDOT	-	-	$5,514	-	-	$5,514
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	$1,000	$1,000
TOTAL	$25,000	-	$5,514	-	$1,000	$31,514

*Lead Agency

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP TASK NUMBER: **TASK TITLE:**

3.1 System Review and Analysis

PURPOSE:

To perform continual reviews of the highway system and update networks and plans as needed and identify methods to integrate safety within the planning process.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Staff evaluated the impact of proposed changes to the highway system to reflect land use, policy and other changes. Staff also provided FDOT in the summer of 2005 with the MTPO's transportation priorities in the List of Priority Projects (Federal, State and Local) to use in developing FDOT's District II 5-Year Work Program for Fiscal Years 2006/07 - 2010/11.

METHODOLOGY:

Evaluate the impact of proposed changes to the highway system to reflect land use, policy and other changes. This task will include:

A. **Planning Emphasis Area #1- Consideration of Safety and Security in the Transportation Planning Process-** SAFETEA-LU emphasizes the safety and security of transportation systems as a national priority and calls for transportation projects and strategies that "increase the safety and security of transportation systems." This entails communication and collaboration among safety professionals, the enforcement community, and transportation planners in order to successfully integrate safety and security into all stages of the transportation planning process.

   This task will include:

   1. Trying to attend a training course available through the National Transit Institute (NTI) entitled "Safety Conscious Planning."

   2. Develop priority lists of needed projects that are not currently funded. A special emphasis will be given to assigning a high priority to projects that increase the safety and security of transportation systems.

B. **Planning Emphasis Area #3- Consideration of Management and Operations within Planning Processes-** TEA-21 challenges FHWA and FTA to move beyond traditional capital programs for improving the movement of people and goods- focusing on the need to improve the way transportation systems are managed and operated. This task will include, where appropriate, consideration of operations and management issues and strategies (e.g., traffic signal system integration and/or ramp metering; freeway/arterial operations, including the operation of special use lanes); incident management; maintenance of traffic during construction; establishment/marketing of special use lanes and/or park-and-ride facilities; and data sharing. This task will also include a review of discussion papers available at [www.plan4operations.dot.gov].

C. **Planning Emphasis Area #9- MPO TIP Project Prioritization Process**

The MTPO will ensure that its Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) includes a description of the methodology that was used to prioritize projects. The description will include criteria that were used for ranking the projects, and preferably what weights were given to each criteria. The description will be done for highway, transit, bicycle and pedestrian projects.

END PRODUCTS: Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

2007/08 - 2011/12 List of Priority Projects (LOPP) Report for the FDOT Work Program will be developed in the fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2006.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$47,000	-	-	-	-	$47,000
FDOT	-	-	$10,366	-	-	$10,366
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	$4,198	$4, 198
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	$19,470	$19,470
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	$2,000	$2,000
TOTAL	$47,000	-	$10,366	-	$25,668	$83,034

*Lead Agency

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
3.2	Long Range Plan Update

PURPOSE:

Update current adopted long range plans to be in compliance with the planning requirements identified in the Safe, Accountable, Feasible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). All long range transportation plans (LRTPs) must be in compliance with SAFETEA-LU by July 1, 2007.

Update current adopted long range plans to reflect the new Year 2025 revenue forecast and SAFETEA-LU provisions.

Integrate the Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) process into the MTPO planning and programming process.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Updated the long range plan to the Year 2025. In addition, we reviewed the current adopted long range plan for consistency with the applicable provisions of SAFETEA-LU and Florida law. Also, the current adopted long range plan was reviewed to identify needed, but unfunded projects. Based upon this review, projects were added to the MTPO's priority list of needed projects.

METHODOLOGY:

The current adopted long range plan will be reviewed each year for compliance with the applicable provisions of SAFETEA-LU and Florida law. This review will also reflect the new Year 2025 revenue forecast that is anticipated to be provided to the MTPO by June, 2006. This review, considered a minor update, may involve some additional documentation of mitigation activities and consultation with other governmental agencies. In order to try and identify possible future revenues to implement additional projects in the long range transportation plan, the MTPO will continue exploring alternative funding sources through the planning process.

EFFICIENT TRANSPORTATION DECISION MAKING (ETDM)

The MTPO will continue to integrate the ETDM process into its existing planning and programming process.

Planning Emphasis Area #2- Linking the Planning and NEPA Processes

When completed, MTPO staff will review FHWA and FTA guidance on the appropriate use of planning results during a NEPA review. Based upon this guidance, the MTPO will develop an action plan.

END PRODUCT:

A SAFETEA-LU-compliant long range plan. In addition, the MPO long range plan will be reviewed during the fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2007 to identify needed, but unfunded, projects that will be included in the List of Priority Projects report discussed earlier in Task 3.1. If necessary, the long range transportation plan will be amended and updated materials will be prepared describing the amended plan. This task will also include an assessment of the distribution of benefits and adverse environmental impacts of proposed long range transportation plan projects on various socioeconomic groups identified in Task 2.2.

An implemented ETDM process.

Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$83,853	-	-	-	-	$83,853
FDOT	-	-	$18,494	-	-	$18,494
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	$4,950	$4,950
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	$3,500	$3,500
TOTAL	$83,853	-	$18,494	-	$8,450	$110,797

*Lead Agency

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
3.3	Transportation Disadvantaged Program

PURPOSE:

To establish a planning program that meets the requirements of Chapter 427, Florida Statutes, and Rule 41-2 of the Florida Administrative Code with respect to planning coordinated transportation services for the transportation disadvantaged (low income, elderly and persons with disabilities).

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Staff assistance to the MTPO in providing staff support to the Alachua County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Board, including the following tasks:

- Provide a process for the appointment and reappointment of voting and non-voting members of the local coordinating board (LCB).
- Prepare agendas for local coordinating board meetings consistent with the *Local Coordinating Board and Planning Agency Operating Guidelines*.
- Prepare official minutes of local coordinating board meetings. For committee meetings, prepare minutes in the form of a brief summary of basic points, discussions, decisions and recommendations to the full board. Keep records of all meetings for at least three years.
- Provide at least one public hearing annually by each local coordinating board.
- Develop and update by-laws for local coordinating board approval.
- Develop, update and implement local coordinating board grievance procedures in accordance with the Commission guidelines.
- Maintain a current membership roster and mailing list of local coordinating board members.
- Provide public notice of local coordinating board meetings and local public hearings in accordance with the *Coordinating Board and Planning Agency Operating Guidelines*.

- Review and comment on the Annual Operating Report for submittal to the local coordinating board, and forward comments/concerns to the Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged.

- Jointly develop the transportation disadvantaged service plan (TDSP) with the community transportation coordinator and coordinating board.

- Review the transportation disadvantaged service plan, and recommend approval or changes to the local coordinating board.

- Report the actual expenditures of direct federal and local government transportation funds to the Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged.

- Prepare the planning section of the transportation disadvantaged service plan following the Commission guidelines.

- Encourage integration of "transportation disadvantaged" issues into local and regional comprehensive plans. Ensure activities of the local coordinating board and community transportation coordinator are consistent with local comprehensive planning activities.

- Provide the local coordinating board with quarterly reports of planning accomplishments and expenditures as outlined in the planning grant agreement or any other activities related to the transportation disadvantaged program including but not limited to consultant contracts, special studies and marketing efforts.

- Attend Commission-sponsored training, the Commission's quarterly regional meetings, and the Commission's annual training workshop, within budget/staff/ schedule availability.

- Attend at least one Commission meeting each year within budget/staff/schedule availability.

- Provide training for newly-appointed local coordinating board members

- Provide technical assistance to the community transportation coordinator, purchasing agencies and others, as needed within budget/staff/schedule availability.

- Ensure the local coordinating board conducts, at a minimum, an annual evaluation of the community transportation coordinator. The local coordinating board shall evaluate the coordinator based on local standards and using the Commission's *Evaluation Workbook for Community Transportation Coordinators and Providers in Florida* (at a minimum, using the modules concerning Competition in Use of Operators, Cost Effectiveness and Efficiency and Availability of Service).

METHODOLOGY:

Provide the Alachua County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Board with sufficient staff support and resources to enable it to meet its responsibilities. Coordinate program resources for transportation services in order to increase service availability and more cost-effective transportation services to persons with limited access and special needs.

This task will include the update of U.S. Bureau of the Census information to identify the location of low-income, minority, elderly, and disabled populations. This information will be used to assess the distribution of benefits and adverse environmental impacts of proposed plans and projects on these populations.

Planning Emphasis Area #6- Coordination of Human Service Transportation

In accordance with Subsection 427.015 and Subsection 427.0157 Florida Statutes, the MTPO will develop local service needs and provide information, advice and direction to the community transportation coordinator. Therefore, the MTPO will continue to assist the Alachua County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Board in development and implementation of its Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan and provide information, advice and direction to MV Transportation, the community transportation coordinator.

The MTPO will work together with federal, state and local agencies to ensure that transportation services are seamless, comprehensive and accessible to all citizens by using Human Service Transportation programs, such as the United We Ride Initiative.

END PRODUCT:

Various work tasks and products required by Chapter 427 Florida Statutes and Florida Administrative Code Rule 41-2, including a report that identifies the location of low-income, minority, elderly, and disabled populations. Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	-	$20,769	-	-	-	$20,769
FDOT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	-	$20,769	-	-	-	$20,769

*Lead Agency

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
3.4	Transportation System Management (TSM)/ Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Element

PURPOSE:

To examine transportation system management (TSM) and transportation demand management (TDM) techniques which are designed to improve the transportation system through low-cost measures to reduce traffic congestion and obtain greater capacity out of the existing highway system.

To maintain current data about roadway conditions affecting bicycle, pedestrian and transit level of service and to use current data for setting bicycle, pedestrian and transit project priorities. The project priorities shall be based upon aggregations of facility segment data that create logical project termini and can be analyzed for aggregate benefit-cost determination.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

The completion of the following tasks:

1. A multimodal [automotive (highway), bicycle, pedestrian and transit service] level of service evaluation was conducted using the Florida Department of Transportation 2002 Quality/Level of Service Handbook level of service analysis tools, Generalized Tables and LOSPLAN software. 34 roadway facilities were LOSPLAN software-analyzed and 102 roadway facilities were Generalized Tables-analyzed. The results of the evaluation are contained in the MTPO's Multimodal Level of Service Report and on the ncfrpc.org website.

2. the monitoring of pedestrian, bicycle and transit activity, including the preparation of an annual transit ridership report.

3. incorporated transit projects into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP);

4. assisted Regional Transit System staff in developing priorities for needed, but unfunded, transit projects; and

5. activities related to improving transportation services to the elderly and persons with disabilities.

METHODOLOGY:

To develop the following activities:

1. System Level (41.23.01)- the monitoring of pedestrian, bicycle and transit activity. In addition, this task will also include an assessment of bicycle, pedestrian and transit service using the Florida Department of Transportation 2002 Quality/Level of Service Handbook multimodal level of service analysis tool, LOSPLAN, to analyze existing LOSPLAN software-analyzed roadway facilities to include evaluation for bicycle, pedestrian and transit modes of travel added to the current highway (automotive) travel mode analysis.

2. Short Range Transportation Planning (41.24.00)- the monitoring of transit activity, including the preparation of an annual transit ridership report, and providing technical assistance to Regional Transit System staff. This task will also include a $20,000 contract with the University of Florida, School of Architecture to prepare a bicycle and pedestrian design for the Archer Braid corridor defined in the Alachua Countywide Bicycle Master Plan Addendum (see detailed task description in Appendix K).

3. Transportation Improvement Program (41.25.00)- activities specifically emphasizing TIP development and monitoring and developing transit project priorities for unfunded transit projects.

4. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (41.26.01)- the planning, development and improvement of transportation services to the elderly and persons with disabilities.

Planning Emphasis Area #9- MPO TIP Project Prioritization Process

The MTPO will ensure that its Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) includes a description of the methodology that was used to prioritize projects. The description will include criteria that were used for ranking the projects, and preferably what weights were given to each criteria. The description will be done for highway, transit, bicycle and pedestrian projects.

END PRODUCTS:

A report that assesses the quality of bicycle, pedestrian and transit services using LOSPLAN software (anticipated completion date of November 2006). A report that assesses pedestrian and cycling accessibility (anticipated completion date of June 2007). An annual transit ridership monitoring report (anticipated completion date of February 2007).

Fiscal Years 2007/08 - 2011/12 Transportation Improvement Program with an anticipated completion date of June 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (Section 5303)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$110,448	-	-	-	-	$110,448
MTPO	-	-	-	$13,806	-	$13,806
FDOT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	$2,000	$2,000
TOTAL	$110,448	-	-	$13,806	$2,000	$126,254

*Lead Agency

Note: This task includes $20,000 for consultant services.

