



Serving

Alachua • Bradford

Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist

Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison

Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2009 NW 87th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653-1603 • 352.955.2200

April 13, 2016

TO: Citizens Advisory Committee
 Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director 

SUBJECT: Meeting Announcement and Agenda

On Wednesday, April 20, 2016, the Technical Advisory Committee will meet at 2:00 p.m. in the **Charles F. Justice Conference Room, North Central Florida Regional Planning Council, 2009 NW 67th Place**. Also on Wednesday, April 20, 2016, the Citizens Advisory Committee will meet at 7:00 p.m. in the **Grace Knight Conference Room, Alachua County Administration Building 12 SE 1st Street**. Times shown on this agenda are for the Citizens Advisory Committee meeting.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

7:00 p.m. I. Introductions (if needed)*

II. Approval of Meeting Agenda APPROVE AGENDA

Page #3 III. Approval of Committee Minutes APPROVE MINUTES

Page #11 7:10 p.m. IV. Transportation Improvement Program Amendment- APPROVE AMENDMENT
 NW 19th Lane Bikelane/Sidewalk Project

The Florida Department of Transportation is requesting this amendment to add preliminary engineering to this project in Fiscal Year 2016.

Page #15 7:15 p.m. V. Unified Planning Work Program - APPROVE STAFF
 RECOMMENDATION

This document contains the budget for Federal Highway Administration planning funds and Federal Transit Administration planning grant funds, and identifies work tasks for the next two years.

Page #29 7:25 p.m. VI. Transit Ridership Report - APPROVE STAFF
 RECOMMENDATION

This report is updated each year.

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region's citizens,
 by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources,
 promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.

Page #31
7:30 p.m.

VII. Safe Routes to School Application

**APPROVE STAFF
RECOMMENDATION**

The City of Gainesville submitted an application to the Florida Department of Transportation with a deadline prior to the next Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization meeting date.

Page #41
7:35 p.m.
CAC Only

VIII. Kermit Sigmon Citizens Participation Award - 2015

SELECT RECIPIENT

Each year, the Citizens Advisory Committee selects a recipient for this award.

Page #43
7:40 p.m.

IX. NE 39th Avenue (State Road 222) Crosswalk - Referral Report

**NO ACTION
REQUIRED**

A referral from the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization Chair to the County Traffic Safety Team to consider a crosswalk on NE 39th Avenue (State Road 222) adjacent to NE 28th Drive was forwarded to the Florida Department of Transportation.

Page #47
7:45 p.m.

X. Transportation Regional Incentive Program Application

**NO ACTION
REQUIRED**

The Alachua/Marion County Transportation Regional Incentive Program Executive Committee submitted an application for funds for the SW 62nd Boulevard project.

Page #59
7:50 p.m.

XI. Waldo Road (State Road 24) Pedestrian Safety

**NO ACTION
REQUIRED**

Florida Department of Transportation is addressing lighting levels in the corridor.

XII. Information Items

The following materials are for your information only and are not scheduled to be discussed unless otherwise requested.

Page #63

A. Citizens Advisory Committee and Technical Advisory Committee Attendance Records

Page #65

B. Meeting Calendar- 2016

Page #67

C. Florida Department of Transportation District 2 Public Meeting Notice- U.S. Highway 441 Dynamic Message Sign

*No handout included with the enclosed agenda item.

MINUTES

GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Charles F. Justice Conference Room
2009 NW 67th Place
Gainesville, Florida

2:00 p.m.
Wednesday
September 23, 2015

<u>MEMBERS PRESENT</u>	<u>MEMBERS ABSENT</u>	<u>OTHERS PRESENT</u>	<u>STAFF PRESENT</u>
Debbie Leistner, Chair Paul Adjan Dekova Batey Linda Dixon Ruth Findley James Green Jeff Hays Dean Mimms Matthew Muller	Ron Fuller James Speer	Gerry Dedenbach Brian Harrington Kamal Latham Wiley Page	Marlie Sanderson Michael Escalante

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Debbie Leistner, Gainesville Transportation Planning Manager, called the meeting to order at 2:03 p.m.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Mr. Marlie Sanderson, Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization Director of Transportation Planning, introduced himself and asked others to introduce themselves.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA

Chair Leistner asked for approval of the agenda.

MOTION: Ruth Findley moved to approve the meeting agenda amended to reverse Items IV. Transportation Alternatives Application- 2015 and V. Long Range Transportation Plan Update- Draft Cost Feasible Plan. Paul Adjan seconded; motion passed unanimously.

III. APPROVAL OF COMMITTEE MINUTES

Mr. Sanderson stated that the July 22, 2015 minutes are ready for consideration of approval by the Technical Advisory Committee.

MOTION: Paul Adjan moved to approve the July 22, 2015 Technical Advisory Committee minutes. Matthew Muller seconded; motion passed unanimously.

V. LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE-
DRAFT COST FEASIBLE PLAN

Mr. Sanderson stated that the consultant is present to discuss the draft Cost Feasible Plan projects. He and Mr. Wiley Page, Atkins Group Manager, discussed the draft Cost Feasible Plan projects and answered questions.

Mr. Gerry Dedenbach, Causseaux, Hewett & Walpole Vice President, discussed a meeting with the Florida Department of Transportation Secretary and local business and government officials.

Chair Leistner, Mr. Dedenbach and Mr. James Green, Florida Department of Transportation Transportation Specialist, discussed Local Roadway-State Roadway jurisdiction exchanges.

MOTION: Paul Adjan moved to recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization request that the Florida Department of Transportation investigate the feasibility of a jurisdiction exchange of the SW 62nd Boulevard corridor (from State Road 24/Archer Road to State Road 26/Newberry Road) for a State Road, such as State Road 26A/SW 2nd Avenue or another State Road within the Gainesville city limits. Dean Mimms seconded; motion passed 5 to 4.

MOTION: Linda Dixon moved to recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization approve the Year 2040 Cost Feasible Plan projects in Exhibit 1. Jeff Hays seconded; motion passed unanimously.

IV. TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES APPLICATION- 2015

Mr. Sanderson stated that FDOT has requested that any new Transportation Alternative Program applications be submitted by December 4, 2015. He reported that the highest ranked unfunded project is the West University Avenue Multimodal Emphasis Corridor bikeway/sidewalk and enhanced pedestrian crossings between Gale Lemerand Drive and West 13th Street project.

MOTION: Jeff Hays moved to recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization approve the submission of a Transportation Alternatives Program application prepared by City of Gainesville staff for the West University Avenue Multimodal Emphasis Corridor bikeway/sidewalk and enhanced pedestrian crossings between Gale Lemerand Drive and West 13th Street. Ruth Findley seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VI. INFORMATION ITEMS

Mr. Sanderson announced he would be retiring in January 2016.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

Date

Debbie Leistner, Chair

t:\mike\em16\tac\minutes\sep23tac.doc

Exhibit 1 - TAC Year 2040 Cost Feasible Plan Recommendation

Priority	Project	Description	Estimated Cost (In Millions)
State Highway System \$57.3 Million Available			
1	US 441 (W. 13th Street)	Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Study and implementation from NW 33rd Avenue to Archer Road	\$2.1
2	University Avenue	Multimodal Emphasis Corridor from Gale Lemerand Drive to Waldo Road	\$1.06 (Partially funded)
3	State Roads 24/331 (Waldo/Williston Roads)	Pedestrian Safety Modifications from NE 39th Avenue to SE 16th Avenue	\$2.94
4	State Road 121 (NW 34th Street)	Widen to four lanes from University Avenue to NW 16th Avenue	\$10.6
5	State Road 121 (NW 34th Street)	Widen to four lanes from NW 16th Avenue to NW 39th Avenue	\$11.3
6	State Road 121 (NW 34th Street)	Widen to four lanes from NW 39th Avenue to US 441	\$16.6
7	State Road 24 (Archer Road)	Widen to four lanes from Tower Road to SW 122nd Street	\$12.7 (Partially Funded)
Surface Transportation Program \$21.1 Million Available			
1	SW 62nd Boulevard	Four-lane extension from Butler Plaza to SW 20th Avenue- \$27 million project (not fully funded)	\$9.0 (Partially-Funded)
2	SW 62nd Boulevard	Widen to four lanes from SW 20th Avenue to Newberry Road (design only)	\$0.25
3	Alachua County Pavement Management Projects	Resurface County Roads according to priorities established by the Alachua County Commission	\$10.25
4	City of Gainesville Pavement Management Projects	Resurface City Roads according to priorities established by the Gainesville City Commission	\$1.6
Transportation Alternatives Program \$4.94 Million Available			
1	University Avenue	Multimodal Emphasis Corridor from Gale Lemerand Drive to Waldo Road	\$4.94 (Partially Funded)

Notes Green shaded projects are anticipated to receive funding allocated for Fiscal Years 2021 to 2025 before the next long range transportation plan is updated in 2020.
 Yellow shaded projects are anticipated to be allocated partial funding for Fiscal Years 2021 to 2025 before the next long range transportation plan is updated in 2020.

MINUTES

GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Grace Knight Conference Room
12 SE 1st Street
Gainesville, Florida

7:00 p.m.
Wednesday
September 23, 2015

MEMBERS PRESENT

Rob Brinkman, Chair
Jan Frentzen, Vice-Chair
E. J. Bolduc
Nelle Bullock
Rajeeb Das
Luis Diaz
Melinda Koken
Kamal Latham
Ron Lieberman
Gilbert Levy
Chandler Otis
James Samec
Ewen Thomson

MEMBERS ABSENT

Thomas Bolduc
Chris Towne

OTHERS PRESENT

Gerry Dedenbach
James Green
Wiley Page

STAFF PRESENT

Marlie Sanderson
Michael Escalante

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Rob Brinkman called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Brinkman introduced himself and asked others to introduce themselves.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA

Chair Brinkman asked that the agenda be approved.

