TO: Citizens and Technical Advisory Committees  
FROM: Marlie Sanderson, Director of Transportation Planning  
SUBJECT: Meeting Announcement and Agenda

On Wednesday, November 19, 2014, the Technical Advisory Committee will meet at 2:00 p.m. in the Gainesville Regional Utilities (GRU) General Purpose Meeting Room, 301 SE 4th Avenue. Also on Wednesday, November 19, 2014, the Citizens Advisory Committee will meet at 7:00 p.m. in the Grace Knight Conference Room, Alachua County Administration Building 12 SE 1st Street. Times shown on this agenda are for the Citizens Advisory Committee meeting.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

7:00 p.m. I. Introductions (if needed)*

7:05 p.m. II. Approval of Meeting Agenda  
APPROVE AGENDA

7:05 p.m. III. Approval of Committee Minutes  
APPROVE MINUTES

7:10 p.m. IV. University Avenue Multimodal Study-Phase 1 Report  
APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The MTPO's consultant (Sprinkle Consulting, Inc.) will discuss this report.

7:40 p.m. V. Florida Department of Transportation Tentative Five Year Work Program  
APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The purpose of this agenda item is to decide if the MTPO should request changes to the draft Tentative Work Program.

8:00 p.m. VI. University of Florida Survey Research Center-Telephone Survey 2014  
NO ACTION REQUIRED

This report gives the results of the "statistically-valid" telephone survey that was recently conducted for the MTPO.

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region’s citizens, by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources, promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.
VII. Level of Service Subcommittee*  
NO ACTION REQUIRED

TAC Only

This agenda item was requested at the September 24th TAC meeting.
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8:00 p.m.  
CAC ONLY

VIII. Public Involvement Plan Update  
APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Each year, the MTPO reviews its public involvement process to ensure that it provides for full and open access to all citizens.

Page #47  
8:15 p.m.  
CAC ONLY

IX. Kermit Sigmon Citizen Participation Award  
SELECT RECIPIENT

Each CAC member will be asked to vote for one person.

X. Information Items

The following materials are for your information only and are not scheduled to be discussed unless otherwise requested.
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A. CAC and TAC Attendance Records

B. Next Meeting - Wednesday, December 3, 2014*

*No handout included with the enclosed agenda matter,
MINUTES

GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO)
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)

Gainesville Regional Utilities General Purpose Room
301 SE 4th Avenue
Gainesville, Florida

2:00 p.m.
Wednesday
July 23, 2014

MEMBERS PRESENT
Debbie Leistner, Chair
Dekova Batey
Linda Dixon
Ruth Findley
James Green
Jeff Hays
Dean Mimms
Matthew Muller

MEMBERS ABSENT
Paul Adjan
Ron Fuller
James Speer

OTHERS PRESENT
Wiatt Bowers
Bruce Landis
Chandler Otis
Wiley Page

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Debbie Leistner, Gainesville Transportation Planning Manager, called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Leistner introduced herself and asked other to introduce themselves.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA

Chair Leistner asked for approval of the agenda.

MOTION: Dean Mimms moved to approve the meeting agenda amended to place V. Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan- Vision Statement, Principles and Strategies before IV. University Avenue Multimodal Study- Existing Conditions. Matthew Muller seconded; motion passed unanimously.

III. APPROVAL OF COMMITTEE MINUTES

Chair Leistner asked for approval of the May 21, 2014 minutes.

MOTION: Dean Mimms moved to approve the revised May 21, 2013 TAC minutes. Matthew Muller seconded; motion passed unanimously.
V. YEAR 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN- VISION STATEMENT, PRINCIPLES AND STRATEGIES

Mr. Escalante stated that the MTPO referred the draft vision statement, principles and strategies to its staff and advisory committees. He reported that the MTPO thought that they were long, redundant and unbalanced.

Mr. Wiley Page, Atkins Project Manager, and Wiatt Bowers, Atkins Project Manager, discussed the revised draft vision statement, principles and strategies.

MOTION: Jeff Hays moved to recommend the MTPO approve the Vision Statement, Principles and Strategies as modified in Exhibit 1. Linda Dixon seconded; motion passed unanimously.

IV. UNIVERSITY AVENUE MULTIMODAL STUDY- EXISTING CONDITIONS

Mr. Escalante stated that Mr. Bruce Landis, Sprinkle Consulting Vice President, was present to discuss the University Avenue Multimodal Study existing conditions.

Mr. Landis discussed the University Avenue corridor study project timeline, existing conditions and answered questions.

VI. STATISTICALLY VALID TELEPHONE SURVEY

Mr. Escalante stated that the MTPO requested a cost estimate for a statistically valid survey similar to the one that was conducted in 2005. He said that the University of Florida Survey Research Center was contacted to develop an updated survey. He reviewed the survey and answered questions.

MOTION: Jeff Hays moved to have staff ask University of Florida Survey Research Center how the students are reached that do not have landlines and/or local area codes. Dean Mimms seconded.

FRIENDLY AMENDMENT: Dean Mimms asked to include the mode edits discussed by the TAC.

ORIGINAL MOTION AS AMENDED:

Jeff Hays moved to have staff ask University of Florida Survey Research Center how the students are reached that do not have landlines and/or local area codes and revise the Survey to include the RTS staff recommendation (Exhibits 2 and 3). Dean Mimms seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS

There was no discussion of the information items.
ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Date

Debbie Leistner, Chair
Vision Statement (Map 21- Subsection (a) (1))

A transportation system that is safe and efficient, serves the mobility needs of people and freight, and fosters economic prosperity while minimizing transportation-related fuel consumption and air pollution.

