Meeting Packet
March 4, 2013, 3:00 p.m.

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Randy Wells, Chair

SUBJECT: Meeting Announcement

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area will meet on Monday, March 4, 2013 at 3:00 p.m. This meeting will be held in the Jack Durrance Auditorium, Alachua County Administration Building, Gainesville, Florida.

Attached are copies of the meeting agenda.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning, at 352.955.2200, extension 103.

Attachments
AGENDA
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION
FOR THE GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA

Jack Durrance Auditorium
Alachua County Administration Building
Gainesville, Florida

Monday, 3:00 p.m.
March 4, 2013

STAFF RECOMMENDATION
APPROVE BOTH AGENDAS

The MTPO needs to approve the meeting agenda and the consent agenda items.

II. State Road 226 Transportation System Management
Project- 60 Percent Plans

APPROVE PLANS

The Florida Department of Transportation is requesting approval of the 60 percent plans for this project.

III. Transportation Alternatives Applications

APPROVE JOINT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Florida Department of Transportation has requested that two applications be submitted by March 29, 2013.

IV. Draft Transportation Policy Manual

APPROVE JOINT RECOMMENDATION

The currently adopted "MTPO Urban Design Policy Manual" is out of date and needs to be updated.

V. Citizen Advisory Committee Vacant Position

APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The MTPO needs to decide if it wants to fill this vacant position at the June 3, 2013 using applications that are currently on file or publish display advertisements requesting additional applications.
VI. Next MTPO Meeting

NO ACTION REQUIRED

The next MTPO meeting is scheduled for June 3, 2013 at 5:00 p.m.

VII. Comments

A. MTPO Members*
B. Citizens Comments*
C. Chair’s Report*

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning, at 352.955.2200.

*No backup material included with the attached agenda material.
CONSENT AGENDA
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION
FOR THE GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA

Jack Durrance Auditorium
Alachua County Administration Building
Gainesville Florida

Monday, 3:00 p.m.
March 4, 2013

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Page 7
CA. 1 Minutes- February 4, 2013
APPROVE MINUTES

This set of MTPO minutes is ready for review.

Page 17
CA. 2 Transportation Telephone Survey
NO ACTION REQUIRED

At the February 4, 2013 meeting, the MTPO asked staff to include a copy of survey results for this transportation telephone survey in the next meeting packet.

Page 27
CA. 3 Updated Forms
APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Each year, these forms need to be executed and submitted to the Florida Department of Transportation.

Page 37
CA. 4 Transportation Disadvantaged Program- Status Report
NO ACTION REQUIRED

The MTPO has asked for regular status reports concerning this program.
CALL TO ORDER

Commissioner Susan Baird chaired the meeting because Chair Randy Wells was absent. She called the meeting to order at 3:05 p.m.

I. APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA AND CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Marlie Sanderson, Director of Transportation Planning, recommended approval of the consent agenda and meeting agenda.

Several members wanted to know if there were any citizens present who wanted to discuss the Archer Braid Trail. Chair Baird asked if there were any citizens interested in providing comments on the Archer Braid Trail. No one came forward to indicate that they wanted to speak.

MOTION: Commissioner Pinkoson moved to approve the Consent Agenda and Meeting Agenda. Commissioner Hawkins seconded; motion passed unanimously.

II. DR. KERMIT SIGMON CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AWARD

Mr. Sanderson stated that University of Florida Director of Transportation and Parking Services Scott Fox was selected to receive the 2010 Dr. Kermit Sigmon Citizen Participation Award. He discussed Mr. Fox’s service to the MTPO and the community. He presented him the award.

Mr. Fox discussed his service and thanked the MTPO.
Chair Baird thanked Mr. Fox for his service to the community.

III. ALACHUA COUNTY INTERSTATE 75 INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (ITS) PROJECT UPDATE

Mr. Sanderson stated that Florida Department of Transportation staff has an opportunity to update the MTPO on the status of ITS on I-75.

Mr. Peter Vega, Florida Department of Transportation District 2 Intelligent Transportation System Engineer, discussed the status of the Interstate 75 Intelligent Transportation System Project and answered questions.

IV. PLANNING AREA BOUNDARY, VOTING MEMBERS AND VOTING PROCEDURES

Mr. Sanderson stated that the MTPO, at its December meeting, authorized staff to prepare a report over the next six months concerning the advantages and disadvantages of expanding the metropolitan planning area boundary to include all of Alachua County, including corresponding changes that would be needed to existing membership and voting procedures based on the 2010 census. He discussed draft alternative planning area boundary maps, voting membership and voting procedures and answered questions. He noted that staff has visited some outlying municipalities and met twice with the Alachua County League of Cities.

Mr. James Bennett, Florida Department of Transportation District 2 Urban Transportation Development Engineer, discussed the minimum metropolitan planning area boundary requirements and funding issues.

City of Hawthorne Mayor Mathew Surrency discussed the planning area boundary, membership and voting procedures.

MOTION: Commissioner Hutchinson moved to approve the Option 2 Map with the addition of voting membership to the City of Alachua and a representative from the Alachua County League of Cities and simple majority voting. Commissioner Pinkoson seconded.