MOTION: Kamal Latham moved to approve the meeting agenda. E. J. Bolduc seconded; motion passed unanimously.

III. APPROVAL OF COMMITTEE MINUTES

Chair Brinkman asked for approval of the July 22, 2015 Citizens Advisory Committee meeting minutes.

MOTION: Kamal Latham moved to approve the July 22, 2015 Citizens Advisory Committee minutes. Luis Diaz seconded; motion passed unanimously

IV. TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES APPLICATION- 2015

Mr. Sanderson stated that Florida Department of Transportation has requested that any new Transportation Alternative Program applications be submitted by December 4, 2015. He reported that the highest ranked unfunded project is the West University Avenue Multimodal Emphasis Corridor bikeway/sidewalk and enhanced pedestrian crossings between Gale Lemerand Drive and West 13th Street project. He discussed the project and answered questions.

MOTION: Luis Diaz moved to recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization approve the submission of a Transportation Alternatives Program application prepared by City of Gainesville staff for the West University Avenue Multimodal Emphasis Corridor bikeway/sidewalk and enhanced pedestrian crossings between Gale Lemerand Drive and West 13th Street. E. J. Bolduc seconded; motion passed unanimously.

V. LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE-
DRAFT COST FEASIBLE PLAN

Mr. Sanderson stated that the consultant is present to discuss the draft Cost Feasible Plan projects. He and Mr. Wiley Page Atkins Group Manager, discussed the draft Cost Feasible Plan projects and answered questions.

Mr. Gerry Dedenbach, Causseaux, Hewett & Walpole Vice President, discussed a meeting with the Florida Department of Transportation Secretary.

MOTION: Melinda Koken moved to recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization approve the Year 2040 Cost Feasible Plan projects in Exhibit 1. Kamal Latham seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VI. INFORMATION ITEMS

Mr. Sanderson announced he would be retiring in January 2016.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 7:52 p.m.

Date

Rob Brinkman, Chair

Exhibit 1 - CAC Year 2040 Cost Feasible Plan Recommendation

Priority	Project	Description	Estimated Cost (In Millions)
State Highway System \$57.3 Million Available			
1	US 441 (W. 13th Street)	Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Study and implementation from NW 33rd Avenue to Archer Road	\$2.1
2	University Avenue	Multimodal Emphasis Corridor from Gale Lemerand Drive to Waldo Road	\$1.06 (Partially funded)
3	State Roads 24/331 (Waldo/Williston Roads)	Pedestrian Safety Modifications from NE 39th Avenue to SE 16th Avenue	\$2.94
4	State Road 121 (NW 34th Street)	Widen to four lanes from University Avenue to NW 16th Avenue	\$10.6
5	State Road 121 (NW 34th Street)	Widen to four lanes from NW 16th Avenue to NW 39th Avenue	\$11.3
6	State Road 121 (NW 34th Street)	Widen to four lanes from NW 39th Avenue to US 441	\$16.6
7	State Road 24 (Archer Road)	Widen to four lanes from Tower Road to SW 122nd Street	\$12.7 (Partially Funded)
Surface Transportation Program \$21.1 Million Available			
1	SW 62nd Boulevard	Four-lane extension from Butler Plaza to SW 20th Avenue- \$27 million project (not fully funded)	\$9.0 (Partially-Funded)
2	SW 62nd Boulevard	Widen to four lanes from SW 20th Avenue to Newberry Road (design only)	\$0.25
3	Alachua County Pavement Management Projects	Resurface County Roads according to priorities established by the Alachua County Commission	\$10.25
4	City of Gainesville Pavement Management Projects	Resurface City Roads according to priorities established by the Gainesville City Commission	\$1.6
Transportation Alternatives Program \$4.94 Million Available			
1	University Avenue	Multimodal Emphasis Corridor from Gale Lemerand Drive to Waldo Road	\$4.94 (Partially Funded)

Notes Green shaded projects are anticipated to receive funding allocated for Fiscal Years 2021 to 2025 before the next long range transportation plan is updated in 2020.
 Yellow shaded projects are anticipated to be allocated partial funding for Fiscal Years 2021 to 2025 before the next long range transportation plan is updated in 2020.



IV

Serving

Alachua • Bradford

Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist

Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison

Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2009 NW 67th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653 -1603 • 352.955.2200

April 13, 2016

TO: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director *SRK*

SUBJECT: Transportation Improvement Program Amendment-
NW 19th Lane Bikelane/Sidewalk Preliminary Engineering

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approve the Transportation Improvement Program amendment in Exhibit 1.

BACKGROUND

The Florida Department of Transportation is requesting that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approve a Transportation Improvement Program amendment to add funding for preliminary engineering in Fiscal Year 2016 for the NW 19th Lane Bikelane/Sidewalk Project (see Exhibit 1).

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\tipamend_bctapr20.docx

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region's citizens,
by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources,
promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.

EXHIBIT 1



Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

Jacksonville Urban Office
2198 Edison Avenue
Jacksonville, FL 32204-2730

RECEIVED

APR 07 2016

NORTH CENTRAL FLORIDA
REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

JIM BOXOLD
SECRETARY

TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY – APRIL 7, 2016

Mr. Scott Koons, AICP
Executive Director
North Central Florida Regional Planning Council
2009 NW 67th Place
Gainesville, FL 32653-1053

Re: FDOT Amendment request for the Gainesville MTPO Transportation Improvement Program for FY 2015/16 – FY 2019/20

Dear Mr. Koons,

The Florida Department of Transportation requests placement on the agendas of the April 20, 2016 meetings of the Technical Advisory Committee and the Citizens Advisory Committee and on the agenda of the May 2, 2016 meeting of the Gainesville Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization to consider the following amendment to the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for FY 2015/16 – FY 2019/20. The amounts listed below are the total project costs to be shown in the TIP amendment report.

437333-1	NW 19th Lane – NW 16th Terrace to NW 13th Street (US 441)	Bike Lane / Sidewalk
FY 2016		
Preliminary Engineering	\$ 86,250	TALL (Federal Transportation Alternatives – areas
TOTAL ADDED	\$ 86,250	less than 200,000 population)

This amendment adds the Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase for a new project from the Tentative Work Program. This will be a Local Agency Project (LAP), funded by the Federal Transportation Alternatives Program. Although funding in the Tentative Work Program for the PE Phase shows in FY17, the District wants to begin work on the project in July 2016, which is within FY16 of your TIP, due to the difference in fiscal years. The LAP will allow the City of Gainesville to design and construct (funded in FY20), a separated bicycle facility on NW 19th Lane.

If you have any questions about this project or this amendment request please call me at (904) 360.5684.

Sincerely,

James M. Green

James M. Green
Gainesville MTPO / Alachua County Liaison

xc: Karin Charron, Becky Williams, Karen Taulbee



Serving
Alachua • Bradford
Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist
Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison
Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2009 NW 67th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653-1603 • 352.955.2200

April 13, 2016

TO: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director

SUBJECT: Unified Planning Work Program

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approve the Fiscal Year 2016-17 and Fiscal Year 2017-18 Unified Planning Work Program and authorize staff to make administrative revisions as requested by state and federal review agencies.

BACKGROUND

The Unified Planning Work Program outlines and describes planning efforts to be undertaken by participating agencies to maintain a comprehensive, cooperative and continuing transportation planning program in the Gainesville Urbanized Area. The transportation planning program includes a Unified Planning Work Program budget which includes Federal Highway Administration Section 134 planning funds, Federal Transit Administration Section 5305 (d) planning grant funds, Florida Department of Transportation funds and local funds for Fiscal Year 2016-17 (July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017) and Fiscal Year 2017-18 (July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018).

Please find attached, as Exhibit 1, Unified Planning Work Program introduction and work program excerpts summary pages as described below.

Funding Source Tables for Fiscal Year 2016-17 and Fiscal Year 2017-18

Below is a link to the draft Unified Planning Work Program.

http://ncfrpc.org/mtpo/publications/UPWP/Gainesville_MTPO_UPWP_2017_2018_Draft.pdf

Attachment

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\upwp_fy16_fy17_bctapr20.docx

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region's citizens,
by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources,
promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.

14

15

Unified Planning Work Program

Fiscal Years 2016-17 and 2017-18



**Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization
for the Gainesville Urbanized Area**

April 20, 2016 Review Draft

Introduction

A. Unified Planning Work Program - Defined

The Fiscal Years 2016-17 and 2017-18 Unified Planning Work Program for the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area outlines and describes the planning efforts to be undertaken by participating agencies to maintain a comprehensive, cooperative and continuing transportation planning program in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. The Gainesville Metropolitan Area is comprised of the Year 2010 United States Census Gainesville Urbanized Area and a transitioning area that extends to the City of Gainesville Urban Reserve Boundary. The Gainesville Urbanized Area includes a major portion of the City of Gainesville, a small portion of the City of Alachua and contiguous urbanized portions of unincorporated Alachua County. The transitioning area includes portions of unincorporated Alachua County and the City of Gainesville within the City of Gainesville Urban Reserve Boundary. Illustration I shows the Gainesville Metropolitan Area.

This Unified Planning Work Program has been prepared to define the tasks and anticipated funding requirements of the Gainesville Metropolitan Area transportation planning process for Fiscal Year 2016-17 and Fiscal Year 2017-18. This document serves to inform the public and all public officials and agencies, who contribute manpower and allocate funds to the transportation planning process, about all of the transportation planning tasks currently being conducted in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. In addition, the Unified Planning Work Program provides the basis for federal funding of transportation planning activities identified in the document.