Principles (shown in bold- Map 21 (b) (1)) and Strategies (shown in italics)

Principle 1: Support economic vitality

Strategy 1.1: Support transportation projects that promote economic prosperity development through job creation.

Strategy 1.2: Construct new roads and/or widen existing roads that allow for the expansion of existing commercial centers.

Strategy 1.3: Support projects that improve connectivity to existing or planned economic centers.

Principle 2: Increase safety and security for motorized and nonmotorized users

Strategy 2.1: Support projects that increase safety for all users, such as improved access management to reduce crashes, construction of variable message signs to warn motorists of unsafe conditions, provision of sidewalks, transit, and bicycle facilities on new roads and late night transit services to deter drunk driving.

Strategy 2.2: Implement techniques and road design to reduce fatalities and serious injuries from common intersection crashes and lane departures.

Strategy 2.3: Support projects that increase security for all users of transit such as adequate lighting at bus stops, equipment on buses and transit facilities to monitor, prevent, and deter harmful activity, and adequate bicycle parking facilities.

Strategy 2.4: Support development of alternative fuel sources and multimodal infrastructure to provide continuing transportation services in the event of scarcity.

Strategy 2.5: Coordinate with appropriate agencies to accommodate variable and unexpected transportation network conditions incident management and emergency management.
Principle 3: Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and freight

Strategy 3.1: Improve the level of service for roads using transportation system management strategies (such as computerized traffic signal systems, motorist information systems and incident management systems) and transportation demand management strategies (such as carpools, transit, bicycling, walking, telecommuting and flexible work schedules).

Strategy 3.2: Encourage the construction of bus bays (turnouts) on major road corridors.

Strategy 3.3: Preserve the intended function of roads on the Florida Strategic Intermodal System for intercity travel and freight movement.

Strategy 3.4: Expand transit service to improve accessibility, availability and competitiveness of transit as a viable travel option.

Principle 4: Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns

Strategy 4.1: Support land use designations and encourage development plans that reduce vehicle miles traveled and are transit-supportive.

Strategy 4.2: Develop and expand a trail-network that provides multi-modal transportation opportunities for bicyclists and pedestrians.

Strategy 4.3: Reduce adverse impacts of transportation on the environment, including habitat and ecosystem fragmentation, wildlife collisions and non-point source pollution.

Strategy 4.4: Coordinate transportation and future land use decisions to promote efficient development patterns and a choice of transportation modes, consistent with local government comprehensive plans.

Principle 5: Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight

Strategy 5.1: Construct park-and-ride lots, transit intermodal centers and freight intermodal centers at appropriate locations.

Strategy 5.2: Provide adequate sidewalks to all bus stops and bicycle racks on all buses.
Principle 6: Promote efficient system management and operation

Strategy 6.1: Develop a transportation system that disperses traffic across throughout the local transportation grid rather than concentrating traffic on a few major roads.

Strategy 6.2: Encourage the development of employment and service centers that are located to reduce travel distances from residential areas to transit services.

Encourage the development and location of employment and service that reduce travel distances from residential areas to transit services.

Strategy 6.3: Continue to implement a coordinated traffic signal system plan to improve road efficiency and to maintain traffic flow.

Strategy 6.4: Continue to implement complete streets that maximize the efficiency of the transportation system.

Principle 7: Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system

Strategy 7.1: Give a priority in funding to road preservation, maintenance projects, and low-cost improvements Direct sufficient resources to preserve existing transportation infrastructure.

Strategy 7.2: Protect existing and future road rights-of-way from building encroachment.
Please see attached. Jesus may have more comments.

MM

From: Marlie Sanderson [sanderson@ncfrpc.org]
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Muller, Mathew R.
Cc: Scott Koons; Gomez, Jesus M.; Mike Escalante
Subject: FW: Survey

Matt-

At the June 2nd meeting, the MTPO discussed the attached "statistically valid" transportation survey that was conducted in 2005. The MTPO members that were present indicated that they are interested in doing another similar telephone survey, but they want the chance to review and approve the final survey questions that will be asked.

We are currently working with the University of Florida, Survey Research Center, to rework the 2005 survey to remove any items that are not currently relevant and to incorporate more items pertaining to topics of current interest. Please let us know if you have any recommended revisions you would like to see made to the 2005 survey.

If possible, we would like to have your comments by the end of next week (Friday June 13th) because we plan to meet with UF staff on either May 16, 17 or 18.

Thanks, Marlie

Please see attached. Jesus may have more comments.

MM
SECTION A: INTRODUCTION / GEOGRAPHIC QUOTA

A-1. This question only applies to surveys conducted by telephone.

A-2. What is your 5-digit zip code where you live?

A-3. In the past month, how many times have you personally used the following in Gainesville or some other part of Alachua County?

1. Sidewalks?
2. Gainesville Regional Transit bus service?
3. Special dedicated bus or van service for senior citizens or the disabled?
4. In-street bike lane for school or work trips?
5. In-street bike lane for other trips?
6. Off-street bike path for school or work trips?
7. Off-street bike path for other trips?
8. The roadway system as a car driver or passenger?