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP TASK NUMBER: 3.5

TASK TITLE: Management Systems

PURPOSE:

Continue to review and update the congestion management system.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

Updated level of service information as part of the Congestion Management Systems by compiling a data base of information needed to estimate existing levels of service (LOS). The LOS Report was updated to account for:

1. the Year 2004 annual average daily traffic counts provided by Alachua County, the City of Gainesville and the Florida Department of Transportation for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. This report includes facility analyses using the Florida Department of Transportation Level of Service Handbook's Generalized Tables and LOSPLAN software; and

2. revisions to ARTPLAN analyses for:

    A. A-45 Fort Clarke Boulevard from Newberry Road to NW 23rd Avenue; and
    B. A-23 NW 83rd Street from NW 23rd Avenue to NW 39th Avenue.

METHODOLOGY:

Continue to develop the congestion management system as a tool to improve the Gainesville Metropolitan Area transportation system by ameliorating congestion and increasing mobility choice. The development of a data base of information needed to estimate existing levels of service (LOS) using the Generalized LOS Tables and ART-PLAN and ART-TAB software. Use level of service information as an assessment tool for safety based upon existing level of service problems and identify how proposed projects will decrease safety problems in a regional context.

Planning Emphasis Area #3- Consideration of Management and Operations Within Planning Processes

TEA-21 challenges FHWA and FTA to move beyond traditional capital programs for improving the movement of people and goods- focusing on the need to improve the way transportation systems are managed and operated.

This task will also include a review of existing level of service information to identify specific projects to add to the MTPO's List of Priority Projects. As a result, the area's congestion management system (CMS) plan will be updated as necessary and integrated more fully into the transportation planning process.

END PRODUCTS:

Annual Level of Service Report will be completed in the second quarter of Fiscal Year 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$48,000					$48,000
FDOT			$10,587			$10,587
Alachua County						
City of Gainesville						
University of Florida						
TOTAL	$48,000		$10,587			$58,587

*Lead Agency

UPWP-FY 2007

UPWP TASK NUMBER: 3.6

TASK TITLE: Charrette Element

PURPOSE:

Conduct intensive workshop meetings as necessary during the year for special areas.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

The MTPO did not conduct any charrettes last year. Therefore, no funds were spent on this task in fiscal year 2005/2006.

METHODOLOGY:

Contract with consultants as needed to conduct charrette activities.

END PRODUCTS: Special charrette activities conducted on various planning issues. Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$5,000	-	-	-	-	$5,000
MTPO	-	-	-	$10,000	-	$10,000
FDOT	-	-	$1,102	-	-	$1,102
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida					$3,500	$3,500
TOTAL	$5,000		$1,102	$10,000	$3,500	$19,602

*Lead Agency

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
3.7	Regional Planning

PURPOSE:

To provide products and processes that result in regional planning and cooperation between MPOs and integration of the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS).

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

This is a new Planning Emphasis Area.

METHODOLOGY:

During the coming year, MTPO staff will develop policies and processes to assess regional impacts in order to update our long range transportation plan and to coordinate with adjacent MPOs, counties, and other municipalities.

In order to plan regionally, staff must first determine the existing and proposed development patterns of the adjacent counties and metropolitan areas. This task will be accomplished through creating a series of maps that depict the existing and future land use of these municipalities. The existing land use map series will be created by obtaining parcel level information from the property appraiser's offices in the Alachua and the surrounding counties (Bradford, Clay, Columbia, Gilchrist, Levy, Marion, Putnam and Union counties). Using the Department of Revenue land use classification code or similar attributes, the parcels will be categorized by their activity (residential, commercial, industrial, social/institutional, transportation, mass assembly, leisure, natural resource, or indeterminate activity). This information will be displayed using the most recent GIS software.

The future land use map will be created by obtaining the future land use shapefiles from the appropriate planning agencies representing each municipality. Since it is likely that the planning agencies use different terms to describe their future land use categories, the various shapefiles will be standardized into a single list of future land uses that are comparable to the above mentioned activity-based classification system. The future land use map will be displayed using the most recent GIS software with a color scale similar to the existing land use map series.

Once completed, MTPO staff will take this map series and additional information regarding workforce flows, which will be obtained from the American Community Survey, to the adjacent counties and municipalities within Alachua County to create a vision for the region.

In addition, the MTPO will work with Alachua County and the City of Gainesville to obtain Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP) funding for the proposed traffic management system. Meetings will be scheduled with adjacent counties to discuss this project and request that these counties join with the MTPO, Alachua County and the City of Gainesville to form a regional partnership.

Planning Emphasis Area #7- Regional Planning

The MTPO, as necessary, will provide for products and processes that result in regional planning and cooperation between adjacent MPOs and integration of the Florida Strategic Intermodal System (SIS). This regional plan will include regional project prioritization and ranking.

END PRODUCTS: The creation of an existing and future land use map series of the region. A community vision of the region developed through communication with the adjacent counties. This regional plan will include regional project prioritization and ranking. Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007. A signed interlocal agreement creating a Regional Partnership among Alachua County, Marion County and Putnam County that would enable participation in the Transportation Regional Incentive Program activities.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$40,000	-	-	-	-	$40,000
FDOT	-	-	$8,822	-	-	$8,822
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	-	-
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	$40,000	-	$8,822	-	-	$48,822

*Lead Agency

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
3.8	Planned Growth and Transportation Improvements Consistency

PURPOSE:

Promote consistency between transportation improvements and planned growth.

Coordinate with local government planning and concurrency management staff to evaluate consistency of local comprehensive planning documents with the MTPO's Long Range Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

This is a new Planning Emphasis Area.

METHODOLOGY:

Coordinate with local government planning and concurrency management staff to evaluate consistency of local comprehensive planning documents with the MTPO's Long Range Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program. As needed, develop recommendations to the MTPO for providing for consistency of local comprehensive planning documents with the MTPO's Long Range Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program.

Planning Emphasis Area #12- Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and Planned Growth

The MTPO, as necessary, will work with local governments to promote corridor management techniques, including access management strategies, right-of-way acquisition and protection measures, appropriate land use strategies, zoning, and setback requirements for adjacent land uses. Whenever possible, the plans and programs of the Transportation Improvement Program should identify those facilities that are subject to such techniques.

END PRODUCTS: A TIP that identifies those facilities that are subject to corridor management techniques. Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (PL)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
*NCFRPC	$45,022	-	-	-	-	$45,022
FDOT	-	-	$9,930	-	-	$9,930
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Gainesville	-	-	-	-	$4,535	$4,535
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	$45,022		$9,930		$4,535	$59,487

*Lead Agency

UPWP TASK NUMBER:	TASK TITLE:
3.9	Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study

PURPOSE:

The objective of this study is to investigate, analyze, and determine the feasibility of implementing Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and associated bus service enhancements as part of a Rapid Transit System Master Plan for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. A multimodal approach will be explored to offer the greatest improvements in mobility and to alleviate traffic congestion.

This system planning process will include a comprehensive overview of the existing transportation system, existing and future land use patterns, travel demand patterns, and roadway congestion issues. This study will:

1. identify and evaluate corridors for potential rapid transit service while considering any planned bicycle and pedestrian modifications; and

2. evaluate those corridors identified in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area Year 2025 Livable Community Reinvestment Plan for bus service enhancements, express bus service, potential bus lanes or busways, and other transportation system modifications.

PREVIOUS WORK COMPLETED:

None.

METHODOLOGY:

City of Gainesville Regional Transit System staff will develop:

1. a scope of services to conduct the rapid transit feasibility study;

2. the request for proposals;

3. the contract for services; and

4. select a consultant to conduct the rapid transit feasibility study.

END PRODUCT: Select consultant to conduct the Rapid Transit Feasibility Study. Anticipated completion date is June 30, 2007.

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES											
2006						2007					
Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	FUNDING SOURCES					
	FEDERAL (HPP)	STATE CASH	STATE INKIND	LOCAL CASH	LOCAL INKIND	TOTAL
NCFRPC	$100,320	-	-	-	-	$100,320
FDOT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alachua County	-	-	-	-	-	-
*City of Gainesville	-	-	-	$25,080	$15,000	$40,080
University of Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	$100,320			$25,080	$15,000	$140,140

*Lead Agency

AGENCY PARTICIPATION TABLE
FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007

COLUMN NUMBER	FDOT	NCFRPC	ALACHUA COUNTY	CITY OF GAINESVILLE	University Of Florida	TOTAL
1.0 ADMINISTRATION						
1.1 Program Management	14,911	67,606	9,360	13,258	2,000	107,135
1.2 Functional and Financial Responsibility	10,587	48,000				58,587
1.3 Professional Development	5,293	34,194				39,487
1.4 Program Reporting	7,719	35,000				42,719
1.5 State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance FTA		13,806				13,806
1.6 State Support and SPR Program Management and Technical Assistance PL						
2.0 SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES						
2.1 System Characteristics			37,780	33,102		70,882
2.2 System-Associated Characteristics	5,514	25,000			1,000	31,514
3.0 SYSTEM PLANNING						
3.1 System Review and Analysis	10,366	47,000	19,470	4,198	2,000	83,034
3.2 Long Range Plan Update	18,494	83,853		4,950	3,500	110,797
3.3 Transportation Disadvantaged Program		20,769				20,769
3.4 TSM/TDM Element		124,254			2,000	126,254
3.5 Management Systems (MPO)	10,587	48,000				58,587
3.6 Charrette Element	1,102	15,000			3,500	19,602
3.7 Regional Planning	8,822	40,000				48,822
3.8 Planned Growth and Transportation Improvements Consistency	9,930	45,022		4,535		59,487
3.9 Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study				140,400		140,400
4.0 PROJECT PLANNING						
4.1 (No work task programmed at this time)						
5.0 FDOT STATE-WIDE PLANNING STUDIES						
5.1 (No work task programmed at this time)						
6.0 FDOT DISTRICT II PLANNING STUDIES						
6.1 (No work task programmed at this time)						
6.2 (No work task programmed at this time)						
TOTAL	**103,325**	**647,504**	**66,610**	**200,443**	**14,000**	**1,031,882**

m\p\ms06\upwp\tab1 WK4

TABLE 2

FUNDING SOURCE TABLE
FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007

COLUMN NUMBER		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	(1+3+7+10)	(2+4+8+10)	(5+6+9)	(1-10)
		U. S. D. O. T. S. P. R.		FTA			Other Local/ Agency Work	FHWA SECTION 112			FDOT STUDY/ GRANTS	TOTAL FEDERAL	TOTAL STATE	TOTAL LOCAL	GRAND TOTAL
		Federal	State	Federal	State	MTPO		Federal	State	MTPO					
1.0	**ADMINISTRATION**														
1.1	Program Management						24,618	67,606	14,911			67,606		24,618	107,135
1.2	Functional and Financial Responsibility							48,000	10,587			48,000		0	58,587
1.3	Professional Development						10,194	24,000	5,293			24,000		10,194	39,487
1.4	Program Reporting							35,000	7,719			35,000		0	42,719
1.5	State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance FTA				13,806								13,806		13,806
1.6	State Support and SPR Program Management and Technical Assistance PL														
2.0	**SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES**														
2.1	System Characteristics						70,882							70,882	70,882
2.2	System-Associated Characteristics						1,000	25,000	5,514			25,000		1,000	31,514
3.0	**SYSTEM PLANNING**														
3.1	System Review and Analysis						25,668	47,000	10,366			47,000		25,668	83,034
3.2	Long Range Plan Update						8,450	83,853	18,494			83,853		8,450	110,797
3.3	Transportation Disadvantaged Program										20,769		20,769		20,769
3.4	TSM/TDM Element			110,448		13,806	2,000					110,448		15,806	126,254
3.5	Management Systems							48,000	10,587			48,000		0	58,587
3.6	Charrette Element						3,500	5,000	1,102	10,000		5,000		13,500	19,602
3.7	Regional Planning							40,000	8,822			40,000		0	48,822
3.8	Planned Growth and Transportation Improvements Consistency						4,535	45,022	9,930			45,022		4,535	59,487
3.9	Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study			100,320			40,080							40,080	140,400
4.0	**PROJECT PLANNING**														
4.1	(No work tasks programmed at this time)														
5.0	**FDOT STATE-WIDE PLANNING STUDIES**														
5.1	Provision of Transportation Data														
6.0	**FDOT DISTRICT II PLANNING STUDIES**														
6.1	(No work tasks programmed at this time)														
6.2															
	TOTAL			**210,768**	**13,806**	**13,806**	**190,927**	**468,481**[1]	**103,325**[2]	**10,000**	**20,769**	**578,929**	**34,575**	**214,733**	**1,031,882**

m\p\ms06\upwp\tab2.wk4

[1] The MTPO does not plan on expending all PL funds that are available at this time.

[2] The FDOT will soft match the PL 112 funds using toll revenue expenditures as a credit toward the non-Federal matching share. The amount identified on this line represents the amount of soft match required (both State and local) for the amount of Federal PL 112 funds requested in this UPWP.