Transportation planning in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area is currently guided by a long-range transportation plan using input from public officials, technical experts and local citizens. State and federal governments participate in this planning process through the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Aviation Administration and the Federal Transit Administration. The metropolitan area transportation planning process is administered by the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area. Voting members of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area include the Gainesville Mayor and City Commission, and the Alachua County Commission. Non-voting members include the Florida Department of Transportation, the University of Florida and a Rural Advisor selected by the Alachua County League of Cities. The North Central Florida Regional Planning Council provides staff services pursuant to a professional staff services agreement to the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area.

B. Overview of Local and Regional Comprehensive Transportation Planning Activities

Local and regional comprehensive transportation planning activities are currently underway in the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. Both the City of Gainesville and Alachua County have adopted comprehensive plans. In addition, the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council has an adopted strategic regional policy plan and the University of Florida has an adopted campus master plan. All of these plans are updated on a periodic basis. These local and regional planning programs are incorporated into the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area planning program. This is primarily accomplished through the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Technical Advisory Committee. The City of Gainesville, Alachua County, Florida Department of Transportation, Gainesville/Alachua County Regional Airport Authority, School Board of Alachua County and University of Florida representatives are all members of this Committee.

- an accurate Transportation Improvement Program that informs the public concerning proposed transportation projects that will be implemented over the next several years
- updated land use and socioeconomic information that supports the long-range transportation planning models
- updated level of service and mobility plan information concerning traffic congestion
- an annual review of the long-range transportation plan to identify needed revisions
- updating the long-range transportation plan every five years
- the need for transit planning to support the Regional Transit System
- the development of bicycle and pedestrian plans
- development of additional effective modeling systems that view transit, pedestrian and bicycling activities as viable modes of transportation
- identification of tasks that Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area will perform with regard to implementation of Florida Department of Transportation Efficient Transportation Decision-Making process
- development of future year socioeconomic information by traffic analysis zone
- developing priorities for projects that are needed, but not currently funded
- development of a level of service assessment tool to identify needed safety projects
- implementation of enhanced transit service
- better connect people and destinations
- increase economic vitality and community livability
- develop a sustainable transportation system
- increase safety and security of the transportation system
- reduce greenhouse gas emissions
- development, implementation and evaluation of performance measures in support of the transportation planning process

2. Title 23 United States Code and Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations

This document contains planning tasks to be performed with funds under Title 23 United States Code and Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations. These tasks are identified in Task 8.3- Section 5305(d) Federal Transit Administration Grant.

3. Public Involvement Process

This Unified Planning Work Program was developed by involving the public and other transportation agencies. Planning tasks included in this Unified Planning Work Program were reviewed by the Citizens Advisory Committee on April 20, 2016, Technical Advisory Committee on April 20, 2016 and the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board on April 21, 2016. In addition, this document was reviewed and approved by the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area on May 2, 2016. These meetings were noticed and time was allocated for public comment. In addition, the draft Unified Planning Work Program was available on the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area website and available for comments to Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area during regular business hours between 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. All comments received from the public were considered and revisions were made where appropriate.

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area has also prepared a Citizens' Guide to Transportation Planning document that overviews the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area planning process. This document is available at the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area website or printed copies can be obtained by contacting the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area.

4. Regional, State and Federal Planning Emphasis Areas

There are three planning emphasis area projects for Fiscal Years 2016-17 and 2017-18. An update report of the Alachua/Marion Counties Regional Transportation Plan will address Regional Models of Cooperation. A report on performance-based planning and programming will address Fixing America's Surface Transportation implementation requirements. A report on access to essential services will address Ladders of Opportunity.

5. Title VI

The Unified Planning Work Program complies with the public involvement provisions of Title VI, which states: No person in the United States shall, on grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area has adopted a Title VI Policy Statement and has also adopted a Title VI Complaint Process/Procedure. Attached as Appendix G is the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area's Title VI information.

6. Performance-Based Approach

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area planning process will provide for the establishment and use of a performance-based approach to transportation decision-making to support national goals. Later in this document, the performance-based approach is used to identify measurable products for individual Unified Planning Work Program work tasks.

Chapter II: Work Program

The 2016-17 and 2017-18 Unified Planning Work Program, covering the Fiscal Year from July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017 and the Fiscal Year from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018, was developed by Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, in accordance with its *Public Involvement Plan*, with the cooperation and participation of the Florida Department of Transportation, the public and other transportation agencies.

The Work Program Section of the Unified Planning Work Program documents each specific transportation planning task to be undertaken during Fiscal Years 2016-17 and 2017-18, including the purpose of the task, previous work accomplished, proposed methodology, responsible agencies, cost estimates and proposed funding sources, as well as an end product.

The Unified Planning Work Program is made up of eight major sections. The final section contains summary tables detailing the Unified Planning Work Program tasks by participating agencies and funding sources.

The eight major sections of the Unified Planning Work Program are briefly described, as follows:

- 1.0 Administration--The administration section describes task functions required to manage the transportation planning process on a continual basis, including program administration, development, review and reporting, staff development and an annual audit.
- 2.0 Data Collection--The data collection section includes work tasks needed to monitor area travel characteristics and factors affecting travel, such as socioeconomic, community and land use data, transportation system data, natural, physical and human environmental concerns and issues.
- 3.0 Transportation Improvement Program--The transportation improvement program section includes tasks required for the development and management of the transportation improvement program.
- 4.0 Long-Range Transportation Plan--The long-range transportation plan section addresses the plan actions for developing the long-range transportation plan.
- 5.0 Special Project Planning--The special project planning section describes non-recurring planning projects and/or projects that do not fit readily into other sections.
- 6.0 Regional Planning--The regional planning section includes a description of the planning activities the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area plans to conduct that include other metropolitan planning organizations and/or counties.
- 7.0 Public Participation--The public participation section describes the tasks necessary to implement the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area's public participation program during the development of the unified planning work program, long-range transportation plan, transportation improvement program and other plans and programs as required.
- 8.0 Systems Planning - The systems planning section describes recurring planning studies/projects including transit, bicycle/pedestrian, transportation demand management or transportation disadvantaged planning.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

**TABLE 2
FUNDING SOURCE TABLE
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

Task Number	1 2		3 4		5	6	7 8		9	10	(1+3+7)	(2+4+8+10)	(5+6+9)	(1-10)
	USDOT SPR		FTA			Other Local/ Agency Work	FHWA PL Funds			FDOT/ TD Grants	Total Federal	Total State	Total Local	Grand Total
	Federal	State	Federal	State	MTPO/ Local		Federal	State	MTPO					
1.0 Administration						22,560	179,554	39,601	3,517		179,554	39,601	26,077	245,232
2.0 Data Collection						68,080							68,080	68,080
3.0 Transportation Improvement Program						5,300	50,000	11,028			50,000	11,028	5,300	66,328
4.0 Long Range Transportation Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5.0 Special Project Planning	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6.0 Regional Planning							30,000	6,617			30,000	6,617		36,617
7.0 Public Participation							100,000	22,055			100,000	22,055		122,055
8.0 System Planning			163,864	20,483	20,483	26,270	125,000	27,569		24,186	288,864	72,238	46,753	407,855
Total			163,864	20,483	20,483	122,210	484,554	106,870	3,517	24,186	648,418	151,539	146,210	946,167

*Planning Budget for year two is illustrative until approved by the United States Congress.

¹ The Florida Department of Transportation will soft match the Public Law funds using toll revenue expenditures as a credit toward the non-Federal matching share. The amount identified on this line represents the amount of soft match required (both State and local) for the amount of Federal Planning funds requested in this Unified Planning Work Program.

**TABLE 5
FUNDING SOURCE TABLE
FISCAL YEAR 2017-18**

Task Number	1		2		3		4		5		6	7		8		9	10	(1+3+7)	(2+4+8+10)	(5+6+9)	(1-10)
	USDOT SPR		FTA				Other Local/ Agency Work	FHWA PL Funds			FDOT/ TD Grants	Total Federal	Total State	Total Local	Grand Total						
	Federal	State	Federal	State	MTPO/ Local	Federal		State	MTPO												
1.0 Administration										22,760	179,554	39,601	3,517					179,554	39,601	26,277	245,432
2.0 Data Collection										68,780										68,780	68,780
3.0 Transportation Improvement Program										5,400	50,000	11,028						50,000	11,028	5,400	66,428
4.0 Long Range Transportation Plan										2,200	25,000	5,514						25,000	5,514	2,200	32,714
5.0 Special Project Planning	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6.0 Regional Planning											30,000	6,617						30,000	6,617		36,617
7.0 Public Participation											100,000	22,055						100,000	22,055		122,055
8.0 System Planning			163,864	20,483	20,483	26,270	100,000	22,055						24,186				263,864	66,724	46,753	377,341
Total			163,864	20,483	20,483	125,410	484,554	106,870	¹	3,517	24,186	648,418	151,539	149,410	949,367						

*Planning Budget for year two is illustrative until approved by the United States Congress.

¹ The Florida Department of Transportation will soft match the Public Law funds using toll revenue expenditures as a credit toward the non-Federal matching share. The amount identified on this line represents the amount of soft match required (both State and local) for the amount of Federal Planning funds requested in this Unified Planning Work Program.



VI

Serving

Alachua • Bradford

Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist

Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison

Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2009 NW 67th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653-1603 • 352.955.2200

April 13, 2016

TO: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director *SRK*

SUBJECT: Annual Transit Ridership Monitoring Report

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approve the updated *Annual Transit Ridership Monitoring Report* as a completed planning document.