---

Summary of Comments on 4055_001_muller.pdf
Page: 1

— Author: — Subject: Sticky Note: Date: —

Enter this circuit only be asked for people in the service area. If you are sampling the entire county you will be asking a number of individuals who do not even have this as an option.
### SECTION B: ALACHUA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION ISSUES RATING

#### B.1. Your local governments provide services in a number of areas. Please rank their importance to you on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means you feel the services are very important and 1 means you feel it is not important at all. You may choose any number between 1 and 5 but please choose only one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Other Options</th>
<th>Don't Know/Net Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Police Protection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Fire Protection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Health</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Waste Collection Disposal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Housing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Sewer/Water</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Sanitation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Parks</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Social Services</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Libraries</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Public Health</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B.2. Would you support an increase in the following areas to pay for the modifications to your area's transportation system?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The price of gasoline?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The local sales tax?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The local property tax?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The price of auto tags?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Another source of revenue?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B.3. If yes to a, which area(s)?

---

*Note: The form includes a date and time stamp at the bottom.*
Please tell me how important you feel spending money on the following proposals. We'll again use a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means you feel the proposal is very important and 1 means you feel it's NOT important at all. You may choose any number between 1 and 5 but please choose only one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Not Important at All</th>
<th>No Opinion/Don't Know/Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Building/Improving sidewalks and bike paths and bike lanes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Expanding bus service on existing routes during the week</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Expanding bus service on existing routes on the weekends</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Expanding bus service on existing routes by having the bus service run more often</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Making bus service available to everyone in Alachua County</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Adding express bus service during the morning and afternoon commutes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Adding new bus routes to serve areas currently without bus service</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Providing bus service to those who cannot drive, like the elderly, too old, or have disabilities</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Upgrading intersections (turn lanes, etc.)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Improving the coordination of traffic signals</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Landscaping roadway curbs to improve their appearance</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. Reducing driver openings to improve traffic flow</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m. Adding lanes on existing roads</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n. Building sections of roads to fill gaps that exist</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o. Building new roads</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p. Spending more on maintenance of existing transportation facilities</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q. Spending more to build more transportation facilities</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B-5. If you had $100 to spend on improving the Gainesville area's transportation system, how would you split it between maintaining the existing transportation facilities or building more facilities?

(SURVEYOR: REMEMBER ALLOCATION MUST ADD TO $100.)

- Maintaining Existing Facilities
- Building More Facilities
B-6. How would you split up $100 among the following four areas of the transportation system: ROADS, BUSES, BICYCLE PATHS, SIDEWALKS? (SURVEYOR: REMEMBER ALLOCATION MUST ADD TO $100.)

Roads? $__
Buses? $__
Bicycle Paths? $__
Sidewalks? $__
### SECTION C: DEMOGRAPHICS

**C-1. How long have you lived in Gainesville or Alachua County?**

Enter years

**C-2. Are you a full-time college student?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Refused

**C-3. Do you live at your present home year round?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Refused

**C-4. How many persons, including yourself, live in your household?**

__

**C-5. How many household members are under the age of 18?**

__

**C-6. How many people in your household work at least 20 hours per week outside the home?**

__

**C-7. How many registered vehicles (passenger cars, pick-up trucks, sport utility vehicles, vans, minivans, and motorcycles) do you have in your household?**

__

**C-8. How many people in your household are licensed drivers?**

__

**C-9. Is there a disabled person with special transportation needs in your household?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Refused

**C-10. What is your primary race or ethnicity?**

1. African American/Black
2. Asian/Asian Indian
3. Asian/Pacific Islander
4. Caucasian/White
5. Hispanic or Latina/Latino
6. Other (specify) __________
7. Refused
**C-11. [RECORD GENDER WITHOUT ASKING]**

1. Male
2. Female

**C-12. Into which of the following age categories do you fall?**

1. Under 18
2. 18 to 34
3. 35 to 54
4. 55 to 65
5. Over 65
6. Refused

**C-13. That's the end of the survey. The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization is very interested in keeping in touch with you. Would you be interested in participating in future meetings on these issues?**

1. Yes
2. No

**C-14. If yes to D-13, RECORD FULL NAME AND ADDRESS**

[RECORD NAME]  
[RECORD ADDRESS]  
[RECORD CITY/STATE/ZIPCODE]

Thank you.

**NOTE TO SURVEYOR: the data in Section C MUST be recorded in a separate database, not with the results of the answers, due to public record laws.**
Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Survey

PHONE SURVEY

Hello, I'm calling from the University of Florida for the organization responsible for setting transportation priorities for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area, known as the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area. We'd like to ask an adult (age 18+) in your household a few questions about the transportation issues that they feel are most important. This survey is part of the process of setting long-range goals for the transportation system in the greater Gainesville area. (This should only take around 10 minutes of your time.)

[Respondent selection: Resident Adult with the most recent birthday.]
Can I speak to the adult (18+ who lives in your household) who had the most recent birthday?

Before we begin, there are a few things I'd like you to know:
* Your phone number was selected at random.
* Anything you say will be confidential.
* You don't have to answer any question you don't want to.
* Your answers won't be linked to your name, and this survey should take around 10 minutes.
* Finally, this call may be recorded for quality control purposes.

Do you have any questions?

Q1. What is your 5-digit Zip code (where you live)?

Q2. In the past work week, how many days have you used the following in Gainesville or some other part of Alachua County:

   Days
   
   a. Sidewalks?
   b. Gainesville Regional Transit System bus service?
   c. Special dedicated bus or van service for senior citizens or the disabled?
   d. In-street bike lanes?
   e. Off-street bike paths?
   f. The roadway system (as a car driver or as a passenger)?