TABLE 3

ESTIMATE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES
FISCAL YEAR 2005/2006

COLUMN NUMBER		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	(1+3+7+10)	(2+4+8+10)	(5+6+9)	(1-10)
		U. S. D. O. T. S. P. R.		FTA			Other Local/ Agency Work	FHWA SECTION 112			FDOT STUDY/ GRANTS	TOTAL FEDERAL	TOTAL STATE	TOTAL LOCAL	GRAND TOTAL
		Federal	State	Federal	State	MTPO		Federal	State	MTPO					
1.0	**ADMINISTRATION**														
1.1	Program Management						17,312	67,606		527		67,606		17,839	85,445
1.2	Functional and Financial Responsibility							48,000		374		48,000		374	48,374
1.3	Procedural Development						10,000	24,000		187		24,000		10,187	34,187
1.4	Program Reporting							35,000		273		35,000		273	35,273
1.5	State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance FTA				11,049								11,049		11,049
1.6	State Support of SPR Program Management and Technical Assistance PL								[1] 84,573				84,573		84,573
2.0	**SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES**														
2.1	System Characteristics						57,943							57,943	57,943
2.2	System-Associated Characteristics						1,000	25,000		195		25,000		1,195	26,195
3.0	**SYSTEM PLANNING**														
3.1	System Review and Analysis						13,431	47,000		367		47,000		13,798	60,798
3.2	Long Range Plan Update						26,903	83,853		654	25,000	83,853		27,557	136,410
3.3	Transportation Disadvantaged Program										20,769		20,769		20,769
3.4	TSM/TDM Element			88,389		11,049	2,000					88,389		13,049	101,438
3.5	Management Systems							48,000		374		48,000		374	48,374
3.6	Charrette Element														
3.7	(No work task programmed at this time)														
4.0	**PROJECT PLANNING**														
4.1	(No work tasks programmed at this time)														
5.0	**FDOT STATE-WIDE PLANNING STUDIES**														
5.1	Provision of Transportation Data														
6.0	**FDOT DISTRICT II PLANNING STUDIES**														
6.1	(No work tasks programmed at this time)														
6.2															
	TOTAL			**88,389**	**11,049**	**11,049**	**128,589**	**378,459** [2]	**84,573**	**2,951**	**45,769**	**466,848**	**116,391**	**142,589**	**750,828**

m\p\ms06\upwp\tab3.wk4

[1] The FDOT will soft match the PL 112 funds using toll revenue expenditures as a credit toward the non-Federal matching share. The amount identified on this line represents the amount of soft match required (both State and local) for the amount of Federal PL 112 funds requested in this UPWP.

[2] The MTPO does not plan on expending all PL funds that are available at this time.

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX A

FUNDING CODES

An explanation of the Funding Codes for Tables 2 and 3.

Column 1 -	U.S. Department of Transportation funds for Highway Planning and Research (SPR- Statewide Planning and Research)
Column 2 -	Florida Department of Transportation funds used to match Highway Planning and Research monies
Column 3 -	Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5303 Funds
Column 4 -	Florida Department of Transportation funds for matching the FTA Section 5303 monies
Column 5 -	MTPO funds for matching the Section 5303 monies
Column 6 -	Other Local/Local Agency Work participation in the transportation planning program
Column 7 -	Federal Highway Administration Planning (PL) funds
Column 8 -	Florida Department of Transportation funds (in-kind services) for matching Planning (PL) monies
Column 9 -	MTPO funds for matching Planning (PL) monies
Column 10 -	Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and State Transportation Disadvantaged Commission funds

m\p\ms06\upwp\text2006.wpd

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX B

GRANT APPLICATION, CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES REQUIRED IN THE MPO UPWP

The following certifications and assurances are included in this Appendix:

1. Federal Fiscal Year (FY) 2007 Certification Regarding Lobbying;
2. Forms Required for Federal Transit Administration Section 5303 Funds:
    A. Standard Form 424, Application for Federal Assistance;
    B. Federal FY 2007 Certifications and Assurances for FTA Assistance;
    C. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 FTA Certifications and Assurances;
    D. Section 5303 Planning Line Item Codes - FY 2007 (FTA Funds Only);
    E. Section 5303 Approved Project Budget for FY 2007 (total dollars); and

2. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 Debarment and Suspension Certification; and
3. Statement of Continued Validity of One-time Submissions.

(page left blank intentionally)

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2007
CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal Contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress, in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000.00 and not more that $100,000.00 for each such failure.

5/5/06
Date

Rodney J. Long
Chairperson for the MPO

(page left blank intentionally)

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE

Version 7/03

2. DATE SUBMITTED	Applicant Identifier	
3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	State Application Identifier	
4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	Federal Identifier	

1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:

Application	Pre-application
☐ Construction	☐ Construction
XXX Non-Construction	☐ Non-Construction

5. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Legal Name: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

Organizational DUNS:

Address:

Street: 2009 NW 67 Place
Gainesville, Florida 32653-1603

City:

County: Alachua

State: Zip Code

Country:

Organizational Unit:

Department:

Division:

Name and telephone number of person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code)

Prefix: Mr. First Name: Charles

Middle Name: F.

Last Name: Justice

Suffix:

Email:

Phone Number (give area code): (352) 955-2200 x101

Fax Number (give area code):

6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER *(EIN)*: 59-1834302

8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:

XX New ☐ Continuation ☐ Revision

If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es)
(See back of form for description of letters.)

Other (specify)

7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (See back of form for Application Types)

Other (specify) Substate Organization

9. NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration

10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER: 20-505

TITLE (Name of Program): Section 5303 Technical Studies

11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: Technical Studies in Support of FY2006/2007 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT *(Cities, Counties, States, etc.)*: Grant Alachua County

13. PROPOSED PROJECT

Start Date: Ending Date:

14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:

a. Applicant b. Project

15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:

a. Federal	$ 110,448 .00
b. Applicant	$ 13,806 .00
c. State	$ 13,806 .00
d. Local	$ .00
e. Other	$ .00
f. Program Income	$ .00
g. TOTAL	$ 138,060 .00

16. IS APPLICATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?

a. Yes. XXX THIS PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON

DATE: March 15, 2000

b. No. ☐ PROGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E. O. 12372

☐ OR PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE FOR REVIEW

17. IS THE APPLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT?

☐ Yes If "Yes" attach an explanation. XXX No

18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.

a. Authorized Representative

Prefix: Mr. First Name: Rodney Middle Name: J.

Last Name: Long Suffix:

b. Title: Chair, Gainesville MTPO

c. Telephone Number (give area code): (352) 955-2200

d. Signature of Authorized Representative

e. Date Signed: 5/5/06

Previous Edition Usable
Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424 (Rev.9-2003)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

(page left blank intentionally)

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2007 CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES FOR FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

(Signature page alternative to providing Certifications and Assurances in TEAM-Web)

Name of Applicant: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

The Applicant agrees to comply with applicable requirements of Categories 1 - 23. xx

OR

The Applicant agrees to comply with the applicable requirements of the following Categories it has selected:

Category	Description	
01.	For Each Applicant.	_____
02.	Lobbying.	_____
03.	Procurement Compliance.	_____
04.	Private Providers of Public Transportation.	_____
05.	Public Hearing.	_____
06.	Acquisition of Rolling Stock.	_____
07.	Acquisition of Capital Assets by Lease.	_____
08.	Bus Testing.	_____
09.	Charter Service Agreement.	_____
10.	School Transportation Agreement.	_____
11.	Demand Responsive Service.	_____
12.	Alcohol Misuse and Prohibited Drug Use.	_____
13.	Interest and Other Financing Costs.	_____
14.	Intelligent Transportation Systems.	_____
15.	Urbanized Area Formula Program.	_____
16.	Clean Fuels Grant Program.	_____
17.	Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities Formula Program and Pilot Program.	_____
15.	Nonurbanized Area Formula Program.	_____
19.	Job Access and Reverse Commute Program.	_____
20.	New Freedom Program.	_____
21.	Alternative Transportation in Parks and Public Lands Program.	_____
22.	Infrastructure Finance Projects.	_____
23.	Deposits of Federal Financial Assistance to a State Infrastructure Banks.	_____

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2007 FTA CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES SIGNATURE PAGE

(Required of all Applicants for FTA assistance and all FTA Grantees with an active capital or formula project)

AFFIRMATION OF APPLICANT

Name of Applicant: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

Name and Relationship of Authorized Representative: Rodney J. Long, Chair

BY SIGNING BELOW on behalf of the Applicant, I declare that the Applicant has duly authorized me to make these certifications and assurances and bind the Applicant's compliance. Thus, the Applicant agrees to comply with all Federal statutes, regulations, executive orders, and directives applicable to each application it makes to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in Federal Fiscal Year 2007.

FTA intends that the certifications and assurances the Applicant selects on the other side of this document, as representative of the certifications and assurances in this document, should apply, as provided, to each project for which the Applicant seeks now, or may later, seek FTA assistance during Federal Fiscal Year 2007.

The Applicant affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the certifications and assurances it has made in the statements submitted herein with this document and any other submission made to FTA, and acknowledges that the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986, 31 U.S.C. 3801 *et seq.*, and implementing U.S. DOT regulations, "Program Fraud Civil Remedies," 49 CFR part 31 apply to any certification, assurance or submission made to FTA. The criminal fraud provisions of 18 U.S.C. 1001 apply to any certification, assurance, or submission made in connection with the Federal public transportation program authorized in 49 U.S.C. chapter 53 or any other statute.

In signing this document, I declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing certifications and assurances, and any other statements made by me on behalf of the Applicant are true and correct.

Signature _____ Date: 5/05/06

Name Rodney J. Long

Authorized Representative of Applicant

AFFIRMATION OF APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY

For (Name of Applicant): Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

As the undersigned Attorney for the above named Applicant, I hereby affirm to the Applicant that it has authority under state and local law to make and comply with the certifications and assurances as indicated on the foregoing pages. I further affirm that, in my opinion, the certifications and assurances have been legally made and constitute legal and binding obligations on the Applicant.

I further affirm to the Applicant that, to the best of my knowledge, there is no legislation or litigation pending or imminent that might adversely affect the validity of these certifications and assurances, or of the performance of the project.

Signature _____ Date: 3/15/06

Name David Schwartz

Applicant's Attorney

Each Applicant for FTA financial assistance (except 49 U.S.C. 5312(b) assistance and each FTA Grantee with an active capital or formula project must provide an Affirmation of Applicant's Attorney pertaining to the Applicant's legal capacity. The Applicant may enter its signature in lieu of the Attorney's signature, providing the Applicant has on file this Affirmation, signed by the attorney and dated this Federal fiscal year.

Section 5303
GMIS Planning Line Item Codes - FY 2007
(FTA Funds Only)

Technical Classifications:

44.21.00	Program Support and Administration	$
44.22.00	General Development and Comprehensive Planning	
44.23.00	Long Range Transportation Planning (including Major Investment Studies and IS)	
44.23.01	System Level	16,817
44.23.02	Project Level	
44.24.00	Short Range Transportation Planning	39,804
44.25.00	Transportation Improvement Program	22,557
44.16.00	Special Projects	
44.26.01	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	31,270
44.26.02	Clean Air Planning	
44.26.04	Environmental Justice	
44.26.05	Environmental Streamlining	
44.26.26	Safety	
44.26.07	Management and Operations	
44.26.08	Coordination with Non-Emergency Services	
44.26.09	Planning/NEPA Integration	
44.26.10	Consultation with Local Officials (State)	
41.26.11	Enhancing Technical Capacity of Planning Processes	
44.26.82	Planning Emphasis- System Planning and Operation	
44.26.84	Planning Emphasis -Financial Planning	
44.26.86	Planning Emphasis- Environmental and Community Impact	
44.26.88	Planning Emphasis- Transportation and Equity	
44.27.00	Other Activities	
	Total FTA Funds	$ 110,448

Accounting Classifications

44.30.01	Personnel	$ 71,060
44.30.02	Fringe Benefits	Included Above
44.30.03	Travel	
44.30.04	Equipment	
44.30.05	Supplies	
44.30.06	Contractual	
44.30.07	Other	305
44.30.08	Indirect Charges	39,083
	Total FTA Funds	$ 110,448

Fund Allocations

44.40.01	MPO Activities	$ 110,448
44.40.02	Transit Operator Activities	
44.40.03	State and/or Local Agency Activities	
	Total FTA Funds	$ 110,448

1. Program Support and Administration (44.11.00). Include basic overhead, program support, and general administrative costs directly chargeable to the FTA project, i.e., direct program support, administration, interagency coordination, citizen participation, public information, local assistance, UPWP development, etc. (If direct program administrative and support costs are included in each work or activity, do not enter them a second time in this category.)