BACKGROUND

Each year, staff reviews and makes needed revisions to, the *Annual Transit Ridership Monitoring Report* as part of addressing congestion management in the transportation planning process. This report provides the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area with the most recent annual ridership for the Regional Transit System. Below is the link to the draft *Annual Transit Ridership Monitoring Report*.

http://ncfrpc.org/mtpo/publications/Transit/ANNUAL_TRANSIT_RIDERSHIP_MONITORING_REPORT_2015medft.pdf

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\rts_ridership_bctapr20.docx

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region's citizens,
by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources,
promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.



April 13, 2016

TO: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director *SRK*

SUBJECT: Safe Routes to School Application

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area ratify staff support of the City of Gainesville Safe Routes to School application submission.

BACKGROUND

The City of Gainesville recently submitted an application for Safe Routes to School funding for a project to access Metcalfe Elementary School (see Exhibit 1, application form and project map).

The Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act does not include the Safe Routes to School funding. However, the State of Florida established its own Safe Routes to School program. The deadline for applying for these funds was prior to the May 2, 2016 Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area meeting date. After consultation with Commissioner Helen Warren, chair of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, staff endorsed this application in order for it to be submitted to the Florida Department of Transportation by the application deadline.

Below is a link to the complete application:

http://ncfrpc.org/mtpo/publications/Transit/SR2S_Application_Metcalfe.pdf

Attachment

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\sr2s_application_metcalfe_bct.docx



Florida's Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Application

Call for Applications

Note: fields will expand as needed

SafeRoutes
Florida Safe Routes to School



FDOT FORM # 500-000-30

Section 1 – School, Applicant & Maintaining Agency Information

Notes: Signatures confirm the commitment of the Applicant and Maintaining Agency to follow the Guidelines of the Florida's Safe Routes to School Program. The Maintaining Agency is generally responsible for entering into a Local Agency Program (LAP) agreement with the FDOT to design, construct, &/or maintain the project. Districts have the option to design and/or construct it, but the Maintaining Agency is always responsible for maintaining the project. Check with your District to see how they are handling these issues.

County: ALACHUA	City: GAINESVILLE	Congressional District: 5
School Name: METCALFE		
Type: Elementary: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Middle: <input type="checkbox"/>	High: <input type="checkbox"/>
Check below which of the required agencies or organizations is the Applicant:		
School Board: <input type="checkbox"/>	Private School: <input type="checkbox"/>	Maintaining Agency: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Name of Applicant Agency/Organization: CITY OF GAINESVILLE, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT		
Contact Person: SCOTT WRIGHT	Title: SENIOR PLANNER	
Mailing Address: PO BOX 490-32602		
City: GAINESVILLE	State: FLORIDA	Zip: 32627
Daytime Phone: 352-393-8423	E-mail: WRIGHTSA@CITYOFGAINESVILLE.ORG	
Signature:	Date:	
Signature of School Board or school representative mandatory when different from applicant:		
Signature: <i>Elena Mayo</i>	Date: 3/9/16	
Typed name: ELENA MAYO	Title: ASSISTANT PRINCIPAL	
Check below which of the required agencies is the Maintaining Agency:		
City: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	County: <input type="checkbox"/>	Florida Department of Transportation: <input type="checkbox"/> , District:
Name of Maintaining Agency: CITY OF GAINESVILLE		DUNS Number:
Contact Person: TERESA SCOTT	Title: PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR	
Mailing Address: PO BOX 490-32627		
Daytime Phone: 352-334-5070	E-mail: SCOTTTA@CITYOFGAINESVILLE.ORG	
City: GAINESVILLE	State: FLORIDA	Zip: 32627
Note: your signature below indicates your agency's willingness to enter into a LAP or other formal agreement with FDOT to complete the project if selected for funding.		
Signature:	Date:	
Metropolitan/Transportation Planning Organization (M/TPO) Support: If the city or county is located within an MPO/TPO urban area boundary, the MPO/TPO representative must fill in the required information below, to indicate support for the proposed project:		
Name of MPO: GAINESVILLE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO)		
Contact Person: SCOTT KOONS	Title: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
Mailing Address: 2009 NW 67 TH PL		
City: GAINESVILLE	State: FLORIDA	Zip: 32653-1603
Daytime Phone: 352-955-2200	E-mail: KOONS@NEFRPC.ORG	
Signature: <i>Scott Koons</i>	Date: 3/21/16	

Section 2 – Eligibility and Feasibility Criteria

Notes: This section will help FDOT determine the eligibility and feasibility of the proposed project. Except for the questions in 2A-2C below answering "No" does not constitute elimination from project consideration. You must fulfill requirements in 2A-2C below before applying!

- A1.** Has a school-based SRTS Committee (including school representation) been formed? Yes No
- A2.** Has at least one meeting of this committee been held? Attach sign in sheet & minutes Yes No
- A3.** Public notification of SRTS meeting? Yes No

- B1.** Does the school agree to provide required data before and after the project is built, using the NCSRTS Student In-Class Travel Tally and Parent Survey forms at <http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/resources/index.cfm> following the schedule provided by the District? Yes No
- B2.** Have you attached the National Center's data summary for the Student In-Class Travel Tally and Parent Survey forms to this application? Yes No
- B3.** Are the Student In-Class Travel Tally and Parent Survey data summaries attached? Yes No

Note: Project planning cannot go forward until public right of way or permanent public access to the land for the proposed project is documented to the District.

- C.** Have you provided either survey/as-builts or right of way documentation that provides detail to show that adequate right of way exists for proposed improvement? Yes No

- D.** Is the Maintaining Agency Local Agency Program (LAP) Certified? (currently qualified & willing to enter into a State agreement requiring the agency to design, construct, and/or maintain the project, abiding by Federal, State, & local requirements?) Yes No

If **No**:

- Are they willing to become LAP Certified? Yes No

If the agency is not willing to become LAP Certified, explain how this project could be built without this certification:

- E.** Who do you propose to be responsible for each phase of the project?

Design: City County Other, Including FDOT (Explain below)

Construction: City County Other, Including FDOT (Explain below)

Maintenance: City County Other, Including FDOT (Explain below)

If you checked **Other, including FDOT** for any of the above, please explain the responsible party for each phase, including who you have been talking to about this:

- F.** Is the County/City willing to enter into an agreement with FDOT to do the following, if the District decides this is the best way to get the project completed:

Install and/or maintain any traffic engineering equipment included in this project? Yes No

Construct and maintain the project on a state road? Yes No N/A

- G.** Public Support - Explain your public information or public involvement process below. You may attach up to six unique letters, on official letterhead, from groups indicated below. The letters should indicate why and how the authors can support the proposed project at the affected school.

What neighborhood association or other neighborhood meetings have been held to inform neighbors directly affected by this proposed project and the reaction? Neighborhood organizations within school zone were notified of the project. It was discussed at a monthly meeting of the Duck Pond Neighborhood association, and a letter of support was provided.

What PTA/PTO/school meetings have been held to inform parents and school staff about this project and the reaction? A School Advisory Committee meeting was held on February 8, 2016 to discuss the proposed sidewalk alongside the school. Members of the committee provided specific feedback regarding the design of the facility.

Explain what other public meetings have been held, such as Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Regional Planning Councils, Citizens' Advisory Committees, Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Councils and Community Traffic Safety Teams and the reaction? The project was presented at the January 21, 2016 meeting of the Community Traffic Safety Team, and the group agreed to officially support the project.

Explain what articles or letters to the editor have been written for newspapers, etc. and the reaction. NA

Please indicate whether you have attached letters of support from Law Enforcement or other individuals or groups not

previously mentioned: Yes No

H. If the proposed project has been identified as a priority in a Bicycle/Pedestrian or other Plan, or is a missing link in a pedestrian or bicycle system, please explain: The project will fill a gap in the existing sidewalk system, by connecting to existing sidewalks along NE 12th St and NE 15th St, and will also provide safe crossings at those intersections.

Section 3 – Background Information: Five E's

Notes: SRTS is designed to be a comprehensive program. Describe the efforts your school and community have made to address the identified problem through each E so far, and what is planned in the future for each. Each box must be filled in. For more information on the E's, see Florida's SRTS Guidelines and the SRTS Guide: <http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/guide/>

1. Engineering

1A. Past: THE CITY USED AVAILABLE FUNDS ABOUT 15 YEARS AGO TO CONSTRUCT A PORTION OF THE SIDEWALK FROM NE 15TH ST TO THE EASTERN DRIVE ENTRANCE, WHICH WAS ONLY A PARTIAL SOLUTION. THE CITY HAS A DEDICATED ANNUAL SIDEWALK FUND WHICH IS UTILIZED TO FILL GAPS IN THE EXISTING SIDEWALK SYSTEM AND INSTALL ADA RAMPS ON A LIMITED BASIS.

1B. Future: THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL PROVIDE A COMPLETE AND CONTINUOUS SIDEWALK ALONG THE SOUTHERN FRONTAGE OF THE SCHOOL, AND INCLUDE ADA AND CROSSWALKS FOR SAFETY. THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPT WOULD LIKE TO EXPAND FUNDING FOR THE SIDEWALK PROGRAM IN ORDER TO MORE COMPLETELY ADDRESS CONNECTIVITY ISSUES SUCH AS THIS IN THE FUTURE.

2. Education: If your school has taught or plans to teach the Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety Education Program (FTBSEP; see: <http://www.dcp.ufl.edu/centers/trafficSafetyEd/>) or other education program, please provide details below.

2A. Past: THE SCHOOL HAS PREVIOUSLY PARTICIPATED IN A BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN AND HELMET SAFETY EVENT, ORGANIZED BY THE CITY'S BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN COORDINATOR.

2B. Future: THE SCHOOL WILL CONTINUE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE AVAILABLE BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN SAFETY PROGRAMS AND OTHER OUTREACH.