Q3. Would you support increases in any of the following areas to improve your local transportation system?

   a. Gasoline tax? Yes No
   b. Local sales tax? Yes No
   c. Local property tax? Yes No
   d. Auto tag fees? Yes No
   e. Another source of revenue? Yes No

Q4. What would you like to see the additional revenue used for?

   [Open end Response]
Q5. Your local government budgets for community transportation needs. Please tell me how you would rate the importance of spending money on each of the following. We'll use a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means you feel the proposal is Very Important and 1 means you feel it is NOT Important at All.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Not Important at All</th>
<th>No Opinion/Don't Know/Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Building sidewalks</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Expanding bus service hours during the week</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Expanding bus service hours on the weekends</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Having the bus come by on existing routes more often</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Add new bus routes to areas without transit service</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Provide more bus or van service to those who cannot drive (the elderly or disabled)</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Upgrading intersections by adding turn lanes</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Add lanes on existing roads</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Building new roads</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Building bicycle lanes and/or paths</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q7. If you had $100 to spend on ROADS, BUSES, BICYCLE PATHS, SIDEWALKS how much would you spend on...

(Interviewer: REMEMBER ALLOCATION MUST ADD TO $100.)

Roads $________
Transit Service $________
Bicycle Facilities $________
Pedestrian Facilities $________

Demographics

Q8. How many years have you lived in Gainesville or Alachua County?
   _____ Enter # years

Q9. Do you live in the Gainesville city limits?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   -9. Refused

Q10. How many people, including yourself, live in your household?

Q11. How many people in your household work at least 20 hours per week outside the home?

Q12. How many registered motor vehicles are there in your household? (Motor vehicles include passenger cars, pick-up trucks, sport utility vehicles, vans/minivans, and motorcycles.)

Q13. How many people in your household are licensed drivers?
Q14. Is there a disabled person with special transportation needs in your household?

1. Yes
2. No
-9. Refused

Q15. Record gender [Ask only if needed]

1. Male
2. Female

Q16a. What is your age?

Q16b. (If Q16a is refused) Into which of the following age categories do you fall?

1. 18 to 34
2. 35 to 54
3. 55 to 64
4. 65 or older
-9. Refused

Q17. Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin?

1. Yes (Spanish or Hispanic)
2. No (Not Spanish or Hispanic)
-8. Don't Know
-9. Refused

Q18. What race do you consider yourself?

(INT: READ CHOICES IF NECESSARY)

1. White (Caucasian)
2. Black (African-American)
3. Asian or Pacific Islander
4. American Indian or Alaska native
5. Other
6. Multi-racial or mixed race
-8. Don't Know
-9. Refused

That's all the questions I have. Thank you for your help.
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GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO)
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MEMBERS PRESENT
Debbie Leistner, Chair
Dekova Batey
Linda Dixon
Ruth Findley
Ron Fuller
James Green
Jeff Hays
Dean Mimms
Matthew Muller

MEMBERS ABSENT
Paul Adjan
James Speer

OTHERS PRESENT
Bruce Landis
Chandler Otis

STAFF PRESENT
Marlie Sanderson
Michael Escalante

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Debbie Leistner, Gainesville Transportation Planning Manager, called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Leistner introduced herself and asked other to introduce themselves.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA

Chair Leistner asked for approval of the agenda and asked that the Level of Service Subcommittee be placed on the November TAC agenda.

Mr. Sanderson asked that the agenda be amended to add discussion of Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) planning study funding.

MOTION: Ron Fuller moved to approve the meeting agenda amended to add VIIIb. FDOT Planning Study Funding. Dekova Batey seconded; motion passed unanimously.

III. APPROVAL OF COMMITTEE MINUTES

Chair Leistner asked for approval of the July 23, 2014 minutes.

MOTION: Matthew Muller moved to ask staff to review the Statistically Valid Survey agenda item in the July 23, 2013 TAC minutes. Linda Dixon seconded; motion passed unanimously.
IV. STRATEGIC INTERMODAL SYSTEM (SIS) AIRPORT CONNECTOR DESIGNATIONS

Mr. Sanderson stated that the Florida Department of Transportation is planning two revisions to the SIS connector designations for the Gainesville Regional Airport entrances- to drop the SIS designation for the NE 39th Avenue entrance and add the SIS designation for the Waldo Road entrance.

Mr. Chandler Otis discussed his safety concerns at each airport entrance.

**MOTION:** Jeff Hays moved to recommend the MTPO approve the deletion of the NE 39th Avenue Entrance SIS designation and add the SIS designation to the new Waldo Road entrance. Linda Dixon seconded; motion passed unanimously.

V. TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM PROJECTS- 2014 APPLICATION

Mr. Sanderson stated that FDOT has requested that any new Transportation Alternative Program applications be submitted by December 5, 2014. He reported that the City of Gainesville has prepared an application for the NW 19th Lane Two-Way Cycle Track.

**MOTION:** Jeff Hays moved to recommend the MTPO approve the submission of the City of Gainesville Transportation Alternative Program application for the NW 19th Lane Two-Way Cycle Track. Dean Mimms seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VII. UNIVERSITY AVENUE MULTIMODAL STUDY- EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT

Mr. Sanderson stated that Mr. Bruce Landis, Sprinkle Consulting Project Manager, was present to discuss the University Avenue Multimodal Study Existing Conditions Report.

Mr. Landis discussed the University Avenue Existing Conditions Report and answered questions.

Mr. James Green, FDOT Urban Planning Supervisor, discussed the availability of Phase 2 funding for the project.