2. General Development and Comprehensive Planning (44.12.00). Include only the costs of activities *specifically emphasizing* regional policy and system planning for non-transportation functional areas, including the development and maintenance of related data collection and analysis systems, demographic analysis and non-transportation modeling and forecasting activity, i.e., land use, housing, human services, environmental and natural resources, recreation and open space, public facilities and utilities, etc.

3. Long Range Transportation Planning (LRTP) - Metropolitan & Statewide.

    a. Long Range Transportation Planning (LRTP) - System Level (44.13.01). Include only the costs of activities *specifically emphasizing* long range transportation system planning and analysis, i.e. long range travel forecasting and modeling including appropriate date base development and maintenance for transportation in the entire metropolitan area or state, system analysis, sketch planning, system plan development, reappraisal or revision, and all long range, Transportation System Management (TSM) activities.

    b. Long Range Transportation Planning (LRTP) - Project Level (44.13.02). Include only the costs of activities *specifically emphasizing* long range project level planning and analysis, i.e., Major Investment Studies (corridor and subarea studies), cost effectiveness studies, feasibility and location studies, and the preparation of related draft environmental impact studies.

4. Short Range Transportation Planning (SRTP) (44.14.00). Include only the cost of activities *specifically emphasizing* short range transportation system or project planning and analysis proposed in the next three to five years, i.e., management analyses of internal operations such as, management/administration, maintenance, personnel, and labor relations; service planning including appropriate data base development and maintenance; TDP preparation; financial management planning, including alternative fare box policies; all short range Transportation System Management (TSM) activities including vanpool/ridesharing, high occupancy vehicles, parking management, etc.

5. Transportation Improvement Program (44.15.00). Include only the costs of activities *specifically emphasizing* TIP development and monitoring.

6. Special Projects.

    a. Americans with Disability Act (44.16.01). Include only the cost of planning and analysis activities *specifically emphasizing* the planning, development and improvement of transportation services to the elderly and persons with disabilities, particularly the wheelchair bound and semi-ambulatory, i.e., 504 planning, special service planning and evaluation, paratransit policy, etc.

b. Clean Air Planning (44.16.02). Include only the cost of FTA assisted activities *specifically emphasizing* air quality planning and analysis, for example, development analysis, and evaluation of transportation control strategies and measures, air quality-related modeling and analysis of transportation plans and programs. SIP development and revision activities, air quality monitoring, etc. (Do not include EPA Section 175 funds.)

c. Financial Planning (44.16.06). Include only the cost of activities *specifically emphasizing* the development of the financial plan required by 49 U.S.C. Sections 5303(f) and 5304(b) to support implementation of the TIP and the Metropolitan Long-Range Transportation Plan.

d. Management Systems (44.16.07). Include only the costs of activities *specifically emphasizing* the development, establishment and implementation of the management systems required by the joint FHWA/FTA Management and Monitoring Systems regulation, 23 C.F.R. Parts 500 and 626 and 49 C.F.R. Part 614. In metropolitan areas, these systems are developed and implemented in cooperation with MPOs. Three of the management systems -- Traffic Congestion, Intermodal Facilities and Systems, Public Transportation Facilities and Equipment --must be part of the metropolitan planning process. The costs for Management Systems for highways -- Pavement, Bridge, and Safety -- should use BLI 44.18.00, "Highway Planning."

e. Public Participation (44.16.08). Include only the cost of activities *specifically emphasizing* development, establishment and implementation of the public involvement process as an integral part of the statewide and metropolitan planning process.

f. Livable Communities (44.16.10). Include only the cost of activities *specifically emphasizing* the planning for livable communities, e.g., consideration of those required factors that are specifically related to preserving or enhancing the quality of life. These factors include: congestion relief and prevention, effect of transportation policy decisions on land use and development, and the overall social, economic, energy, and environmental effects of transportation decisions. Other planning activities emphasizing quality of life would include: identification of the relevant issues that relate transportation goals to those of the community; and analysis of methods to assure consideration of quality of life factors in planning and programming.

7. Highway Planning (44.18.00). Specify and include only the cost of activities related *exclusively* to highway and highway-related planning, e.g., the development, establishment and implementation of the three highway management systems --Pavement, Bridge, and Highway Safety. Costs under this line item must be separable from nonhighway costs to prevent double counting of proposed expenditures.

8. Other Activities (44.17.00). Include only the cost of those activities whose primary emphasis is *un*related to the specific types of activities described above.

(page left blank intentionally)

Section 5303
Approved Project Budget for FY 2007
(total dollars)

Technical Classifications:

44.21.00	Program Support and Administration	$
44.22.00	General Development and Comprehensive Planning	
44.23.00	Long Range Transportation Planning (including Major Investment Studies and IS)	
44.23.01	System Level	21,021
44.23.02	Project Level	
44.24.00	Short Range Transportation Planning	49,755
44.25.00	Transportation Improvement Program	28,196
44.16.00	Special Projects	
44.26.01	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	39,088
44.26.02	Clean Air Planning	
44.26.04	Environmental Justice	
44.26.05	Environmental Streamlining	
44.26.26	Safety	
44.26.07	Management and Operations	
44.26.08	Coordination with Non-Emergency Services	
44.26.09	Planning/NEPA Integration	
44.26.10	Consultation with Local Officials (State)	
41.26.11	Enhancing Technical Capacity of Planning Processes	
44.26.82	Planning Emphasis- System Planning and Operation	
44.26.84	Planning Emphasis -Financial Planning	
44.26.86	Planning Emphasis- Environmental and Community Impact	
44.26.88	Planning Emphasis- Transportation and Equity	
44.27.00	Other Activities	
	Total Net Project Cost	$ 138,060

Accounting Classifications

44.30.01	Personnel	$ 88,825
44.30.02	Fringe Benefits	Included Above
44.30.03	Travel	
44.30.04	Equipment	
44.30.05	Supplies	
44.30.06	Contractual	
44.30.07	Other	381
44.30.08	Indirect Charges	48,854
	Total Net Project Cost	$ 138,060

Fund Allocations

44.40.01	MPO Activities	$ 138,060
44.40.02	Transit Operator Activities	
44.40.03	State and/or Local Agency Activities	
	Total Net Project Cost	$ 138,060
	Federal Share (80%)	$ 110,488
	Local Share (20%)	$ 27,612

Accounting Classification	FPC	Description	Amount
91.37.08.8P-2	02	Technical Studies - Planning	$ 138,060

(page left blank intentionally)

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2007
DEBARMENT AND SUSPENSION CERTIFICATION

As required by U.S. Regulations on Government-wide Debarment and Suspension (Non-procurement) at 49 CFR 29.510

(1) The Metropolitan Planning Organization certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principles:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (b) of this certification; and

(d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this certification had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.

(2) The Metropolitan Planning Organization also hereby certifies that if, later, it becomes aware of any information contradicting the statements of paragraphs (a) through (d) above, it will promptly provide that information to the U.S. DOT.

Rodney J. Long
Chairperson for the MPO

5/05/06
Date

(page left blank intentionally)

STATEMENT OF CONTINUED VALIDITY OF ONE-TIME SUBMISSIONS

The following documents (and dates submitted to/acknowledged by FTA) have been submitted to FTA previously, continue to remain valid and accurate, and do not require revisions or updating:

[x] Opinion of Counsel/Date: April 14, 1989
[x] 504 Assurance/Date: April 14, 1989
[x] UMTA Civil Rights Assurance/Date: April 14, 1989
[x] DOT Title VI Assurance/Date: June 16, 2005
[x] Standard Assurances/Date: June 27, 1989
[x] Primary Nonprocurement Debarment and Suspension Certification/Date: June 27, 1989
[x] MPO Designation/Date: December 12, 1979, amended May 26, 2004

The applicant understands and agrees that the use of FTA funds approved for this project/ program shall be consistent with the applicable sections of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended, Section 3, 3(a)(1)(C), 3(a)(1)(D), 4(I), 6, 8, 9B, 10, 16(b)(2), 18, or 20, or 23 U.S.C. Sections 103 (3) (4) and 142; pertinent Federal laws; and pertinent Federal rules, regulations, and circulars; and that the use of FTA funds is subject to audit and review. Such funds shall be returned to FTA if, after audit, it is found that they have been used improperly. Further, the applicant certifies or affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the contents of the statements submitted on or with this statement and understands that the provisions of 31 U.S.C. Section 3801 et seq. are applicable thereto.

The applicant's eligibility to receive FTA grants, as originally certified in the Opinion of Counsel, remain in effect. Further, signature of the attorney is certification that there is no pending or threatened litigation or other action which might adversely affect the ability of the grantee to carry out the project/program.

Rodney J. Long, Chair
Metropolitan Transportation
Planning Organization for the
Gainesville Urbanized Area

David Schwartz, MTPO Attorney

5/03/06
Date

ATTENTION: Whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States, knowingly and willfully falsifies or conceals a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C., Section 1001 (982).

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX C

8 METROPOLITAN PLANNING FACTORS
AND
PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007

RELATIONSHIP TO MPO UPWP TASKS

8 METROPOLITAN PLANNING FACTORS

The following is a listing of the areas to be considered in the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). As required by SAFETEA-LU, these areas are being addressed in the development of transportation plans and programs.

FACTOR 1 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;

FACTOR 2 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users;

FACTOR 3 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will increase the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight;

FACTOR 4 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, "promot[ing] consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns and improve quality of life;

FACTOR 5 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;

FACTOR 6 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will promote efficient system management and operation;

FACTOR 7 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system; and

FACTOR 8 The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users.

(page left blank intentionally)

UPWP-FISCAL YEAR 2007 WORK TASKS SUMMARY DESCRIPTION

NUMBER	TASK TITLE
1.1	Program Management
1.2	Functional and Financial Responsibility
1.3	Professional Development
1.4	Program Reporting
1.5	State Support and Program Management And Technical Assistance FTA
1.6	State Support and Program Management and Technical Assistance PL
2.1	System Characteristics
2.2	System-Associated Characteristics
3.1	System Review and Analysis
3.2	Long Range Plan Update
3.3	Transportation Disadvantaged Program
3.4	Transportation Systems Management (TSM)/ Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Element
3.5	Management Systems
3.6	Charrette Element
3.7	Regional Planning
3.8	Planned Growth and Transportation Improvement Consistency
3.9	Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study

TABLE 4

8 SAFETEA-LU METROPOLITAN PLANNING FACTORS - UPWP FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007 TASK RELATIONSHIP MATRIX

UPWP TASK	METROPOLITAN PLANNING FACTOR							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.1								
1.2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
1.3	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
1.4		X		X	X	X	X	X
1.5		X		X		X	X	X
1.6				X		X	X	
2.1						X	X	X
2.2				X				
3.1	X				X	X	X	
3.2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3.3		X		X	X	X	X	X
3.4		X	X			X	X	X
3.5	X		X			X	X	
3.6						X	X	
3.7	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3.8				X				
3.9			X	X		X	X	

MS06/UPWP/7MATRIX WK4

TABLE 5

PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS
UPWP FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007
TASK RELATIONSHIP MATRIX

UPWP	PLANNING EMPHASIS AREA											
	FEDERAL						STATE					
TASK	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.1	X			X				X	X	X	X	X
1.2	X							X	X			X
1.3					X							
1.4												
1.5												
1.6												
2.1												
2.2												
3.1	X		X					X	X			
3.2		X					X	X				
3.3						X	X	X	X			
3.4								X	X	X		
3.5			X									X
3.6								X	X			X
3.7							X	X	X			X
3.8								X				X
3.9								X	X			X

MS06/UPWP/EMFMTRIX WK4

PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS
FEDERAL
1. Consideration of Safety and Security in the Transportation Planning Process
2. Linking the Planning and NEPA Processes
3. Consideration of Management and Operations within Planning Processes
4. State DOT Consultation with Non-Metropolitan Local Officials
5. Enhancing the Technical Capacity of Planning Processes
6. Coordination of Human Service Transportation
STATE
7. Regional Planning
8. Public Involvement *
9. MPO TIP Project Prioritization Process
10. Transit Quality of Service
11. Three-Year Business Plan
12. Promote Consistency between Transportation Inprovements and Planned Growth

* Althhough this Planning Emphasis Area is only described in one task, it may also apply to other tasks, as shown above in Table 5

Shaded columns/rows are both Federal and State Planning Emphasis Areas [FDOT Office of Policy Planning, 12/07/05]