3. Encouragement

3A. Past: THE CITY HAS MET WITH METCALFE ELEMENTARY AND INFORMED NEIGHBORHOOD REPRESENTATIVES.

3B. Future: THE CITY WILL CONTINUE OUTREACH EFFORTS AND COORDINATION WITH THE SCHOOL BOARD AND LOCAL SCHOOLS.

4. Enforcement

4A. Past: LAW ENFORCEMENT WAS INVOLVED THROUGH THE CTST COMMITTEE THAT FORMALLY SUPPORTS THIS PROJECT.

4B. Future: LAW ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS WILL CONTINUE THROUGH MONITORING OF CROSSING GUARDS AND ENFORCMENT OF SPEED LIMITS.

5. Evaluation

5A. Past: THE CITY WAS NOTIFIED ORIGINALLY OF THE SPECIFIC NEED BY THE SCHOOL BOARD'S SAFETY INSPECTOR. HEARD FROM SCHOOL REPRESENTATIVES ABOUT THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS WALKING TO THE SCHOOL ALONG 18TH AVE AND CROSSING AT 12TH ST. VISUALLY OBSERVED WALKING BEHAVIORS OF STUDENTS.

5B. Future: FOLLOWING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW FACILITY, THE SCHOOL WILL CONDUCT FOLLOW-UP PARENT SURVEYS AND IN-CLASS STUDENT TALLIES. THE CITY WILL ALSO CONTINUE TO MONITOR PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CRASH STATISTICS ON AN ANNUAL BASIS CITYWIDE.

Section 4 – Problem Identification

This section will help us understand your school's situation. If the proposed project includes more than one school, please give the requested information for each school.

A. HAZARDOUS WALKING CONDITIONS

Opportunity to resolve a documented hazardous walking condition and eliminate the resultant school busing.
 Yes No Include a discussion of public support for the project if busing were eliminated:

B. Are many children already walking or bicycling to this school in less than ideal conditions? Yes No

If Yes:

- Explain more about the number of children affected: School faculty and staff has been aware for some time that a number of students have been walking to the school along NE 18th Ave where there is no sidewalk, and crossing NE 12th St where there is no crosswalk. The Student In-Class Tally and Survey reveal that % ...
- Explain more about the conditions/obstacles which prevent walking or bicycling to your school: Currently there is no sidewalk along the majority of NE 18th Ave at the front of the school. The existing sidewalk at the SE corner of the school is in poor condition. There are also no ADA ramps at school drive entrances or at crossings, and no marked crosswalk at NE 12th Street at the west end of the school.

C. Are enough students living near the school to allow many children to walk or bike to school if conditions were improved? Yes No

If Yes:

- Explain more about the number of children living near the school and how this relates to the anticipated success of the proposed SRTS project: There are approximately 450 single-family households within 1/4-mile of the school. For most of these households, the proposed sidewalk will serve to complete the sidewalk system between the home and school, and thereby provide a safer walking route. The results of the parent survey indicated that 28% of the students live within 1/4-mile of the school.

D. Write a brief history of the neighborhood traffic issues as background for the proposed project: The school is located within a neighborhood, and is bordered on the north and south by local roads which carry noticeable cut-through traffic. The roads on the east and west boundaries of the school (NE 12th St and NE 15th St) are collectors that provide access to nearby commercial and non-residential areas. In 2014, traffic counts show that NE 12th St carried 1422 daily trips, and NE 15th St carried 3168 daily trips.

E. How do the demographics of the school population relate to the anticipated success of the proposed SRTS project? For instance, is there a population of students near the school from a culture which traditionally walks a lot? The school is located in a diverse neighborhood where many of the households are of lower income.

F. Provide the percent of free or reduced lunch program at the affected school: 85%

G. STUDENT TRAVEL DATA:

1. School data: based on the Student In-Class Travel Tally:

- Number of students currently walking to school: 55
- Number of students currently biking to school: 2
- Total currently walking or biking to school (add a & b) 57
- Number of students in this school: 275
- Percent of student in school currently walking or biking to school: (c divided by d): 20.7%

2. Route Data:

- Number of students from the affected schools living along the proposed route: NA
- Based on (mark all that apply): *Existing School Data: *Visual Observation Survey: *Estimates:
- Number of student currently walking or biking along this route: 50
- Number of student who could walk or bike along the proposed route after improvements: 75-100

Section 5 – Specific Infrastructure Improvement(s) Requested

A. LOCATION *Note: the entire proposed project must be within 2 miles of the school and in the attendance*

area for the affected schools.

Request #1 St. Name: NE 18th Avenue Maintaining Agency: City County State

From: NE 12th St To: NE 15th St

Project's closest point to school: 0 to 1/2 mile; 1/2 to 1 mile; 1 to 1 1/2 miles; 1 1/2 miles+

Request #2 St. Name: Maintaining Agency: City County State

From: To:

Project's closest point to school: 0 to 1/2 mile; 1/2 to 1 mile; 1 to 1 1/2 miles; 1 1/2 miles+

See Attachment for additional project sites:

Discuss the projects' proximity (within 2 miles) to other facilities which might also benefit from the project, such as other schools or colleges, parks, playgrounds, libraries, or other pedestrian destinations: **Howard Bishop Middle School is located directly west of the project on the other side of NE 12th St. Directly south of the middle school is a branch of the Alachua County Library. Three City parks are within walking distance of the school: Northeast Park is located approx. 3/4-mile to the west, Citizens Field/MLK Center is located approx. 1/3-mile to the south, and the smaller Smokey Bear Park is just 1/4-mile north.**

B. SIDEWALK, BIKE LANE, PAVED SHOULDER, OR SHARED USE PATH

<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation of Existing Sidewalk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Sidewalk
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation of Existing Bike Lane	<input type="checkbox"/> New Bike Lane (includes re-striping or reconstruction)
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation of Paved Shoulder	<input type="checkbox"/> New Paved Shoulder
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation of Shared Use Path	<input type="checkbox"/> New Shared Use Path

Comments: describe below your requests in detail, including location, length, side of road, etc.

Request #1: THE REQUEST IS TO CONSTRUCT APPROXIMATELY 900 FEET OF NEW SIDEWALK AND REPAIR APPROXIMATELY 400 FEET OF EXISTING SIDEWALK ALONG THE FRONT OF THE SCHOOL GROUNDS ON THE NORTH SIDE OF NE 18TH AVENUE. THE PROJECT WILL ALSO INCLUDE A NEW INTERSECTION CROSSING AT NE 12TH ST, AND IMPROVEMENTS TO THE EXISTING INTERSECTION CROSSING AT NE 15TH ST. A TOTAL OF 12 ADA RAMPS AND 4 NEW MARKED CROSSWALKS ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE PROJECT.

Request #2:

See Attachment for additional project sites:

Describe any other requests:

C. TRAFFIC CONTROLS Mark all that apply in regard to traffic control devices:

We have all necessary traffic control devices (Proceed to D)

<input type="checkbox"/> We need pedestrian signals (features)	<input type="checkbox"/> We need other school-related signals
<input type="checkbox"/> We need traffic signs	<input type="checkbox"/> We need other school-related signs
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We need marked crosswalks	<input type="checkbox"/> We need other roadway markings

Describe the existing and needed traffic controls: There are currently no crosswalks at the intersection of NE 18th Ave with NE 12th St, but students are know to cross at that location. This project will include two crosswalks with ramps in order to facilitate safer crossing.

D. TRAFFIC DATA Notes: Posted Speed Limit is required. AADT stands for Average Annual Daily Traffic

St 1: Posted Speed Limit: 30	Operating Speed: NA	AADT: NA
St 2: Posted Speed Limit:	Operating Speed:	AADT:

Section 6 – Cost Estimate

This is designed to give FDOT a reasonable estimate of the cost of project. Make this cost estimate as accurate as possible.

- This FDOT Transportation Costs website gives various resources, including FDOT District contacts in the Estimates Offices, who can help you with your cost estimate: <http://www.dot.state.fl.us/planning/policy/costs/default.shtm>.

Projects must follow appropriate design criteria. Projects on the State Highway System must follow the criteria in the Plans Preparation Manual (PPM) and FDOT Design Standards. Projects on local systems must meet the minimum the minimum standards and criteria in the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for streets and Highways (Florida Greenbook). These documents can be found on FDOT's web site at: <http://www.dot.state.fl.us/rddesign/CS/CS.shtm>

Construction Cost	\$84,702.00
-------------------	-------------

Maintenance of Traffic (MOT)	\$12,500.00
Mobilization	\$4,500.00
Subtotal	\$101,702.00
Contingency (15% of Subtotal)	\$15,255.30
Total Construction Cost	\$116,957.30
Professional Engineering Design (15% of Total)	\$17,543.60
Construction Engineering and Inspection (15% of Total)	\$17,543.60
Grand Total	\$152,044.50

Section 6B– Cost Estimate Narrative

Attach a MANDATORY breakdown of the construction costs & quantities by pay item.

NAME OF COST ESTIMATOR: Shawn Webber, PE

Section 7 - Submission Checklist

Notes: These will be counted toward total application score.