VIII. YEAR 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN- EXISTING PLUS COMMITTED (E+C) NETWORK

Mr. Sanderson stated that MTPO staff, with input from City and County staffs, has prepared the E+C network for the Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan update. He discussed the E+C network and answered questions.

**MOTION:** Jeff Hays moved to approve the E+C network (Illustration 1 and Table 1) revised to include a footnote regarding the lane reduction on NW 8th Avenue between NW 34th Street and NW 23rd Street. Linda Dixon seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VIIIB. FDOT PLANNING STUDY FUNDING

Mr. Sanderson discussed the availability of FDOT planning study funding and answered questions.

Ms. Linda Dixon, University of Florida Planning Director, suggested that a similar study for the SW 13th Street corridor from Archer Road to University Avenue would be beneficial.
Mr. Hays suggested Newberry Road from NW 98th Street to Interstate 75 and the SW 20th Avenue at SW 43rd Street intersection.

Mr. Dean Mimms, Gainesville Planner, suggested a stop sign on the Archer Road rail/trail at SW 27th Street.

MOTION: Jeff Hays moved to recommend that the FDOT consider for planning studies the following priorities:

1. SW 13th Street from Archer Road to University Avenue;
2. SW 34th Street from SW 2nd Avenue to University Avenue; and
3. Newberry Road from NW 98th Street to NW 69th Terrace.

Linda Dixon seconded; motion passed unanimously.

IX. INFORMATION ITEMS

Mr. Mimms asked about public notice for the University Avenue Multimodal Corridor Study workshop.

Mr. Sanderson stated that public notice has been provided.

Chair Leistner announce the Open Streets event in downtown on October 5, 2014 and the Bike Boulevard ribbon cutting event on November 8, 2014.

Mr. Mimms discuss bike safety concerns regarding the US 441 resurfacing project.

Ms. Dixon announced the Campus Master Plan workshop is scheduled for October 27, 2014.

Mr. Mimms announced the UF Urban and Regional Planning 40th Anniversary celebration that begins on October 9, 2014.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Debbie Leistner, Chair
Table 1
Existing and Committed Capacity Projects

New Road Projects Completed Since 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP #</th>
<th>Roadway</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gainesville Regional Airport Entrance</td>
<td>Waldo Road</td>
<td>Airport Terminal</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NW 8th Avenue</td>
<td>NW 23rd Street</td>
<td>NW 31st Drive</td>
<td>Two-Lane Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SW 9th Street</td>
<td>SW 2nd Avenue</td>
<td>SW 4th Avenue</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SW 3rd Avenue</td>
<td>SW 10th Street</td>
<td>SW 7th Terrace</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hull Road Extension</td>
<td>SW 34th Street</td>
<td>SW 38th Terrace</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SW 38th Terrace</td>
<td>SW 20th Avenue</td>
<td>Hull Road</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note- NW 8th Avenue is currently being tested and evaluated as a two-lane road. A final decision concerning whether this road remains two lanes, or returns to being a four-lane road, will be made at a later date.*

New Road Projects Funded Through Construction by 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP #</th>
<th>Roadway</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Celebration Pointe Boulevard/SW 30th Avenue Bridge</td>
<td>Archer Road</td>
<td>SW 42nd Way</td>
<td>New Four Lane</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SW 62nd Boulevard</td>
<td>Archer Road</td>
<td>SW 43rd Street</td>
<td>New Four Lane</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Plaza Boulevard (SW 38th Terrace)</td>
<td>SW 24th Avenue</td>
<td>SW 42nd Street</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SW 30th Avenue</td>
<td>SW 42nd Street</td>
<td>SW 40th Boulevard</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SW 42nd Way Extension</td>
<td>SW 30th Place</td>
<td>SW 30th Avenue</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SW 30th Place Extension</td>
<td>SW 42nd Way</td>
<td>SW 42nd Street</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SW 8th Avenue</td>
<td>SW 143rd Street</td>
<td>SW 122nd Street</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Road Connecting SW 8th Ave and SW 61st St</td>
<td>SW 75th Street</td>
<td>SW 24th Avenue</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>NW 23rd Avenue</td>
<td>NW 55th Street</td>
<td>NW 58th Boulevard</td>
<td>Widen to Four Lanes</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>SW 40th Boulevard Extension</td>
<td>South of Archer Road</td>
<td>SW 47th Avenue</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>SW 91st Street</td>
<td>Archer Road</td>
<td>SW 73rd Avenue</td>
<td>New Two-Lane</td>
<td>2017-18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illustration 1
Existing and Committed Capacity Projects
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CALL TO ORDER

Chair Jan Frentzen called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Frentzen introduced himself and asked others to introduce themselves.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA

Chair Frentzen asked that the agenda be approved.

MOTION: Rob Brinkman moved to approve the meeting agenda amended to add item VII. University Avenue Multimodal Study- Existing Conditions Report after item III. Approval of Committee Minutes. James Samec seconded; motion passed unanimously.

III. APPROVAL OF COMMITTEE MINUTES

Chair Frentzen asked for approval of the CAC meeting minutes.

MOTION: Ruth Steiner moved to approve the July 23, 2014 CAC minutes. James Samec seconded; motion passed unanimously.
VII. UNIVERSITY AVENUE MULTIMODAL STUDY- EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT

Mr. Sanderson stated that Mr. Bruce Landis, Sprinkle Consulting Project Manager, was present to discuss the University Avenue Multimodal Study Existing Conditions Report.

Mr. Landis discussed the University Avenue Existing Conditions Report and answered questions.