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX D

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AADT - Annual Average Daily Traffic
ADA - Americans with Disabilities Act
BPAB - Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
CAC - Citizens Advisory Committee
CFR - Code of Federal Regulations
COOP - Continuity of Operations Plan
CTC - Community Transportation Coordinator
CTD - Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged
CTPP - Census Transportation Planning Package
ETDM - Efficient Transportation Decision Making
FDOT - Florida Department of Transportation
FTA - Federal Transit Administration
FY - Fiscal Year
FHWA - Federal Highway Administration
GUATS - Gainesville Urbanized Area Transportation Study
HPMS - Highway Performance Monitoring System
ITS - Intelligent Transportation System
LCB - Local Coordinating Board
LOS - Level of Service
MTPO - Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization
MPO - Metropolitan Planning Organization
NCFRPC - North Central Florida Regional Planning Council
PEA - Planning Emphasis Area
PL - Planning
SAFETEA-LU -Safe, Accountable, Flexible Efficient Transportation Equity Act- A Legacy for Users
SPR - Statewide Planning and Research
TAC - Technical Advisory Committee
TD - Transportation Disadvantaged
TDM - Transportation Demand Management
TDP - Transit Development Plan
TDSP - Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan
TEA-21 - Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century
TIP - Transportation Improvement Program
TSM - Transportation System Management
UMTA - Urban Mass Transit Administration
UPWP - Unified Planning Work Program
U.S.C. - United State Code
USDOT - United States Department of Transportation

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX E

GAINESVILLE METROPOLITAN AREA (GMA) PLANNING STUDIES

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO) PLANNING STUDIES

1. Long range transportation plan, including needs and cost feasible plans

   Anticipated Completion Date - Five-year cycle; latest edition adopted in 2005
   Expected Products - GMA-wide long range (20-year) transportation planning

2. Transportation Improvement Program

   Anticipated Completion Date - each year
   Expected Products - GMA-wide short range (5-year) transportation planning

3. Multimodal Level of Service Report

   Anticipated Completion Date - each year
   Expected Products - GMA-wide average annual daily traffic (AADT) study, with multimodal (automotive, bicycle, pedestrian and transit) analysis for 34 ARTPLAN-analyzed roadway facilities

4. Regional Transit System Annual Ridership Monitoring Report

   Anticipated Completion Date - each year
   Expected Products - RTS fixed-route bus annual ridership study

CITY OF GAINESVILLE AND ALACHUA COUNTY

1. Traffic Volume Surveillance

   Anticipated Completion Date - each year
   Expected Products - annual traffic counts

2. Accident Surveillance and Study Program

   Anticipated Completion Date - each year
   Expected Products - annual crash information

3. Transit Development Plan for the Regional Transit System

   Anticipated Completion Date - each year
   Expected Products - annual transit service plan

4. Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan

   Anticipated Completion Date - each year
   Expected Products - countywide short range (3-year) paratransit service planning

APPENDIX F

COST ALLOCATION

FOR THE

NORTH CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

2007

(page left blank intentionally)

INTRODUCTION

The following report explains our indirect cost system and contains documentation for that system's basis. Organizations such as the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council, by their nature, create many accounting problems. During the course of a fiscal year, new grants may be added which were not included in the original budget. Many grants have fiscal years which do not correspond with the Council's fiscal year. Problems such as these make the drawing of an overall budget difficult and complicates the bookkeeping process since some costs have to be carried over more than one fiscal year to enable the Council to report the grant expenditures correctly. It also causes many problems in the allocation of expenses. Since the Council's makeup is based entirely on grants, matching funds, and membership dues, the general overhead costs of maintaining the office must be shared by all grants.

FMC Circular 74-4 provides means by which all grants may be charged a portion of those costs which are necessary to the operation of an organization but cannot be specifically identified as a cost of those grants. This document provides for the establishment of a "cost pool" where indirect costs may be accumulated and then prorated to various cost objectives on a reasonable and equitable basis. All direct costs will be charged directly to the appropriate cost objective and the indirect costs will be accumulated in an account called "Indirect Cost Pool." Within this cost pool, expenses will be broken down by line item accounts. Through the indirect cost rate, these indirect costs are prorated back to the cost objectives.

The indirect cost rate is a ratio between total indirect costs and the direct personnel costs. A cost allocation is simply a process which sets out the projected direct costs, the projected indirect costs, and the projected base for allocation of these costs, thus arriving at an indirect cost rate for those costs. We have chosen personnel costs as our basis for proration because almost every one of the cost items considered indirect will increase with the addition of personnel.

This cost allocation plan should provide a fair and equitable method for allocating indirect costs.

DIRECT VS INDIRECT POLICY STATEMENT

Basically, the policy for determining which costs are direct and which are indirect is dependent on the definition set down in FMC Circular No. 74-4. Indirect costs are those (a) incurred for a common or joint purpose benefiting more than one cost objective and (b) not readily assignable to the cost objectives specifically benefited, without effort disproportionate to the results achieved. Using this basic principle, determination can be made for each expense.

Personnel Costs - Using the timesheet as a tool, time worked on any specific grant can be charged to that grant by using each employee's chargeable rate. Some job functions can't be charged to a specific program because the time expended is of benefit to all the programs in general and so should be considered indirect. Some of this work falls into the administrative category and covers: some work performed by the Executive Director; most of the time of the Executive Secretary (who handles work flow in the secretarial pool and general administrative duties for the Executive Director); and most duties of the Bookkeeper and the Finance and Accounting Director. Most of the secretarial and graphics personnel costs are non-administrative in nature, but because this work is pooled, it is charged to programs on an indirect basis as well. Indirect personnel costs are charged to the Indirect Cost Pool and charged out along with other indirect expenses.

Rent - This expense is most easily treated as an indirect expense. All the common areas, such as hallways, closets, and restrooms, are indirect by nature. Areas which are used primarily for administrative work or areas whose use is fragmented, such as the secretarial pool or graphics room, are also indirect. Space used by planners is indirect because the use increases directly with increase in personnel costs.

Machine Rental/Maintenance - This expense covers rental on any temporary typewriter, leases on all dictation equipment, and maintenance on all office equipment. The maintenance of office equipment benefits everyone in general and it would be impossible to calculate each grant's use of the various pieces of equipment.

Office Supplies - By their nature, office supplies are usually expenses which are not readily assignable to a specific grant because of a disproportionate amount of time involved to determine each program's use. Many of these supplies used are also mainly to benefit all the programs in general. Supplies purchased for use on a specific program are charged as a direct expense to that program.

Graphic Supplies - Many graphic supplies are bought as a general supply and are not readily assignable. Supplies purchased for use on a specific program are charged as a direct expense to that program.

Telephone - The monthly service charge and long distance costs are considered indirect both because they are not readily assignable and because there is a large part of this cost which is for all programs in general (for instance, phones used by secretaries, graphics personnel, etc.).

Postage - Most postage charges are direct and are determined by the use of a postage log kept as mail is run through the postage meter. Some postage is for general use, such as administrative correspondence or correspondence for purchases and disbursement.

Audit - An annual audit by an independent C.P.A. firm is a requirement of the Council, is to the general benefit of all programs, and is charged to indirect.

Printing - Printing for a specific program is a direct charge and is determined by actual cost. Some printing is for general benefit (i.e., general office forms).

Computer Operations - This expense is entirely direct and is determined by an itemized invoice from the data processing center.

Dues, Publications and Subscriptions - This expense is generally indirect. The maintenance of a library is for general use of the entire Council and accessible to any employee of the Council. Dues to various organizations are for the benefit of the entire Council as most are national.

Moving Expenses - The indirect portion of this expense refers to expense of moving furniture and equipment to new office space. The direct portion is the moving expense allocation paid to new employees to help cover relocation expenses when they joint the Council staff. It is charged to Council Dues. The expense covers documented moving expenses only.

Recruiting - This is an indirect expense and covers expenses of choosing an employee to fill a position with the Council, such as, newspaper and publication advertisements and travel expenses incurred for personal interviews.

Travel - This expense is both direct and indirect. Travel expenses incurred for the benefit of a specific program are charged directly to that program. Travel expenses incurred for benefit of the entire Council are indirect.

Insurance and Bonding - This expense covers General Liability/Fire and Casualty policy, workmen's compensation and bonding coverage. Almost all the policies are maintained for general benefit and are indirect expenses, any policy which benefits one program only, e.g., insurance on Job Training Learning Labs, is charged directly to that program.

Contractual - All contractual expenses are direct and are charged to the program which negotiated the contract.

Furniture and Equipment - Fixed assets are purchased by the Council and the purchase costs are recovered from the projects by depreciation charges, either directly or indirectly, as appropriate. Where the asset is required for a particular project (example: a special calculator), the depreciation charges are charged directly to that project over an appropriate life/project period. Assets having a more general usage, such as desks, chairs, typewriters, and file cabinets, are depreciated through the indirect pool using a generally accepted method of computing depreciation. Almost all assets purchased fall into the latter group.

Unemployment Compensation - As a governmental entity, the Council is required by state law to be a self-insured entity for unemployment compensation purposes. The Council policy adopted during Fiscal Year 1994-1995 increased the level of the unemployment compensation pool from $10,000 to $30,000. Replenishments or increases to the pool are made by charging the Indirect Pool one percent of personnel costs for each pay period until the authorized level is reached.

North Central Florida Regional Planning Council

Quarterly Fixed Rate Distribution of Indirect Costs Starting 10/01/2003

For almost thirty years North Central Florida Regional Planning Council has used a Monthly Final Rate for allocation of Indirect costs. All costs incurred by the Council are either Direct or Indirect according to the Plan on file in the office and available for review by any interested party. In 1982, the latest review by a cognizant agency of any sort, the Plan was declared acceptable as to form and content by the Office of Audits, Office of Inspector General, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Beginning with Fiscal Year 2003-2004 the Council will move to a Quarterly Fixed Rate method of distributing Indirect costs. Indirect costs will be accumulated into the Indirect Costs Pool as has been done in the past. A Fixed Rate will be derived from the annual budget adopted by the Council for use in distributing Indirect costs from the Indirect Costs Pool to the various Cost Center Projects, using Total Direct Labor as the base for allocation, as always.

Differences between the Indirect Costs Pool as accumulated for the quarter ending in December and the Indirect Cost Applied during that quarter will be adjusted during the quarter ending in March of the fiscal year, by raising or lowering the Fixed Rate of Indirect Cost Applied for the quarter ending in March.

For each subsequent quarter during the fiscal year, Indirect Expense will be applied at the Fixed Rate plus or minus a percentage intended to reduce or eliminate the cumulative over-or-under-applied Indirect Costs Pool expenditures.

At the end of the fiscal year, the over-or-under-applied Indirect Costs Pool remaining will appear on the Balance Sheet of the Council (as either an asset or as a liability, as appropriate) and will be used to adjust the Indirect Rate derived from the budget adopted by the Council for the first quarter of the subsequent Council fiscal year.

TABLE I

Summary of Projected Expenses and Revenues
Fiscal Year October 1, 2005 - September 30, 2006

Expense Accounts	INDIRECT EXPENSES	DIRECT EXPENSES
Personnel Costs	$390,454	$960,418
Unemploy Comp		
Building and Grounds	$75,000	
Machine Rental/Maint.	$5,000	$2,000
Office and Graphic Supplies	$26,000	$3,000
Telephone	$9,500	
Postage	$4,000	$13,000
Reproduction	$11,000	
Audit	$12,500	
Printing	$4,000	
Dues, Pub., Subs., & Training	$37,000	$3,000
Legal		
Notices, Filing Fees, and Attorney Fees	$4,000	$10,000
Travel	$13,000	$62,000
Meeting Expenses	$4,000	$1,000
Move		
Recruit		
Insurance and Bonding	$18,000	
Furniture and Equipment		$15,000
Depreciation	$20,000	
Contractual Service		$136,000
Original Fl Tourism Task Force		$56,000
Contingency		$8,428
Total Indirect	$633,454	
Total Direct		$1,269,846
TOTAL EXPENSES (Dir & Indir)		$1,903,300

REVENUES Allocated to Budgeted Programs	
Program Development and Council Activities	$34,000
Regional Planning	
State Planning and Review	$216,000
DRI Review & Monitor	$48,000
Public Safety and Regulatory Compliance	
Homeland Security Activities	$60,000
Hazardous Waste Monitoring	$57,000
Local Emergency Response Comm	$67,000
Hazards Ananyses	$16,000
Transportation	
GUATS	$421,500
Mass Transit	$110,000
Section 5311	$54,000
Transportation Disadvantaged	
Alachua Co	$22,700
Region	$167,000
Economic Development	
CEDS & Tech Asst	$116,100
Tourism Devel	$56,000
Local Technical Assistance	
General Technical Services	$15,000
Local Planning	$330,000
CDBG Administration	$113,000
TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET	$1,903,300

APPENDIX G

BUSINESS PLAN

(page left blank intentionally)

PROPOSED BUSINESS PLAN FOR CARRYOVER FUNDS
FISCAL YEARS 2006/07 - 2010/11

The estimated amount that is not spent and carried forward each year is $20,000. This is illustrated in the chart below.