REQUIRED:

- A. Color project map showing school location
- B. Map showing existing conditions
- C. Map showing proposed improvements
- D. Map showing where students attending school live
- E. Proof of Right of Way
- F. Parent Survey Results
- G. Student Tally Results
- H. Letters of support
- I. Sign In Sheet and Minutes of Public Meetings
- J. Documentation if Hazardous Walking Condition

ADDITIONAL:

- K. Traffic/Engineering report evaluating the problem
- L. Crash Data
- M. Color Digital photos showing existing conditions



Metcalfe sidewalk project

Gainesville Regional Airport

Glen Springs Rd

NW 23rd Ave

NE 12th St

NE 15th St

121

NW 16 Ave

441

120

24

NW 16th Blvd

NW 34th St

NW 6th St

N Main St

NW 2nd St

NE 9th St

NW 8th Ave

NE 8th Ave

NE 8th Ave

Morning Nature C

Gainesville

26

26

Ben Hill Griffin Stadium

University of Florida

Museum Rd

329

SE Hawthorne Rd

SW 34th St

Hull Rd

University of Florida

SW 6th St

S Main St

SE 11th St

SE 15th St

SE 8 Ave

SE 27th St

SE 35 St

SE 35 St

24A

SW 13th St

S Main St

SE Williston Rd

SE 15th St

-39

Sweetwater

24

SW 35th Pl

SW 23rd Terrace

V 24 Ave



CAC Only

VIII

Serving

Alachua • Bradford

Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist

Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison

Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2009 NW 67th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653-1603 • 352.955.2200

April 13, 2016

TO: Citizens Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director *SRK*

SUBJECT: Dr. Kermit Sigmon Citizen Participation Award 2015

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Select the Dr. Kermit Sigmon Citizen Participation Award 2015 recipient.

BACKGROUND

In 1997, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approved the annual Dr. Kermit Sigmon Citizen Participation Award. This award is presented each year to someone, selected by the Citizen Advisory Committee, to be recognized for their contribution to the transportation planning process in the community.

Previous Recipients

1997	Ruth Sigmon	2006	Dr. Ruth Steiner
1998	Perry Maull	2007	Martin Gold
1999	South West Alliance for Planning	2008	Mike and Susan Wright
2000	Var Heyl and Cindy Smith	2009	Sharon Hawkey
2001	Chandler Otis	2010	Mayor Mark Goldstein
2002	Gerry Dedenbach	2011	Ed Poppell
2003	Dr. Linda Crider	2012	Scott Fox
2004	Dan Burden	2013	Thomas Hawkins
2005	Julia Reiskind	2014	Ron Cunningham

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\cacaward2015_cacapr20.docx

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region's citizens,
by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources,
promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.



IX

Serving

Alachua • Bradford

Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist

Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison

Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2009 NW 67th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653-1603 • 352.955.2200

April 13, 2016

TO: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director

SUBJECT: NE 39th Avenue Crosswalk

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

No Action Required.

BACKGROUND

Commissioner Helen Warren, Chair of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, requested that the Florida Department of Transportation consider installing a crosswalk on NE 39th Avenue (State Road 222) adjacent to NE 28th Drive. This location has Regional Transit System bus stops on opposite sides of NE 39th Avenue (State Road 222).

The Florida Department of Transportation has conducted an evaluation and reported that the location does not warrant installation of a crosswalk (see Exhibit 1).

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\ne_39_ave_crosswalk_bctapr20.docx

EXHIBIT 1

From: [Scott, Jeffrey](#)
To: [Mike Escalante](#)
Subject: Crosswalk Request SR 222 at NE 28th Dr.
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:40:06 PM

Mike,

The Department has complete our review of SR 222 at NE 28th Dr. for a potential crosswalk. We found moderate pedestrian crossing activity there but there was not enough for us to warrant the installation of a crosswalk. For reference, our guidance is contained in the FDOT Traffic Engineering Manual. Additionally, there had not been any crashes involving a pedestrian or bicyclist attempting to cross SR 222 in this area. Therefore the department does not intend to install a marked crosswalk at SR 222 and NE 28th Dr. at this time.

Jeff Scott, P.E.

Florida Department of Transportation | District 2

District Safety Engineer

2198 Edison Ave. | Jacksonville, FL 32204

(904) 360-5644

Jeffrey.scott@dot.state.fl.us



Serving

Alachua • Bradford

Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist

Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison

Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2008 NW 67th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653-1603 • 352.955.2200

April 13, 2016

TO: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director *SRK*

SUBJECT: Transportation Regional Incentive Program

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

No Action Required.

BACKGROUND

The Alachua/Marion County Transportation Regional Incentive Program Executive Committee has submitted a new Transportation Regional Incentive Program application on behalf of the City of Gainesville. The application is for Florida Department of Transportation funding for the SW 62nd Corridor Project. This project is in the Year 2040 Cost Feasible Plan.

Please find attached Exhibit 1 which is a copy of the application form and project map.

Below is a link to the complete application:

http://ncfrpc.org/mtpo/publications/Transit/TRIP_4_%20SW62._x1full.pdf

Attachment

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\trip_4_sw62_bctapr20.docx

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region's citizens,
by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources,
promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.

EXHIBIT 1



Serving
Alachua • Bradford
Columbia • Dixie • Gilchrist
Hamilton • Lafayette • Levy • Madison
Marion • Suwannee • Taylor • Union Counties

2009 NW 87th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653-1603 • 352.955.2200

February 16, 2016

Mr. Greg Evans, P. E., District 2 Secretary
Florida Department of Transportation
1109 South Marion Avenue
Lake City, Florida 32025-5847

RE: Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP) Application

Dear Secretary Evans:

This letter is concerning the number one ranked project in Florida Department of Transportation District 2 in the Alachua/Marion County Regional Transportation Plan. The purpose of this letter is to transmit a new Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP) grant application for SW 62nd Boulevard Extension. As you may know, this project is also in the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area's Year 2040 Cost Feasible Plan. This action was originally approved by the Alachua/Marion County Regional Transportation Plan Executive Committee at its meeting on December 9, 2013.

The enclosed application is for the completion of design and plans preparation of the project and right-of-way acquisition for the segment between SW 43rd Street to SW 52nd Street. The estimate for this segment cost is \$6 million.

Thank you for your assistance with obtaining funding for this project. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact Scott Koons, AICP, Executive Director of at 352.955.2200, extension 101.

Sincerely,

Mayor Kent Guinn, Chair
Alachua/Marion County Regional Transportation Plan Executive Committee

Enclosure

xc: Barney Bennette, Florida Department of Transportation District 2
James Green, Florida Department of Transportation District 2

T:\Marlie\MS16\TRIP\Dottr\feb2016_KG.docx

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region's citizens,
by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources,
promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.

(This Page Left Blank Intentionally)



**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
TRANSPORTATION REGIONAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM
APPLICATION**

District 2
TRIP

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Date: 02/09/2016

COUNTY NAME: Alachua

CONTACT PERSON: Teresa Scott, PE

TITLE: Director of Public Works

ADDRESS: PO BOX 490 - MS 58
Gainesville, FL 32627

PHONE: (352) 334-5070

EMAIL: scottta@cityofgainesville.org

PROJECT OVERVIEW INFORMATION

PROJECT PRIORITY NO (Relative to other TRIP project applications): 1

ROAD JURISDICTION (Check only one)

- On the State Highway System
- Off the State Highway System (ie County or City Street)
- Combination of on and off the State Highway system

CHECK WHICH BEST DESCRIBES THE PROPOSED PROJECT (Check only one)

- Adding turn lanes or making major intersection improvements
- Adding additional lanes to an existing roadway
- Paving an existing unpaved roadway
- Building a new roadway on a new alignment

BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (ie Add 2 additional lanes to make 4-lanes on CR 123 from Main St to CR 345) Please include a location map:

Construct a new 2-lane segment from SW 43rd St to the terminus of SW 52nd St, creating a connection to SW 40th Blvd. The project will alleviate congestion on the state highway system particularly along SR24, SR26, and SR131, and serve as a bypass to I-75 between the interchanges of SR24 and SR26. The project is also expected to reduce the overall incidence of crashes caused by congested conditions along the surrounding corridors.

See Appendix A for project location map.

PROJECT LENGTH (in miles): 0.81 miles

PROJECT ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

The Department may only fund projects with TRIP funds meeting the below eligibility criteria. Please check yes or no to each listed criteria.

- | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|----|
| Does the project serve national, statewide or regional functions and function as part of an intergrated regional transportation system? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
| Is the project identified in the capital improvement element of the applicable local government comprhensive plan that is in compliance with requirements ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
| Is the project included in the MPO LRTP, the STIP and TIP and consistent with the local goverment comprehesive plan ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
| Is the project consistent with the Strategic Intermodal Plan developed under section 339.64 Florida Statutes ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
| Is the project in compliance with local corridor management plans ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
| Does the project have commitment of local, regional or private matching funds ? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |

The Department will give priority to projects which meet the following criteria. *(Check below ALL that apply to this project)*

- Provides connectivity to the Strategic Intermodal System
- Supports econoimic development and goods movement in rural areas of critical economic concern designated under Section 288.0656 Florida Statutes
- Is subject to local ordinances that establish corridor management techniques
- Improves connectivity between military installations and the strategic highway network or the strategic rail corridor network
- Has matching funds available to be committed to this project

Describe below any clarification that may be needed regarding project eligibility criteria *(Attach additional sheets if necessary)*

The project implements a new corridor parallel to I-75, a SIS Highway Corridor, and it is expected to facilitate the operation of 2 main interchanges alleviating congestion and enhancing safety. Due to current conditions that limit north-south connectivity, the I-75 corridor is used by local traffic for short trips between the commercial/employment centers in the vicinity of the interchanges at SR24 and SR26, reducing capacity along the interstate. The I-75 Master Plan (FDOT, 2009) cites the congested conditions along the interstate and the need to separate the mainstream traffic from local traffic. The I-75 Sketch Interstate Plan (FDOT, 2010) cites this proposed new connector as a transportation project that has an impact on I-75, specifically indicating that "the initiatives taken by the Gainesville LRTP support the continuing view of maintaining the integrity and functional class of the interstate by maintaining high speed, long distance through and too traffic [... and the need to] alleviate the demand by providing alternative routes to for use by local motorists."