IV. STRATEGIC INTERMODAL SYSTEM (SIS) AIRPORT CONNECTOR DESIGNATIONS

Mr. Sanderson stated that the Florida Department of Transportation is planning two revisions to the SIS connector designations for the Gainesville Regional Airport entrances- to drop the SIS designation for the NE 39th Avenue entrance and add the SIS designation for the Waldo Road entrance.

MOTION: Ruth Steiner moved to recommend the MTPO approve the deletion of the NE 39th Avenue Entrance SIS designation and add the SIS designation to the new Waldo Road entrance. Rob Brinkman seconded; motion passed unanimously.

V. TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM PROJECTS- 2014 APPLICATION

Mr. Sanderson stated that FDOT has requested that any new Transportation Alternative Program applications be submitted by December 5, 2014. He reported that the City of Gainesville has prepared an application for the NW 19th Lane Two-Way Cycle Track.

MOTION: Ruth Steiner moved to recommend the MTPO approve the submission of the City of Gainesville Transportation Alternative Program application for the NW 19th Lane Two-Way Cycle Track. Melinda Koken seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VI. ORIGINAL FLORIDA TOURISM TASK FORCE BICYCLE MAPPING PRODUCT

Mr. Sanderson stated that the north Central Florida Regional Planning Council has prepared a web-based bicycle mapping product for the Original Florida Tourism Task Force.

Mr. Mike DePalma, MTPO Associate Planner, discussed the Alachua County and Original Florida maps and answered questions.

IX. INFORMATION ITEMS

There was no discussion of the information items.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 8:21 p.m.

Date ________________ Jan Frentzen, Chair
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November 12, 2014

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: University Avenue Multimodal Study- Phase 1 Report

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approve the Phase 1 Report.

BACKGROUND

Priority #3 in the State Highway portion of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area’s adopted Year 2035 Cost Feasible Plan is the State Road 26/University Avenue Multimodal Emphasis Corridor (from Gale Lemerand Drive east to Waldo Road). The purpose of this Study is to identify specific multimodal projects within this portion of State Road 26 that may be included in the Year 2040 long range transportation plan update and programmed for implementation by the Florida Department of Transportation in its Five Year Work Program. The Florida Department of Transportation will review the Phase 1 Report recommendations, to determine if there are viable potential projects, before agreeing to fund Phase 2.

Sprinkle Consulting, Inc. is the firm selected to work on the University Avenue Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Study. At the December 1, 2014 meeting, they will discuss the draft Phase 1 Report. Below are weblinks to the draft Phase 1 Report. Copies will be distributed at the meeting.


Attached, as Exhibit 1, is the Scope of Services for this project.

Attachment
EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT A

SCOPE OF SERVICES
State Road 26 (University Avenue)
Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Scope of Services

Purpose

Priority #3 in the State Highway portion of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area’s adopted Year 2035 Cost Feasible Plan is the State Road 26/University Avenue Multimodal Emphasis Corridor (from Gale Lemerand Drive east to Waldo Road). The purpose of this Study is to identify specific multimodal projects within this portion of State Road 26 that can be programmed for implementation by the Florida Department of Transportation in its Five-Year Work Program. Part of this project is to document existing conditions within the corridor and data collection for bicycle, pedestrian and transit users.

Definition

Multimodal emphasis corridors are defined, as follows:

“major transportation facilities which accommodate automobile, truck, bus, bicycle and pedestrian travel and link different modes together, such as bikes on buses, car and walk and/or park and ride. These projects employ policies and design elements that ensure that the safety and convenience of all users of a transportation system are considered in all phases of project planning and development. Typical elements of a multimodal corridor include sidewalks, bicycle lanes (or wide, paved shoulders), shared-use bicycle and pedestrian paths, designated bus lanes, safe and accessible transit stops and frequent and safe crossings for pedestrians, including median islands, accessible pedestrian signals, and curb extensions.”

Lead Agency

The lead agency is the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area.

Methodology

The selected firm will review and evaluate the attached Exhibit 1- Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Design Elements, and use other appropriate resources, in order to identify specific multimodal projects that can, and should, be implemented within the State Road 26 Corridor.

Phase 1 will include:

1. documenting existing conditions within the corridor, including right-of-way (using existing right-of-way information [note original surveys do not need to be prepared]), existing multimodal corridor design elements, other existing multimodal infrastructure, bicycle/pedestrian counts, average annual daily traffic, transit levels of service, crash data and environmental or hazardous locations;

2. preparing an existing conditions report (and mapping); and

3. preliminary review and ranking of multimodal corridor design elements for the corridor or segments of the corridor.
Phase 2 will include a final listing of preferred multimodal corridor design elements to implement on the corridor (or segments of the corridor). The recommendation will include documentation of costs and phasing to the best effort available for implementation and maintenance, if element requires perpetual maintenance. Final report and final mapping are included in Phase 2.

Public Participation

In order to provide adequate public involvement in the planning process, the Consultant will conduct two community workshops. One after the existing conditions report in Phase 1 is prepared and one near the end of the project to report the final draft results for Phase 2. Both community workshops will be conducted by the firm selected by the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area. In advance of each workshop, the selected firm will also make presentations to the Technical Advisory Committee, Citizens Advisory Committee and the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board.