FISCAL YEAR (FY)				
2006/07	**2007/08**	**2008/09**	**2009/10**	**2010/11**
$138,570[1]	$158,570	$178,570	$198,570 -$175,000 $23,570[2]	$43,570

[1] $607,051 is available for programming, less $468, 481 programmed in FY 2006/07 UPWP.

[2] Work begins in FY 2009/10 on the Year 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) update with an estimated cost of $350,000 (half-funded by the Florida Department of Transportation and half-funded by the MTPO at $175,000 each).

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX H

AMENDMENTS

TO

FISCAL YEARS
2007

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

(page left blank intentionally)

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AMENDMENT LOG

The following log of projects are approved amendments to the Fiscal Years 2007 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP). This UPWP amendment log, Exhibit III, includes the following information:

1. UPWP amendment number (as assigned by MTPO staff);

2. Date UPWP amendment was approved by the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO)

3. UPWP amendment purpose, including, but not limited to:

    A. adding a new task;

    B. changing an existing task (refer to amendment description);

    C. deleting an existing task; and

    D. revising the tables to reflect changes in UPWP funding.

4. Amendment description.

    A. task/table number; and

    B. task/table modification.

This information will be incorporated into appropriate UPWP tasks and tables as the UPWP is amended in order to assure the consistency and accuracy of an up-to-date UPWP document.

EXHIBIT III

UPWP AMENDMENT LOG

UPWP AMENDMENT			AMENDMENT DESCRIPTION	
NUMBER	APPROVAL DATE	PURPOSE	TASK/ TABLE NUMBER	TASK/TABLE MODIFICATION
07-1	-	-	-	-
07-2	-	-	-	-
07-3	-	-	-	-

APPENDIX I

TITLE VI PROGRAM

(page left blank intentionally)

U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Federal Transit
Administration**

REGION IV
Alabama, Florida, Georgia,
Kentucky, Mississippi,
North Carolina, Puerto
Rico, South Carolina,
Tennessee

61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Suite 17T50
Atlanta, GA 30303-8917
404-562-3500
404-562-3505 (fax)

June 16, 2005

Mr. Marlie Sanderson
Director of Transportation Planning
North Central Florida Regional Planning Council
2009NW 67 Place, Suite A
Gainesville, Florida 32653

Re: Title VI Program – Approval
Federal Transit Administration ID #5844

Dear Mr. Sanderson:

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has completed its review of the Title VI program submitted by the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council and previous submissions in the year of 1999. This submission is required pursuant to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; FTA Circular 4702.1, "Title VI Program Guidelines for Federal Transit Administration Recipients," dated May 26, 1988; and Title 49, Chapter 53, Section 5332 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Based on our review, we have approved your program as of June 16, 2005. This approval expires on June 16, 2008; an updated program submission should be forwarded to FTA by May 15, 2008, 30 days prior to the expiration date. If major changes occur prior to the expiration date, an update must be submitted. FTA may request additional information, if necessary.

Thank you for your continued cooperation. Should you have questions, or need additional information, please contact me Mr. Frank M. Billue at (404) 562-3528, or my electronic mail address: Frank Billue @ fta.dot.gov.

Sincerely,

Frank Morris Billue
Regional Civil Rights Officer

NORTH CENTRAL FLORIDA
RECEIVED
JUN 20 2005
REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX J

PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS

(page left blank intentionally)

Florida Department of Transportation

JEB BUSH
GOVERNOR

605 Suwannee Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450

DENVER J. STUTLER, JR.
SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 7, 2005

TO: District Planning Managers

FROM: Bob Romig, Director
Office of Policy Planning

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2007 Planning Emphasis Areas

Every December, the Office of Policy Planning and the Transit Office send information to the Districts on statewide and regional tasks and any Planning Emphasis Areas (PEAs) that have been identified. Around the same time, the Program Development Office provides the Districts with the latest balance sheet. In January, the Districts share this information with the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) as they begin the development of the next Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).

Attached to this memorandum are the State and Federal PEAs for Fiscal Year 2007. These PEAs were reviewed by the FDOT Central Office and District staff. Until further notice, the Federal emphasis areas are the same as last year. There has been a modification to the State portion of PEA No. 3 (Consideration of Management and Operations within Planning Processes). The modification is based on comments received from the Federal Highway Administration during the most recent annual assessment. PEA No. 12 (Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and Planned Growth) is a new State emphasis area that is based on a modification made to one of the planning factors by SAFETEA-LU.

Please use the attached PEAs as you begin the development of the next UPWP with your MPOs. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Brian Pessaro of my staff at (850) 414-4816, SC 994-4816.

BP/ps
Attachment

cc: District Metropolitan Planning Organizations/Government Liaisons

RECYCLED PAPER

(page left blank intentionally)

State and Federal Planning Emphasis Areas for FY 2007

Item No.	Name	Level	Description
1	Consideration of Safety and Security in the Transportation Planning Process	Federal	This entails communication and collaboration among safety professionals, the enforcement community, and transportation planners in order to successfully integrate safety and security into all stages of the transportation planning process.
2	Linking the Planning and NEPA Processes	Federal / State	Federal: FHWA and FTA are developing guidance on the appropriate use of planning results during a NEPA review. State DOTs, MPOs, and transit agencies will be expected to develop action plans based on this guidance. Florida is satisfying this emphasis area through the ETDM process. State: MPOs should continue to integrate ETDM into their transportation planning processes.
3	Consideration of Management and Operations within Planning Processes	Federal / State	Federal: TEA-21 challenges FHWA and FTA to move beyond traditional capital programs for improving the movement of people and goods - focusing on the need to improve the way transportation systems are managed and operated. State: MPOs should ensure that their LRTP includes documentation of estimates of funds for programs that support, operate, and maintain the current system. These estimates should be provided for the highway (state and local) and transit components. For the state highway system, FDOT provides MPOs with an appendix describing these costs. MPOs should ensure that this appendix is included in their LRTP.
4	State DOT Consultation With Non-Metropolitan Local Officials	Federal	Not applicable to MPOs. Pursuant to 23 CFR 450.212(i), within two years of process implementation (February 24, 2006) and every five years thereafter, States must review and solicit comments (for a minimum of 60 days) from non-metropolitan local officials and other interested parties on the effectiveness of the existing consultation process(es) and proposed modifications. As part of this requirement, a "specific request for comments shall be directed to the State association of counties, State municipal league, regional planning agencies, or directly to non-metropolitan local officials."
5	Enhancing the Technical Capacity of Planning Processes	Federal	An evaluation is needed of the quality of information provided by the technical tools, data sources, and forecasting models, as well as the expertise of staff to ensure its adequacy to support decision-making. If this expertise is found to be lacking, the responsible agencies within metropolitan and statewide planning processes are encouraged to devote appropriate resources to enhance and maintain their technical capacity.
6	Coordination of Human Service Transportation	Federal / State	Federal: Human Service Transportation refers to programs that provide for the basic mobility needs of certain groups. It refers, for example, to services that get people with disabilities to work, give older citizens access to medical care, and provide people joining the labor market a ride to work. Through United We Ride Initiative, Federal, state, and local agencies are being encouraged to work together to ensure that transportation services are seamless, comprehensive and accessible to all citizens. State: UPWPs should address how the MPO will develop local service needs and provide information, advice, and direction to the community transportation coordinator in accordance with Subsection 427.015 and Subsection 427.1057 Florida Statutes.

State and Federal Planning Emphasis Areas for FY 2007

Item No.	Name	Level	Description
7	Regional Planning	State	The UPWP should provide for products and processes that result in regional planning and cooperation between MPOs and integration of the SIS. These regional plans should include regional project prioritization and ranking.
8	Public Involvement	State	MPOs should work to increase participation in the planning process by traditionally underserved segments of the population. In addition, MPOs should develop evaluation systems in regards to the effectiveness of their public involvement processes.
9	MPO TIP Project Prioritization Process	State	MPOs should ensure that their TIP includes a description of the methodology that was used to prioritize projects. The description should include the criteria that were used for ranking the projects, and preferably what weights were given to each criteria. The description should be done for highway, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian projects.
10	Transit Quality of Service	State	In conjunction with preparing LRTP updates, MPOs should assess the transit quality of service using Chapter 3 of Part 3 of the Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual. Funds are provided for this through the Section 5303 Grant Program.
11	Three-Year Business Plan	State	MPOs should include in their UPWP a plan that describes major planning activities anticipated 2 to 3 years from now. It is meant to illustrate the need for maintaining PL funds carryover balances in excess of 50%.
12	Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and Planned Growth	State	This PEA is based on a modification made by SAFETEA-LU to the planning factor dealing with the environment. MPOs should work with local governments to promote corridor management techniques, including access management strategies, right-of-way acquisition and protection measures, appropriate land use strategies, zoning, and setback requirements for adjacent land uses. Whenever possible, the plans and programs of the TIP should identify those facilities that are subject to such techniques.

APPENDIX K

ARCHER BRAID BICYCLE PEDESTRIAN CORRIDOR DESIGN PROPOSAL

(page left blank intentionally)

300 E University Ave
Suite 110
Gainesville, FL 32602
ph 352.334.7111
fx 352.334.7141
floridacommunitydesign.org

February 16, 2006

Marlie Sanderson, Director of Transportation Planning
North Central Florida Regional Planning Council
2009 N.W. 67th Place, Suite A
Gainesville, FL 32653-1603

RE: Archer Braid Bicycle Pedestrian Corridor Design Proposal

Dear Mr. Sanderson:

This letter of proposal outlines the goals, process, deliverables and cost to study alternative design strategies and project implementation prioritization for a major section of the Archer Braid bicycle corridor between the UF Campus and Tower Road. This Braid was identified in the in the 2004 Bicycle Master Plan Addendum as the highest immediate cycle priority. The project contract between the MTPO and the Florida Community Design Center, Inc. (501(c)(3)) will be initiated in August of 2006 and run through January of 2007. The Archer Braid has great catalytic potential for advancing a larger cycle network as it would serve a significant population of both City and County residents who are near proximity ease-west commuters. Currently there are very limited cycle facilities in this area. New facilities would support the Urban Village pedestrian/cycle oriented development proposed for the SW 20th Avenue Urban Village.

Goals. ❶ Develop and visualize implementation strategies identified in the 2004 Alachua Countywide Bicycle Master Plan Addendum and support the guidelines of the SW 24th Avenue Urban Village study. ❷ Exlpore design alternatives for path design (including permeable paving options) and design alternatives for key intersections and crossings (transport and ecological). ❸ Prioritize the individual projects in terms of implementation order — utilizing public preference information and cost benefit ratio based on existing data.

Process and Scope of Project. A design studio consisting of architecture, landscape and/or urban planning students will be lead by Martin Gold, Associate Professor in the School of Architecture assisted by staff from the Florida Community Design Center. Students will work individually and in groups to gather and translate relevant information into diagrammatic vignettes that identify components and protocols appropriate for a pedestrian cycle friendly commuter and recreational off-street path. Based on these studies, the most robust options will be developed further and integrated within a designed context. This process will produce three outcomes, ❶ integrated design options; ❷ a matrix of potential components to be integrated; and ❸ a prioritization schedule for projects identified. Project tasks are listed as follows:

❶ The studio will evaluate relevant materials including the SW 20th Urban Village & Transportation Study, Alachua County Master Plan, Bicycle Master Plan & Addendum, transportation right-of-way, Corridors to Campus Study, Alachua County Corridor Design Manual, AASHTO guidelines and other related resources.

❷ The Studio will meet with key stakeholders and relevant individuals to gather insights that may not be evident in the reports noted above. Objectives and priorities for the corridor will be identified and diagramed.

❸ Develop a most likely path locations balancing land ownership, geography and providing shortest route connectivity.

❹ Develop crossing and bridging designs (key intersections), path alternatives (configuration and materials), cycle parking and auto parking infrastructure, shading strategies, recreation and storm shelters, lighting alternatives, restroom design, information and activity kiosks, storm water retention areas and recommendations for remediation if required.

❺ Integrate components into design scheme proposals for SW 20th Avenue and the Hull Road extension developed by the Urban Design Studio. Add components as needed to the components matrix develop in that study.

❻ Wherever possible, design strategies will include sustainable practices, the integration of civil infrastructure including storm water catchments, riparian reclamation, contaminant stabilization and remediation.

❼ Conduct one public workshop & panel discussion to present proposals, alternative viewpoints and survey citizens with regard to project prioritization preference. The survey will not be limited to the workshop and a mechanism such as a survey form will used to gather public input either in printed or electronic form or both.

❽ Prepare printed format posters, a report booklet, internet ready publication and powerpoint executive summary for use by MTPO and NCRPC staff at community meetings.

❾ Present design proposals as final draft recommendations and prioritization to the MTPO advisory committees including the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board B/PAB), MTPO Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), MTPO Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and the MTPO. The approved final report will be presented to civic groups as needed.

❿ Project presentation materials will be exhibited at the Florida Community Design Center during the period it is under consideration for adoption by the MTPO which is open to the public from 9-5 Monday through Friday.