Describe the scope and any special characteristics of the project (ie Paving CR 123 from Main St to CR 345, include lane and shoulder width, culvert replacement, ditch regrading, bridge impacts, driveway turnouts or other significant characteristics of the project. Provide Typical Section drawings if available). Include a location map

The SW 62nd Blvd connector includes the design, right-of-way acquisition and construction of a 2-lane roadway including accommodation of bicycle, pedestrian and transit facilities. Specific features include 11 ft travel lanes, 5 ft sidewalks on both sides, protected bike lanes, and a new 380 ft bridge over Hogtown Creek. A project map is provided in Appendix A; a typical section is provided in Appendix B. The project design and construction take into account the desire for a future widening to 4-lanes as funding becomes available.

The SW 62nd Blvd project is a project of regional significance, consistent with adopted local, regional and state plans.

The 2060 Florida Transportation Plan (FTP) identifies key transportation strategies to achieve the State's goals of improved quality of life and prosperity and enhanced system performance. The SW 62nd Blvd project furthers the goals and objectives of the FTP as follows:

-“Provide a safe and secure transportation system”: The project will support safe and efficient mobility for people, services and emergency personnel through enhanced connectivity among all elements of the transportation system. It will serve as an alternative route to the SHS in the project area and it is expected to decrease traffic volumes on the surrounding roadway system by an average of 8%. The reduction in traffic volumes will result in a decrease in congestion levels and positively affect crash incidence, as it may be expected that the crash incidence in the study area may decrease at a similar rate;

-“Promote responsible environmental stewardship”: The project will strengthen coordination of land use and transportation reducing trip lengths and increasing public transportation and non-motorized trips; reduce energy consumption and transportation related green gas emissions; and preserve the environment by reducing roadway environmental impacts through the treatment of stormwater discharge;

-“Improve mobility and connectivity for people and freight”: The project will enhance multimodal transportation by integrating pedestrian, bicycle and transit components; improve transfer between modes by enhancing access to transit; and provide for both recreation and commuter bicycle travel by enhancing connectivity to existing and proposed urban trails.

-“Support a competitive economy”: the project will enhance access to major employment centers in Alachua County such as the University of Florida, Shands HealthCare, VA Hospital, North Central Florida Hospital, the Oaks Mall and Butler Plaza, serving the areas of greatest concentration of employment, population and housing densities, and correspondingly one of the highest transit trip origin/destination areas. In addition, the project will enhance economic competitiveness by supporting the region's infill land development goals as identified in the City's Comprehensive plan.

Provide any additional project specific information that should be considered.

The project is consistent with the Strategic Intermodal System Plan. As a parallel facility to I-75, a designated SIS corridor, this corridor will serve as an alternative route improving mobility and interregional connectivity. Furthermore, the project is consistent with the goals and objectives of the City of Gainesville as stated in the Transportation Mobility Element of the City's Comprehensive Plan. The overarching goal is to establish a transportation system that enhances compact development, redevelopment and quality of life while promoting multimodal transportation choices.

Four grants were made available through SAFETEA-LU for the development of SW 62nd Blvd as a four-lane connector with dedicated transit lanes between SR 24/Archer Rd and SR 26/Newberry Rd (FDOT Work Program, FY08-FY13). These grants were intended to identify projects to relieve traffic congestion on I-75 and improve system connectivity within the area. This funding was programmed for a Corridor Study (completed in 2008), PD&E (completed in 2011), and the construction of three interim projects that were completed in 2011. The remainder of \$1.2 million is allocated for the completion of the PD&E. A significant portion of the project between SR24/Archer Rd and SW 43rd St was completed by Butler Enterprises in 2015 in association with the Butler Plaza expansion. Butler Enterprises received a State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) loan in the amount of \$12.1 million (FDOT Work Program, FY14) to assist with the implementation of the project.

ADDITIONAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Right of Way

Is right-of-way acquisition proposed? If Yes, describe proposed acquisition including expected fund source, limitations on fund use or availability, and who will acquire and retain ownership of proposed right-of-way.

Yes No

Right-of-way acquisition will be conducted by FDOT according to federal regulations. The City of Gainesville will retain ownership and maintenance responsibility for all acquired lands. The funding packet for right-of-way acquisition will be comprised of local, state and federal funds. Local funds are currently available to initiate right-of-acquisition; this task can commence as soon as design is completed.

Describe existing right-of-way ownerships along the project (*Describe when the right-of-way was obtained and how ownership is documented, i.e., plats, deeds, prescriptions, easements*):

The corridor alignment falls on public and private lands. Private lands will be acquired for the construction of the road, for stormwater facilities, and for wetland mitigation to meet the project requirements. Land ownership was determined based on records from Alachua County Property Appraiser's office; the right-of-way needs will be refined during the design phase of the project.

Public Involvement

Have any public information, or community meetings been held?

Yes No

Describe public, and private, support for the project. (*Examples: Letters of support, public meetings, County Commission meeting, petitions, written endorsements, resolutions, etc.*)

An extensive public involvement process has been conducted for this project since 2008. The project is a regional priority and is listed as the single priority in the MTPO for TRIP funds, and as a priority in the 2040 Cost Feasible Plan. The project is also listed as City priority in the City's legislative agenda. Local stakeholders, such as the Chamber of Commerce among others, also support the project implementation. See Appendix C for documentation.

Matching Funds

County is eligible for, and requests, waiver of the required 50% of match

Yes No

If matching funds are proposed, explain the source and any limitations of those funds

The matching funds for this project are as follows: (1) private contribution of over \$12 million through the construction of the segment between SR24/Archer Rd and SW 43rd St; (2) MTPO 2040 Cost Feasible Plan in the amount of \$9 million, available in FY21-FY25; and (3) City of Gainesville contribution of \$1 million in funding collected through the Transportation Mobility Program Area. The project cost estimate is provided in Appendix D.

PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

Provide a summary of the estimated cost for the work being proposed. (Use whole numbers, a detailed project cost estimate must be attached to this application.)

Design Engineering & Plans Preparation	\$ 6,000,000
Construction	_____
Construction Engineering & Inspection Activities	_____
Total Estimated Cost	\$ 6,000,000

PROJECT FUNDING

<u>TRIP FUNDS</u>	<u>LOCAL FUNDS</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 6,000,000
_____	_____	_____
50%	50%	100%
_____	_____	_____

Certification of Project Sponsor

I hereby certify that the proposed project herein described is supported by MTPO and the City of Gainesville (sponsoring entity) and that said entity:

- (1) Provide any required matching funds;
- (2) Shall enter into a Joint Participation Agreement (JPA) with the Florida Department of Transportation to perform the work;
- (3) Shall certify that no additional right of way is required to perform the work other than what is identified on page 4 of this application;
- (4) Has the legal right to construct the project within the identified right of way;
- (5) Shall acquire necessary permits required to construct the project;
- (6) Shall support other actions necessary to fully implement the proposed project.

I further certify that the estimated costs included herein are reasonable and that MTPO and the City of Gainesville (sponsoring entity) will follow through on the project once programmed in the Florida Department of Transportation's Work Program.

Teresa Scott, PE

Print Name

Director of Public Works

Title



Signature

02/09/2016

Date



SW 62nd BLVD OVERALL PROJECT CONTEXT AREA

Project limits: SW 43rd St to SW 52nd St



April 13, 2016

TO: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director *SRK*

SUBJECT: Waldo Road (State Road 24) Pedestrian Safety

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

No Action Required.

BACKGROUND

At its April 13, 2015 meeting, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approved a motion that included authorization to:

1. *send a letter to the Florida Department of Transportation requesting pedestrian first signal priority for the Waldo Road corridor from East University Avenue north to NE 39th Avenue;*
2. *send a letter to the Florida Department of Transportation requesting that they consider lowering the speed limit to 35 miles per hour on Waldo Road between East University Avenue and NE 39th Avenue; and*
3. *request that the Alachua County Traffic Safety Team conduct a pedestrian safety study for the Waldo Road corridor from East University Avenue to NE 39th Avenue.*

The Florida Department of Transportation has conducted a review of crashes on the Waldo Road corridor and has concluded that the only modification along the corridor, including intersections, is to address lighting levels. The Florida Department of Transportation also evaluated the corridor for a speed limit reduction and does not recommend any changes. Exhibit 1 is the Florida Department of Transportation response.

t:\marlie\ms16\cac\waldo_rd_ped_safety_bctapr20.docx

SK
ME

Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

605 Suwannee Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450

JIM BOXOLD
SECRETARY

RECEIVED

FEB 18 2016

NORTH CENTRAL FLORIDA
REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

February 10, 2016

Mr. Lauren Poe, Chair
Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization
For the Gainesville Urbanized Area
2009 NW 67th Place
Gainesville, Florida 32653-5847

RE: Waldo Road (State Road 24) Pedestrian Safety

Dear Chairman Poe:

Thank you for your April 22, 2015 letters regarding pedestrian safety and speed limits on State Road 24 (Waldo Road) from East University Avenue to NE 39th Avenue. Specifically, the letters included the following requests:

“request that the Alachua County Traffic Safety Team conduct a pedestrian safety study for Waldo Road (State Road 24) from East University Avenue north to NE 39th Avenue.”

"pedestrian first signal priority" for Waldo Road (from E. University Avenue north to NE 39th Avenue) where pedestrians are given a walk signal before motor vehicles are allowed to move so that pedestrians crossing at intersections are more visible”

“that the speed limit on Waldo Road (from E. University Avenue north to NE 39th Avenue) be reduced to 35 miles per hour.”