Technical Review Committee

A Technical Review Committee will be appointed by the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area to review work products and provide advice and direction to the selected firm. This Committee will consist of the following Technical Advisory Committee members or their designees:

1. Debbie Leistner, City of Gainesville Public Works Department;
2. Dekova Batey, City of Gainesville Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinator;
3. Matt Muller, City of Gainesville Regional Transit System;
4. Jeff Hayes, Alachua County Department of Growth Management;
5. Brian Singleton, Alachua County Public Works Department;
6. James Green, Florida Department of Transportation District 2;
7. Linda Dixon, University of Florida;
8. Marlie Sanderson, Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area; and
9. Mike Escalante, Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area.

Phasing

Phase 1 will begin on June 23, 2014 and end December 31, 2014. Phase 2 will begin January 1, 2015 and end June 30, 2015.

Estimated Cost

The estimated cost for this project is $50,000, with $50,000 for Phase 1 and, contingent upon acceptance of Phase 1 by the Florida Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, an additional $50,000 being allocated for Phase 2.
### Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 3)
Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Design Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Design Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian</td>
<td>Construct Missing Sidewalk Sections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wider Sidewalks (12 feet in commercial areas for landscaping and street furniture)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pavement Markings (Painted Crosswalks with highly visible markings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midblock Crossing (Frequent and Safe Crossings for Pedestrians- every 200-600 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Median Islands (6 feet minimum if used as pedestrian refuge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illuminated Pedestrian Crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illuminated Blank-out Message Sign- No Right Turn on Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Traffic Signal Timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Barn Dance&quot; at University Avenue and W 13th Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accessible and Audible Pedestrian Signals with Count-down Heads that do not require activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short traffic signal cycle lengths to reduce pedestrian waiting time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian crossing intervals adequate for slower-walking pedestrians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leading Pedestrian Interval at Signalized Crossing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Buttons Reachable by People in Wheelchairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wheelchair Accessible Curb Cuts and Ramps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Overpass/Underpass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Friendly Intersection Design/ Compact Intersections (curb-return radius as small as possible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crosswalks Shortened by Curb Extensions In Areas With On-street Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-street Parking to Buffer Travel Lanes and Pedestrian Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Amenities (Street Trees for Shading, Benches, Planter Strips and Street Trees in Tree Wells)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Scale Safety Lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide As Much Curb Parking As Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider Eliminating Some Left-turn Bays (to reduce pedestrian conflicts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vehicle Access Across Sidewalks (24 feet or less)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exhibit 1- Continued (Page 2 of 3)
Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Design Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Design Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>Bicycle Friendly Design and Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wide Paved Shoulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wide Curb Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sharrow Markings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional Bicycle Facility Signage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shared-use Bicycle and Pedestrian Paths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bikes on Buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide Bicycle Repair Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bicycle Loop Detectors on Side Streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Removal of Street Parking to Construct Bicycle Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce Lane Widths to Add Bicycle Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway</td>
<td>Access Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raised Medians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Addition of General Purpose Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce Lane Widths to Add a Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intersection Widening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limiting Heavy Trucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limit accommodation of left turning vehicles in off peak direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Control Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Signal Progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional Green Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carpooling/Vanpooling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Exhibit 1- Continued (Page 3 of 3)

**Multimodal Emphasis Corridor Design Elements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Design Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Safe and Accessible Transit Stops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus Pullouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus Stops with Shelters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transit Superstop (similar to the one on SW 20th Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transit Signal Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transit System Amenities (Bus Shelters and Benches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incorporate Transit-oriented Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide Curb Extensions (where parking is allowed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dedicated Bus Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park and Ride Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus Rapid Transit Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus Rapid Transit Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Management (Controlling the Price and Supply)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Calming</td>
<td>Narrower Travel Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raised Crosswalks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shorter Curb Corner Radii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elimination of Free-flow Right-turn Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Linking Modal Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of Route Markings/Signing for Historical and Cultural Resources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
November 12, 2014

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board, Citizens Advisory Committee and Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Florida Department of Transportation Tentative Five Year Work Program

MTPO STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the Florida Department of Transportation fund the number two priority in the long range transportation plan on the State Highway System - State Road 121 (NW 34th Street) turnlanes for locations where they are needed, such as NW 23rd Terrace, NW 25th Terrace and the entrance to the Rock Creek Subdivision.

BACKGROUND

Each year, the Florida Department of Transportation submits a Five Year Work Program to the State Legislature. The Florida Department of Transportation Tentative Five Year Work Program lists all of the projects that are funded with state and federal funds over the next five fiscal years (Fiscal Years 2016 to 2020).

The Florida Department of Transportation District 2 Tentative Work Program for Fiscal Years 2016 - 2020 for Alachua County will be emailed to Advisory Committee members as soon as staff receives it. In addition, handouts of the Tentative Five Year Work Program will be distributed at each MTPO Advisory Committee meeting.

Action Being Requested

The reason that this is on the agenda is to give the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area the opportunity to request changes to existing or proposed projects and to hear requests for new projects to be added to, or existing projects to be deleted from, the Tentative Five Year Work Program.
November 12, 2014

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: University of Florida Survey Research Center- Telephone Survey 2014

RECOMMENDATION

No action required. This material is for information only.

BACKGROUND

At its August 4, 2014 meeting, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area discussed a transportation telephone survey that was conducted as part of the Year 2025 long range transportation plan update. During this discussion, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approved a motion to approve the Phone Survey [with several revisions] and authorized staff to pay the University of Florida Survey Research Center $18,329 to conduct this survey.

Below is the weblink to the document entitled “Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Telephone Survey 2014” that reports the survey results.

November 12, 2014

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Public Involvement Plan Update

MTPO STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approve the revised Public Involvement Plan.