The work will be vetted through review by outside consultants, steering committee meetings and a public workshop. Consultants will include local environmental engineers, rails-to-trails experts, public utilities consultants and transportation engineers.

Deliverables. Project protocols, design schemes and the component implementation priority schedule will be provided as a poster, powerpoint presentation (executive summary) and a final report (book format). An internet ready PDF format of the book will be provided for integration into the MTPO's website. Professor Gold will be available as needed to give a 15 minute executive summary of the results and answer questions on the MTPO's behalf at community events. Delivered documents will include narrative, consultants reports, comments and recommendations, aerial renderings, street level renderings, conceptual vignettes of components and charts and graphs as needed to convey important issues, concepts and prioritization methodology. Progress letters of report will be provided at the end of each phase as outlined below.

Schedule. The project will fit the time frame of one academic semester (4 months) and will occur in three phases. Phase 1 (one month) - gather and review documentation, previous studies and maps and develop design components that meet community criteria. Phase 2 (two months) - continue component development in conjunction with integrated design proposals. Phase 3 (one month) - evaluate and review initial proposals, redress conflicts, develop final proposals and format for distribution and presentation. A public workshop would occur at the beginning of Phase 3. Steering committee meetings would occur at the onset (1); end of Phase 1 (2); prior to the community workshop near the end of Phase 2 (3); and at the 90% completion point in the end of Phase 3 (4).

Schedule Summary Table

Phase 1 (Aug 06)	Phase 2 (Sept - Nov)	Phase 3 (Dec - Jan 07)
± 30 days	± 90 days	± 60 days
gather and review local studies, reports and guidelines. travel to in-state precedent sites. initiate component diagram studies	refine and expand component vignettes develop integrated schemes additional travel as appropriate	finalize component matrix finalize integrated schemes prepare publication documents and presentations
initiate consultants	meet with consultants (beginning of phase)	meet with consultants (if required)
Steering Committee meeting	Steering Committee meeting + public workshop	Steering Committee meeting

Initiation of the project would occur in August, 2006 and run through January 2007.

Budget. The proposed budget of $20,000 includes anticipated consultants fees, some regional travel (within the state), reference purchases, software upgrades and project specific materials to produce high quality graphics, expenses for the public workshop and the costs to publish final books (6 color copies and 14 black and white copies will be provided).

Schedule of Fees

Phase 1 completion	$5,500.00
Phase 2 completion	$6,000.00
Phase 3 completion	$8,500.00
TOTAL	$20,000.00

On behalf of Florida Community Design Center, the School of Architecture and the College of Design Construction and Planning, I look forward to the opportunity for continued work with the MTPO toward improving the quality of living in Alachua County. I am hopeful the MTPO will find this proposal acceptable.

Regards,

Martin A. Gold

Associate Professor, School of Architecture

Executive Director, Florida Community Design Center

(page left blank intentionally)

APPENDIX L

SUMMARY OF DISTRICT PLANNING ACTIVITIES

(page left blank intentionally)

DISTRICT TWO PLANNING ACTIVITIES

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITIES

Review and identify possible opportunities to add bicycle and sidewalk accommodations to resurfacing and capacity projects. Review Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) plans and recommend, to the extent feasible, additional bicycle and sidewalk facilities that are consistent with the Gainesville Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) Urban Design Manual.

COMMUNITY/GOVERNMENT LIAISON

Provide policy, technical advice, administrative support, overall coordination, cooperation and assistance to District Two MPOs, MPO Boards, local governments and community to include full participation in technical and staff support for advisory committees. Assist MPOs in conducting effective on-going transportation planning programs and processes, developing, maintaining and implementing plans and programs which meet state and federal requirements, and to promote coordination and cooperation among their planning processes and consistency in their plans and programs. Programs and plans include the Unified Planning Work Program, List of Priority Projects and Transportation Improvement Program, Long Range Transportation Plans, public involvement plan and other plans and policy documents. Conduct Joint Certification with the MPOs. Coordinate and ensure implementation of the Transportation Enhancement program.

CORRIDOR PLANNING STUDIES

Conduct studies to identify and evaluate travel issues on major travel corridors, and to identify and evaluate the effectiveness and impacts of proposed alternatives to address those problems and issues. The results may range from a set of recommended improvements that address specific problems to a comprehensive action plan for improving the corridor. Project studies are both on and off FDOT system.

On Strategic Intermodal System/Florida Intrastate Highway System (SIS/FIHS), these studies include the development of strategies and plans for implementing and maintaining SIS/FIHS standards such as those for Level of Service, interchange spacing and access management. This may include preparation of Action Plans, Master Plans, corridor studies, and others as identified.

DESIGN TRAFFIC FORECAST

Develop and update traffic projections for state highway corridors and supporting regional roadways. These traffic projections are necessary to support the road design for capacity and operational improvements and the pavement design for resurfacing.

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT (DRI) REVIEW

Performing under Florida Chapter 380 and associated rules, District staff serves in an advisory capacity to two regional planning councils, numerous local governments, and the state Department of Community Affairs, by evaluating the projected transportation impacts from large, multi-use land development projects known as DRIs. If such land development projects adversely impact the level of service on nearby roads, planners identify these roads as deficient and propose suitable remedies to address the problem. A transportation analysis may start with the initial application for development approval (ADA) for a new DRI and culminate in a local government development order (DO). As a project matures over time, planners re-evaluate the project's transportation impacts through the notice of proposed change (NOPC) process used by a developer to amend the development order.

EFFICIENT TRANSPORTATION DECISION MAKING (ETDM) PROCESS

The Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) process is designed to accomplish the streamlining objectives identified in Section 1309 of the Transportation Efficiency Act for the 21st Century. The District will implement ETDM in an eighteen county area. The District ETDM Coordinator will coordinate training and provide guidance to the MPOÆs, Counties and District staff on the implementation of the ETDM process. To implement the ETDM process each MPO will designate an MPO ETDM Coordinator to work closely with the District ETDM Coordinator and Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) agency representatives so that the MPO can fully participate in all aspects of ETDM.

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (ITS) PLANNING

The Department will support the MPOs efforts to plan the ITS program and to structure ITS into their respective organizations. These ITS planning activities include developing an ITS Management Plan and an ITS Program Plan for each county, developing the MPOs capability to manage the Regional ITS Architecture, and developing ITS programs and projects for the MPOs Long Range Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program. Further, this support includes integrating intra-regional ITS deployment and operations, as well as assuring that intra-regional and inter-regional operations are coordinated. FDOT staff participates in the First Coast MPO and Gainesville MTPO ITS Coalition.

JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENTS

Develop, coordinate and review Joint Participation Agreements with MPOs local and county government staff.

LEVEL OF SERVICE

Identify roadways that have a deficient Level-of-Service for existing and future conditions; determine level of need and determine timing of improvements. Provide Level-of Service analysis for all the State Roads for each of the eighteen counties in FDOT District Two.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLANS

Review and comment pursuant to Chapter 163, F.S., and Rule 9J-5, F.A.C. regarding major comprehensive plan changes and proposed land use changes having the potential to impact the level of service on the Strategic Intermodal System, the Florida Intrastate Highway System and the State Highway System.

Review Capital Improvements Elements and Five Year Schedules of Capital Improvements and coordinate with the Department of Community Affairs and other agencies and local governments regarding the financial feasibility of the comprehensive plan.

Coordinate as needed regarding the implementation of proportionate fair share proposals to mitigate potential transportation impacts to the State system pursuant to recent changes to Chapter 163, F.S.

Coordinate with the Department of Community Affairs, the Regional Planning Councils, and with other FDOT District Two staff regarding comprehensive planning issues.

Support the coordination of land use and transportation planning and assist in achieving consistency between state, regional and local plans.

Review the proposed updates to comprehensive plans, the Evaluation and Appraisal Reports (EAR).

LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE AND MAINTENANCE

Provide technical and policy advisory assistance to the District Two MPOs in developing, updating and maintaining their Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) through a coordinated and consistent effort using a mutually agreed upon set of modeling and planning assumptions. Provide state and federal revenue forecasts and District Transportation Costs.

Review the overall performance of the Northeast Regional Planning Model and the Gainesville Urban Area Transportation Planning Model in the maintenance of the MPOs Long Range Transportation Plans and in the conduct of corridor and sub-area transportation studies to identify needed model enhancements and refinements.

MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION STUDIES

Conduct or provide technical assistance for corridor studies, sub-area studies and other special transportation analysis needed to identify local travel patterns and transportation needs and to evaluate and recommend improvements to meet those needs. The specific studies will be identified as needs arise.

District staff coordinates with the Jacksonville Transportation Authority (JTA), a multimodal independent state agency, and Gainesville Regional Transit System (RTS) in development and review of planning and design of highway and premium transit (e.g. Bus Rapid Transit) projects.

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COORDINATION

Support and participate in all levels of regional coordination and proposed activities among District Two's MPOs and Counties.

Provide on-going technical and policy advisory assistance to the MPOs and Counties in District Two for the participation in the TRIP program. FDOT staff conducts meetings and workshops to assist in development and understanding of the TRIP requirements and process.

DISTRICT: 02 (GEOGRAPHIC)
COUNTY: ALACHUA

ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION / C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION / B CO MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER / PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC FED PROJECT PDC DATE	CONST YEAR	2005 / 2006	2006 / 2007	2007 / 2008	2008 / 2009	2009 / 2010	2010 / 2011
2140944 010 GAINSVILLE UPWP 2006							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 26 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 4 01 02 PLAC 1 00 H450 0241-043-M 10/26/2005		127,820	.	.	.	.	.
14 AD 4 01 02 PL 1 00 H450 0241-043-M 10/26/2005		255,639	.	.	.	.	.
2140945 005 GAINESVILLE UPWP FY 2006/2007							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 26 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00 10/27/2005		.	366,256	.	.	.	.
2140946 005 GAINESVILLE UPWP/PL FY 2007/2008							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 26 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00 10/31/2005		.	.	370,525	.	.	.
2140947 005 GAINSVILLE UPWP /PL FY 2008/2009							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 26 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00 10/31/2005		.	.	.	374,850	.	.
2140948 005 GAINSVILLE UPWP/PL FY 2009/2010							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 26 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00 10/31/2005		.	.	.	.	379,231	.
2140949 000 GAINESVILLE UPWP/PL FY 2010/2011							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 26 02 JB/ST							
14 G1 2 01 02 PL 1 00 10/31/2005		.	.	.	.	.	383,738
TOTAL FOR COUNTY: ALACHUA FUND COUNT:	7	383,459		370,525		379,231	
ITEM COUNT:	1		366,256		374,850		383,738