The Department reviewed pedestrian crash data for this roadway section for the time period of January 2011 through October 2015. During this time period, there were eight crashes involving pedestrians. Four of these crashes occurred at intersections while four occurred at midblock locations between intersections. Of the four crashes that occurred at intersections, two involved pedestrians crossing the roadway against the “WALK” signal, one involved a vehicle failing to yield to a pedestrian who was legally in the crosswalk and the fault of the final crash was unclear due to conflicting statements from the involved parties. Six of the eight crashes occurred at night.

Based on the Department’s review of pedestrian crash data, the only correctable trend in the crash data is to address the roadway and intersection lighting levels along the corridor. The

Department is pursuing federal funding to upgrade the lighting on State Road 24 (Waldo Road) from East University Avenue to NE 39th Avenue through the Highway Safety Improvement Program. In addition, as a short term corrective measure, the department will install "Turning Vehicles Yield to Pedestrians" post mounted signs at the signalized intersections to bring additional awareness to drivers.

As for the "pedestrian first signal priority"; only one of the crashes that occurred over the approximately 5 years of crash data may have been prevented by this type of signal timing. Therefore, there is not a sufficient crash trend to support this request. The additional roadway lighting, new warning signs, encouragement to pedestrians to cross at crosswalks and for pedestrians to utilize the signal equipment at intersections will go a long way to improve pedestrian safety on this corridor.

Finally, the Department conducted spot speed studies at five locations on State Road 24 (Waldo Road) from East University Avenue to NE 39th Avenue. The Department sets speed limits based on the 85th percentile speeds recorded during the spot speed studies. The 85th percentile speeds ranged from 45.08 mph to 49.93 mph. Therefore the existing 45 mph speed limit is appropriate. The Department does not recommend reducing the speed limit to 45 mph as requested.

If you have any questions regarding these studies, please contact Rodney Cooper, District Traffic Safety Program Engineer at (904) 360-5629 or Jeff Scott, District Safety Engineer at (904) 360-5644.

Sincerely,



Rodney Cooper
District Traffic Safety Program Engineer

c.c: Jerry Ausher, Department of Transportation District 2 Traffic Operations Engineer
Jim Knight, Department of Transportation District 2 Urban Planning Administrator
Jim Green, Department of Transportation District 2 Urban Planning Supervisor
Jeff Scott, Department of Transportation District 2 Safety Engineer

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE RECORD

TAC MEMBER AND ALTERNATE	ORGANIZATION	MEETING DATE 7/22/2015	MEETING DATE 9/23/2015	IN VIOLATION IF ABSENT AT NEXT MEETING?
STEVE LACHNIGHT Alt - Jeff Hays Alt - Chris Dawson Alt - Kathleen Pagan	Alachua County Department of Growth Management Office of Planning and Development	P	P	NO
RUTH FINDLEY Alt- Brian Singleton Alt - Dave Cerlanek	Alachua County Public Works Department	P	P	NO
DEKOVA BATEY Alt- Vacant	Alachua County/City of Gainesville/MTPO Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board	P	P	NO
STEVEN DUSH Alt - Dean Mimms Alt - Jason Simmons	City of Gainesville Department of Planning & Development Services	P	P	NO
DEBBIE LEISTNER [Chair] Alt- Phil Mann Alt - Jacob Kain	City of Gainesville Department of Public Works	P	P	NO
MATTHEW MULLER [Vice Chair] Alt- Jesus Gomez Alt- David Smith	City of Gainesville Regional Transit System	P	P	NO
PAUL ADJAN Alt- Laura Aguiar Alt- Allan Penksa	Gainesville/Alachua County Regional Airport Authority	P	P	NO
JAMES GREEN Alt - Karen Taulbee Alt - Vacant	Florida Department of Transportation	P	P	NO
JAMES SPEER Alt- David Deas Alt-	School Board of Alachua County	A	A	YES
LINDA DIXON Alt - Erik Lewis	University of Florida Facilities Planning & Construction Division	P	P	NO
RON FULLER Alt- Scott Fox	University of Florida Transportation & Parking Services	P	E	NO

LEGEND KEY - P = Present A = Absent * = New Member

me\p\em15\tac\attendanceTAC15.xls

* City of Gainesville Level of Service Subcommittee Member

Attendance Rule:

1. Each voting member of the Technical Advisory Committee may name one (1) or more alternates who may vote only in the absence of that member on a one vote per member basis.
2. Each member of the Technical Advisory Committee is expected to demonstrate his or her interest in the Technical Advisory Committee's activities through attendance of the scheduled meetings, except for reasons of an unavoidable nature. In each instance of an unavoidable absence, the absent member should ensure that one of his or her alternates attends. No more than three (3) consecutive absences will be allowed by the member. The Technical Advisory Committee shall deal with consistent absences and is empowered to recommend corrective action for Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization consideration.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ATTENDANCE RECORD

NAME	TERM EXPIRES	5/20/2015	7/22/2015	9/23/2015	Violation If Absent At Next Meeting 4/20/2016
E J Bolduc	17-Dec	P	P	P	-
Thomas Bolduc	16-Dec	E	P	A	-
Rob Brinkman	17-Dec	P	P	P	-
Nelle Bullock	16-Dec	P	P	P	-
Mary Ann DeMatas	18-Dec	-	-	-	-
Luis Diaz	16-Dec	P	P	P	-
Jan Frentzen	18-Dec	P	P	P	-
Delia Kradolfer	18-Dec	P	A	P	-
VACANT	16-Dec	-	-	-	-
Gilbert Levy	17-Dec	P	P	P	-
Ron Lieberman	17-Dec	E	P	P	-
Chandler Otis	18-Dec	P	P	P	-
James Samec	17-Dec	P	P	P	-
Ruth Steiner	18-Dec	-	-	-	-
Ewen Thomson	16-Dec	P	P	P	-

LEGEND KEY - P-Present; E-Excused Absence; A-Unexcused Absence

t:\mike\em16\cac\atttd_cac0924.xls

ATTENDANCE RULE

Any appointee of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization to the Citizens Advisory Committee shall be automatically removed from the committee upon filing with the Chair of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization appropriate proof that such person has had three (3) or more consecutive excused or unexcused absences. Excused absences are here defined to be those absences which occur from regular or special meetings after notification by such person to the Chair prior to such absence explaining the reasons therefore. All other absences are here defined to be unexcused.

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

1. On October 30, 1985, staff asked the Citizens Advisory Committee to clarify the procedures staff should use to record attendance at Citizens Advisory Committee meetings. The Citizens Advisory Committee instructed staff to use the following procedures:
 - A. all Citizens Advisory Committee meetings will require mandatory attendance by all members; and
 - B. attendance is recorded at all Citizens Advisory Committee meetings, even if a quorum is not present.
2. On April 28, 1999, the Citizens Advisory Committee decided to limit attendance by teleconferencing to medical emergencies only.
3. Members denoted in **BOLD ITALICS** are at risk for attendance rule violation if the next meeting is missed.

SCHEDULED 2016 MTPO AND COMMITTEE MEETING DATES AND TIMES

PLEASE NOTE: All of the dates and times shown in this table are subject to being changed during the year.

MTPO MEETING MONTH	TAC [At 2:00 p.m.] CAC [At 7:00 p.m.]	B/PAB [At 7:00 p.m.]	MTPO MEETING
FEBRUARY	<i>CANCELLED</i>	February 11	<i>CANCELLED</i>
MAY	April 20 <i>TAC@NCFRPC</i>	April 21	May 2 at 3:00 p.m.
JUNE	June 15 <i>TAC@NCFRPC</i>	June 16	June 27 at 5:00 p.m.
AUGUST	July 20 <i>TAC@NCFRPC</i>	July 21	August 1 at 3:00 p.m.
OCTOBER	September 21 <i>TAC@NCFRPC</i>	September 22	October 3 at 3:00 p.m.
DECEMBER	November 16 <i>TAC@NCFRPC</i>	November 17	December 5 at 5:00 p.m.

Note, unless otherwise scheduled:

1. Shaded boxes indicate the months that we may be able to cancel Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization meetings if agenda items do not require a meeting and corresponding Advisory Committee meeting may also be cancelled;
2. Technical Advisory Committee meetings are usually conducted at the Gainesville Regional Utilities Administration general purpose meeting room;
3. Citizens Advisory Committee meetings are conducted in the Grace Knight conference room of the Alachua County Administration Building; and
4. Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization meetings are conducted at the Jack Durrance Auditorium of the Alachua County Administration Building unless noted.

MTPO means Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization
TAC means Technical Advisory Committee
CAC means Citizens Advisory Committee
B/PAB means Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board
NCFRPC means North Central Florida Regional Planning Council

Florida Department of Transportation Public Information Meeting



US 441 DYNAMIC MESSAGE SIGN

Visit the project website at www.nflroads.com/PaynesPrairie

DATE

April 28, 2016

PLACE

Wyndham Garden
2900 SW 13th Street
Gainesville, FL 32608

CONTACT

Mr. Pete Vega, P.E.
FDOT, MS 2815
2198 Edison Ave
Jacksonville, FL
32204

Peter.vega@
dot.state.fl.us
(800) 207-8236
ext. 5463



Follow us



myfdotnefl @MyFDOT_NEFL MyFDOTNEFL



Financial Project ID: 438085-1

Please join us for a public meeting regarding the US 441 Paynes Prairie safety project and the location of the southbound advanced warning sign.

For your convenience, an open house format will be held from 4:30-6:30 p.m. Drop by anytime to review the project and talk with Department staff. There will be no presentation.

Public participation is sought without regard to race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, disability or family status. Persons who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act or persons who require translation services (free of charge) should contact Mr. Pete Vega at the number provided at least 7 days before the meeting. *Si prefiere recibir esta carta en español, por favor contacte Pete Vega a las (800) 207-8236 x5463. Referencia FM #438085-1.*