BACKGROUND

Each year, the Public Involvement Plan is reviewed, and revised if necessary, in order to ensure that the planning program provides for a proactive public involvement process. This year’s Public Involvement Plan does not include any substantive revisions. Below is a weblink to the draft Public Involvement Plan.

November 12, 2014

TO: Citizens Advisory Committee

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Kermit Sigmon Citizen Participation Award

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Be prepared to vote for one person (anyone is eligible) or group.

BACKGROUND

In 1997, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization approved the annual Dr. Kermit Sigmon Citizen Participation Award. This award is presented each year to someone, selected by the Citizens Advisory Committee, to be recognized for their contribution to the community’s transportation planning process.

Previous Recipients

1997- Ruth Sigmon
1998- Perry Maull
1999- South West Alliance for Planning
2000- Var Heyl and Cindy Smith
2001- Chandler Otis
2002- Gerry Dedenbach
2003- Dr. Linda Crider
2004- Dan Burden
2005- Julia Reiskind
2006- Dr. Ruth Steiner
2007- Professor Martin Gold
2008- Susan and Mike Wright
2009- Sharon Hawkey
2010- Mayor Mark Goldstein
2011 Ed Poppell
2012 Scott Fox
2013 Thomas Hawkins

Dedicated to improving the quality of life of the Region’s citizens, by coordinating growth management, protecting regional resources, promoting economic development and providing technical services to local governments.
### TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) ATTENDANCE RECORD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAC MEMBER AND ALTERNATE</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>MEETING DATE 7/23/2014</th>
<th>MEETING DATE 9/24/2014</th>
<th>IN VIOLATION IF ABSENT AT NEXT MEETING?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STEVE LACHNICHIT</td>
<td>Alachua County Department of Growth Management Office of Planning and Development</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Jeff Hays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Chris Dawson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Kathleen Pagan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUTH FINDLEY</td>
<td>Alachua County Public Works Department</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Brian Singleton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Dave Cerlaneck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEKOVA BATEY</td>
<td>Alachua County/City of Gainesville/MTPO Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Vacant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVEN DUSH</td>
<td>City of Gainesville Department of Planning &amp; Development Services</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Dean Mimms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Onelia Lazzari*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Jason Simmons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBBIE LEISTNER [Chair]</td>
<td>City of Gainesville Department of Public Works</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Phil Mann</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Jacob Kain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATTHEW MULLER [Vice Chair]</td>
<td>City of Gainesville Regional Transit System</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Jesus Gomez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - David Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAUL ADJAN</td>
<td>Gainesville/Alachua County Regional Airport Authority</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Laura Aguiar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Allan Penksa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES GREEN</td>
<td>Florida Department of Transportation</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Karen Taulbee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Vacant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES SPEER</td>
<td>School Board of Alachua County</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - David Deas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINDA DIXON</td>
<td>University of Florida Facilities Planning &amp; Construction Division</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Carol Walker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RON FULLER</td>
<td>University of Florida Transportation &amp; Parking Services</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt - Scott Fox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEGEND KEY - P = Present A = Absent * = New Member**

* City of Gainesville Level of Service (LOS) Subcommittee Member

**Attendance Rule:**

1. Each voting member of the TAC may name one (1) or more alternates who may vote only in the absence of that member on a one vote per member basis.

2. Each member of the TAC is expected to demonstrate his or her interest in the TAC’s activities through attendance of the scheduled meetings, except for reasons of an unavoidable nature. In each instance of an unavoidable absence, the absent member should ensure that one of his or her alternates attends. No more than three (3) consecutive absences will be allowed by the member. The TAC shall deal with consistent absences and is empowered to recommend corrective action for MTPO consideration.
## ATTENDANCE RECORD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TERM EXPIRES</th>
<th>5/21/2014</th>
<th>7/23/2014</th>
<th>9/24/2014</th>
<th>Violation If Absent At Next Meeting 11/19/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E J Bolduc</td>
<td>14-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bolduc</td>
<td>15-Dec</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Brinkman</td>
<td>14-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelle Bullock</td>
<td>16-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajeeb Das</td>
<td>15-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VACANT</td>
<td>14-Dec</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luis Diaz</td>
<td>16-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Frentzen</td>
<td>15-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melinda Koken</td>
<td>15-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamal Latham</td>
<td>16-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandler Otis</td>
<td>15-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Samec</td>
<td>14-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Steiner</td>
<td>14-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewen Thomson</td>
<td>16-Dec</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Towne</td>
<td>16-Dec</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEGEND KEY** - P-Present; E-Excused Absence; A-Unexcused Absence

### ATTENDANCE RULE

Any appointee of the MTPO to the CAC shall be automatically removed from the committee upon filing with the Chair of the MTPO appropriate proof that such person has had three (3) or more consecutive excused or unexcused absences. Excused absences are here defined to be those absences which occur from regular or special meetings after notification by such person to the Chair prior to such absence explaining the reasons therefore. All other absences are here defined to be unexcused.

### ADDITIONAL NOTES:

1. On October 30, 1985, staff asked the CAC to clarify the procedures staff should use to record attendance at CAC meetings. The CAC instructed staff to use the following procedures:
   - A. all CAC meetings will require mandatory attendance by all members; and
   - B. attendance is recorded at all CAC meetings, even if a quorum is not present.

2. On April 28, 1999, the CAC decided to limit attendance by teleconferencing to medical emergencies only.

3. Members denoted in BOLD ITALICS are at risk for attendance rule violation if the next meeting is missed.