NORTH CENTRAL FLORIDA
RECEIVED
MAY - 5 2006
REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

```
ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION                        CONST
 C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION                    YEAR
 B CO    MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER                    2005        2006        2007        2008        2009        2010
PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC  FED PROJECT PDC DATE        2006        2007        2008        2009        2010        2011
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2139451 070 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO SYSTEMS PLANNING
 4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING              0
 N 99    000 02 PLNG      G.PRA
    ***** E X T R A   D E S C R I P T I O N *****
SPECIFIC TRANSIT COORIDOR TO BE DETERMINED BY DIST AND
TRANSIT AGENCIES(PARK & RIDE, TRANSIT IMPROVENMENT,ECT)
    *********************************************
12 AD 2 01   02 D    1 00                        11/29/2005     200,000     200,000     200,000     200,000     200,000     200,000
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2139612 010 I-10_/SR 8 JEFFERSON/MADISON C/L I-295 /SR9A
 4 13 XX N E 02 8615 CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNING            0
 N 99        02           DEES
12 AD 4 01   02 D    1 00                        10/24/2005      15,308           .           .           .           .           .
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2139613 010 GEC PLANNING URS CONSULTANT
 4 13 XX N E 02 8615 CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNING            0
 N 99        02           BH/
12 AD 4 01   02 D    1 00                        09/08/2001     500,000     500,000     500,000     500,000     500,000     500,000
12 AD 4 01   02 LF   1 00                        10/17/2005      50,000           .           .           .           .           .
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2139614 010 RCI CONSULTANT STATISTICS
 4 13 XX N E 02 8615 CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNING            0
 N 99        02           BH/
12 AD 4 01   02 D    1 00                        09/08/2001     350,000     350,000     350,000     350,000     350,000     350,000
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2139615 010 JAX-PLANNING CONSULTANT
 4 13 XX N E 02 8615 CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNING            0
 N 99        02           JB/LG
12 AD 4 01   02 D    1 00                        08/10/2005     180,000     400,000     400,000     400,000     500,000     500,000
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2139616 010 COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSMENT/ENVIRONMENTAL STREAMLINING
 4 13 XX N E 02 8615 CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNING            0
 N 99        02           JB/ST
12 AD 4 01   02 D    1 00                        08/01/2005     300,000           .           .           .           .           .
12 AD 2 02   02 D    1 00                        11/01/2004           .     300,000           .           .           .           .
12 AD 2 03   02 D    1 00                        11/29/2005           .           .     300,000     300,000     300,000     300,000
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2139621 010 TRAFFIC COUNTS
 4 13 XX N E 02 8530 TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS            0
 N 99    000 02 PLNG      BH/
    ***** E X T R A   D E S C R I P T I O N *****
$150K TO 2590010 FY96.
    *********************************************
12 AD 2 01   02 D    1 00                        11/29/2005           .     300,000     300,000     300,000     300,000     300,000
12 AD 2 03   02 D    1 00                        01/10/2006     160,000           .           .           .           .           .
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION                      CONST
 C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION                  YEAR
 B CO    MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER                  2005        2006        2007        2008        2009        2010
PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC  FED PROJECT PDC DATE      2006        2007        2008        2009        2010        2011
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
2140111 010 PLANNING STUDIES
 4 13 XX N E 02 8705 MODAL SYSTEMS PLANNING              0
 N 99    000 02 PLNG      JB&BH
    ***** E X T R A   D E S C R I P T I O N *****
SPECIFIC CORRIDOR STUDIES TO BE DETERMINED BY DISTRICT & MPO
(IJR'S, IMR'S, HOV'S, ETC)
    ********************************************
18 AD 2 01   02 D    1 00                        01/23/2006     575,713     437,000     671,000     374,000     474,000       5,015
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
4034401 010 PLANNING ACTIVITIES TRANSPORTATION
 4 13    N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING             0
 N 99        02 PLNG      BH/
    ***** E X T R A   D E S C R I P T I O N *****
606=PROGRAM&PROJECT ADMIN,754=CENSUS DATA ANALYSIS
365=PLANNING ROUTINE ANALYSIS
    ********************************************
11 AD 2 07   02 D    1 00                        02/02/2006       1,044           .           .           .           .           .
11 AD 4 08   02 D    1 00             HP02-043-H 02/02/2006      15,228      17,411      13,926      18,716      19,407           .
11 AD 2 08   02 HP   1 00        Q550 HP02-043-H 11/08/2005           .     969,233   1,008,359           .           .           .
11 AD 4 08   02 HPAC 1 00        H550 HP02-043-H 03/06/2006     881,184           .           .           .           .           .
11 AD 2 08   02 HP   1 00             HP02-043-H 11/08/2005           .           .           .   1,049,930   1,093,004           .
11 AD 2 11   02 D    1 00                        11/29/2005           .           .           .           .           .      35,201
11 AD 2 11   02 HP   1 00                        11/29/2005           .           .           .           .           .   1,858,905
12 AD 4 08   02 HPAC 1 IS        H550 HP02-043-H 06/14/2005      30,000           .           .           .           .           .
12 AD 2 09   02 HP   1 IS        Q550            02/07/2006           .      30,000           .           .           .           .
12 AD 2 10   02 HP   1 IS        Q550            02/07/2006           .           .      30,000           .           .           .
12 AD 2 11   02 HP   1 IS                        02/07/2006           .           .           .      30,000           .           .
12 AD 2 12   02 HP   1 IS                        02/07/2006           .           .           .           .      30,000           .
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
4034411 010 DATA COLLECTION ACTIVITIES
 4 13    N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING             0
 N 99        02 PLNG      BH/
    ***** E X T R A   D E S C R I P T I O N *****
310=TOPO, 752=DATA COLLECTION, 102= TRAFFIC STUDIES,
172=TRAFFIC DATA PROJECTIONS, 160=SPEED STUDIES, 751=STASTIT
ICAL ANALYSIS
    ********************************************
11 AD 4 08   02 D    1 00             HP02-043-H 11/02/2004      10,390      11,335      15,975      12,194      12,682           .
11 AD 2 08   02 HP   1 00        Q550 HP02-043-H 11/04/2005           .     515,803     536,877           .           .           .
11 AD 4 08   02 HPAC 1 00        H550 HP02-043-H 06/14/2005     495,940           .           .           .           .           .
11 AD 2 08   02 HP   1 00             HP02-043-H 11/04/2005           .           .           .     558,793     581,586           .
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

DISTRICT: 02 (GEOGRAPHIC)
COUNTY: DIST/ST-WIDE

ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION B CO MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC FED PROJECT PDC DATE	CONST YEAR	2005 2006	2006 2007	2007 2008	2008 2009	2009 2010	2010 2011
4034421 010 SYSTEMS PLANNING ACTIVITIES							
4 13 N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 99 02 PLNG BH/							
***** E X T R A D E S C R I P T I O N *****							
616=PLANNING SPECIAL PROJECTS, 174=SAFETY PROGRAM ACTIVITIES							
770=HPR RESEARCH TESTING							
**********							
11 AD 4 08 02 D 1 00 HP02-043-H 11/01/2004		1,484	1,550	1,550	1,742	1,812	.
11 AD 2 08 02 HP 1 00 HP02-043-H 11/04/2005		.	73,686	.	79,828	83,084	.
11 AD 4 08 02 HPAC 1 00 H550 HP02-043-H 06/14/2005		70,849	.	.	.	.	.
11 AD 2 08 02 HP 1 00 Q550 HP02-043-H 11/04/2005		.	.	76,697	.	.	.
4091142 010 I-75 MASTER PLAN STUDY							
4 13 FC N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 99 02 BH/JG							
12 AD 4 01 02 D 1 00 07/21/2005		140,000	860,000	.	.	.	.
TOTAL FOR COUNTY: DIST/ST-WIDE FUND COUNT:	33	3,977,140		4,404,384		4,445,575	
ITEM COUNT:	0		4,966,018		4,175,203		4,049,121

DISTRICT: 02 (GEOGRAPHIC)
COUNTY: DUVAL

ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION / C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION / B CO MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER / PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC FED PROJECT	CONST YEAR / PDC DATE	2005 / 2006	2006 / 2007	2007 / 2008	2008 / 2009	2009 / 2010	2010 / 2011
2141984 010 FIRST COAST UPWP FY 2005/2006 (PL)							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 4 01 02 PLAC 1 00 H450 0050-043-M	10/26/2005	326,154	.	.	.	.	.
14 AD 4 01 02 PL 1 00 H450 0050-043-M	10/26/2005	652,309	.	.	.	.	.
2141985 005 FIRST COAST UPWP FY 2006/2007 (PL)							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00	10/27/2005	.	868,819	.	.	.	.
2141986 005 FIRST COAST UPWP FY 2007/2008 (PL)							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00	10/31/2005	.	.	894,259	.	.	.
2141987 005 FIRST COAST UPWP FY 2008/2009 (PL)							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00	10/31/2005	.	.	.	920,034	.	.
2141988 005 FIRST COAST UPWP FY 2009/2010 (PL)							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
14 AD 2 01 02 PL 1 00	10/31/2005	.	.	.	.	946,143	.
2141989 000 FIRST COAST UPWP FY 2010/2011 (PL)							
4 13 XX N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
14 G1 2 01 02 PL 1 00	10/31/2005	.	.	.	.	.	973,004
4057612 010 I-95_MASTER PLAN							
4 13 N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
12 AD 4 01 02 D 1 00	12/09/2004	400,000	400,000	275,000	.	.	.
12 AD 2 02 02 D 1 00	11/29/2005	.	.	.	.	500,000	500,000
4057613 010 I-10 MASTER PLAN FROM I-295 TO I-95							
4 13 N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
12 AD 4 01 02 D 1 00	12/09/2004	250,000	250,000	250,000	.	.	.

DISTRICT: 02 (GEOGRAPHIC)
COUNTY: DUVAL

ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION B CO MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC FED PROJECT PDC DATE	CONST YEAR	2005 2006	2006 2007	2007 2008	2008 2009	2009 2010	2010 2011
4057614 000 SR 9A MASTER PLAN							
4 13 N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
12 G1 2 01 02 D 1 00 11/29/2005		.	.	.	.	.	250,000
4057615 000 I-295 MASTER PLAN_E.							
4 13 N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
12 G1 2 01 02 D 1 00 11/29/2005		.	.	.	.	.	250,000
4057616 000 I-295 MASTER PLAN W.							
4 13 N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	0						
N 72 02 JB/ST							
12 G1 2 01 02 D 1 00 11/29/2005		.	.	.	500,000	500,000	.
4080571 005 ITS MASTER PLAN JTA, INTELLIGENT TRANS. REGIONAL PLANNING							
5 13 N E 02 0751 OTHER ITS	0						
N 72 02 EM04 JS/PV							
***** E X T R A D E S C R I P T I O N *****							
FEDERAL DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM - ITS, FFY 2004 OMNIBUS							
APPROPRIATIONS EARMARKS							
**********							
18 AD 2 01 02 IVH 1 00 ITS4-004-A 06/30/2005		745,577	.	.	.	.	.
18 AD 2 02 02 IVH 1 00 11/02/2005		537,981	.	.	.	.	.
TOTAL FOR COUNTY: DUVAL FUND COUNT:	15	2,912,021		1,419,259		1,946,143	
ITEM COUNT:	0		1,518,819		1,420,034		1,973,004

ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION B CO MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC FED PROJECT PDC DATE	CONST YEAR	2005 2006	2006 2007	2007 2008	2008 2009	2009 2010	2010 2011
4209721 000 A1A CORRIDOR STUDY FROM I-95 TO BRIDGE							
4 13 E 02 8615 CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNING	0						
N 74 02 JB/ST							
12 G1 2 01 02 D 1 00 11/29/2005		.	.	.	.	300,000	200,000
TOTAL FOR COUNTY: NASSAU FUND COUNT:	1	0		0		300,000	
ITEM COUNT:	0		0		0		200,000

```
ITEM NO STA PROJECT DESCRIPTION                      CONST
 C TS BX E M MD WORK MIX/DESCRIPTION                  YEAR
 B CO    MAP GD ITGP EMID ITEM SEGMENT MANAGER                    2005        2006        2007        2008        2009        2010
PH VR S FP S BD FUND A PG DSAREA FAC  FED PROJECT PDC DATE        2006        2007        2008        2009        2010        2011
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
4189551 010 ST. JOHN COUNTY TRANS DEV PROGRAM
 4 13    N E 02 0040 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING            0       .
 N 78        02          JB/PW
    ***** E X T R A   D E S C R I P T I O N *****
PREREQUISIT TO RECEIVE STATEWIDE GRANT FUNDS
ADD $19,800 IN JULY 2005
    *********************************************
18 AD 4 01   02 D    1 00                        08/10/2005      20,200          .           .           .           .           .
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
4189552 000 SR 5 A1A COORIDOR PLANNING STUDY
 4 13    N E 02 8615 CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNING          0
 N 78        02          JB/PW
12 G1 2 01   02 D    1 00                        11/29/2005          .           .     500,000     500,000           .           .
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
TOTAL FOR COUNTY: ST. JOHNS        FUND COUNT:      2          20,200                  500,000                           0
                                   ITEM COUNT:      0                       0                   500,000                           0
===================================================================================================================================
TOTAL FOR DISTRICT: 02             FUND COUNT:     58       7,292,820                6,694,168                   7,070,949
                                   ITEM COUNT:      1                   6,851,093               6,470,087                   6,605,863
===================================================================================================================================
GRAND TOTAL                        FUND COUNT:     58       7,292,820                6,694,168                   7,070,949
                                   ITEM COUNT:      0                   6,851,093               6,470,087                   6,605,863
```

APPENDIX M

CONSULTANT DOLLARS

(page left blank intentionally)

CONSULTANT DOLLARS

The following table shows the consultant dollars to be spent for the tasks contained in this UPWP.

TASK	CONSULTANT	CONSULTANT DOLLARS	END PRODUCT
3.4	University of Florida	$20,000	Archer Braid Bicycle Pedestrian Corridor Design Proposal

Note: The MTPO received SAFETEA-LU High Priority Project (HPP) funding for a Bus Rapid Transit Study (HPP #213). The FDOT Tentative Work Program shows $100,000 in federal funding and $25,000 in local funding in Fiscal Year 2006/2007, $107,000 in federal funding and $27,000 in local funding in Fiscal Year 2006/2007, $113,000 in federal funding and $28,000 in local funding in Fiscal Year 2006/2007. Consultant services for this contract have not been completed at this time.

(page left blank intentionally)

NORTH CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING STAFF

	Charles F. Justice, AICP	Executive Director
*	Marlie Sanderson, AICP	Director of Transportation Planning
	Lynn Franson-Godfrey, AICP	Senior Planner
	Brian Waterman	Senior Planner
**	Michael Escalante, AICP	Senior Planner

* Primary Responsibility

** Secondary Responsibility

m\p\ms06\upwp\bckcvr wk4