After discussion, Commissioner Bottcher moved to split the motion.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION:

Commissioner Pinkoson moved to keep Option 1. Mayor Lowe seconded.

MODIFIED SUBSTITUTE MOTION:

Commissioner Pinkoson moved to keep the Option 1 Map and address all federal requirements. Mayor Lowe seconded.

SECOND SUBSTITUTE MOTION

Commissioner Hinson-Rawls moved to approve the Option 2 Map and keep the existing voting membership and voting procedures. Commissioner Hutchinson seconded. After discussion, Commissioner Byerly move to split the motion.
SPLIT SECOND SUBSTITUTE MOTION- PART ONE

Commissioner Hinson-Rawls moved to approve the Option 2 Map. Commissioner Hutchinson seconded; motion passed 8 to 2 with Commissioner Pinkoson and Chair Baird in dissent.

SPLIT SECOND SUBSTITUTE MOTION- PART TWO

Commissioner Hinson-Rawls moved to keep the existing voting membership and voting procedures. Commissioner Hutchinson seconded; motion failed for lack of a City Commission majority.

MOTION: Commissioner Poe moved for staff to come back at the June meeting with additional voting configurations and transition plans. Commissioner Bottcher seconded.

A member of the MTPO stated that the outlying municipalities do not want the MTPO to expand its metropolitan planning area boundary to include all of Alachua County, and therefore, the MTPO should just keep its current voting membership and voting procedures along with earlier decision to approve the Option 2 map.

MODIFIED MOTION:

Commissioner Poe moved for staff to come back at the June meeting with voting configurations that exclude the outlying municipalities and transition plans. Commissioner Bottcher seconded; motion failed because a majority of the County Commission voted against the motion.

Chair Baird stated that, since the MTPO approved the Option 2 metropolitan planning area map earlier in the meeting, the final outcome is that the MTPO will be keeping its existing MTPO voting membership and voting procedures along with the metropolitan planning area boundary in Option 2.

V. HULL ROAD EXTENSION- RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH

Mr. Gerry Dedenbach, Causseaux, Hewett & Wapole Director of Planning & GIS Services, gave a presentation concerning the Village Point project and answered questions.

MOTION: Commissioner Pinkoson moved to reduce the Hull Road Extension right-of-way width from 100 feet to 90 feet within the Village Point Project. Mayor Lowe Seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VI. LISTENING TOUR

Mr. Sanderson discussed the status of the “Listening Tour” and answered questions.

Mr. Mark Sexton, Alachua County Communications Director, discussed the proposed Alachua County Summit that will be held on March 27, 2013 and April 10, 2013.
Chair Baird noted that the March 27th date coincided with the Alachua County Schools spring break and would not be a good date for the Alachua County Summit.

A member asked staff to contact the City of Gainesville staff to place the “Listening Tour” dates on the calendars for the Gainesville City Commission and to make sure that these meetings are properly noticed so that they are in the “sunshine.”

Chair Baird discussed the possibility of using Gainesville Regional Utilities billing as a means to survey the community about transportation issues.

A member requested that a copy of the survey from the Year 2025 Livable Community reinvestment Plan be provided to MTPO members in the next meeting packet.

A member noted that “Open Alachua” is also conducting a transportation survey and that he would look into getting the data when it is available. He also added that he would raise the GRU survey topic at the next City Commission meeting.

A member recommended that discussion items for the “Listening Tour” could be determined later after the Alachua County Summit.

**MOTION:** Commissioner Hawkins moved to incorporate the “Listening Tour” into the “Joint Alachua County Joint Meetings with Municipalities” schedule and use the August 12, 2013 date for the meeting in Gainesville (see Exhibit 2). Commissioner Byerly seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VII. MULTIMODAL OVERPASS AT SW 34TH STREET AND HULL ROAD

Mr. Sanderson discussed the proposed multimodal overpass at SW 34th Street and Hull Road. He stated that it was his understanding that the Florida Department of Transportation, the City of Gainesville and the University of Florida have all stated that they are not willing to accept maintenance responsibility for this overpass. He also stated that the University of Florida is concerned about the amount of right-of-way that will be needed for such an overpass.

**MOTION:** Commissioner Hawkins moved to consider including transit in the proposed SW 34th Street and Hull Road overpass during the design phase of this project, or in the long range transportation plan update, whichever comes first. Commissioner Hutchinson seconded; motion passed 7 to 1, with Chair Baird in dissent.

VII. NEXT MEETING

Mr. Sanderson announced that the next meeting is scheduled for March 4th at 3:00 p.m.

VIII. COMMENTS

A. MEMBERS

There were no member comments.
B. CITIZENS

Mr. Richard Miles, Cade Museum Board Vice President, discussed the status of the museum construction and transportation access and answered questions.

C. CHAIR’S REPORT

There was no Chair’s Report.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Baird adjourned the meeting at 5:38 p.m.

Date ________________

Lauren Poe, Secretary/Treasurer
**EXHIBIT A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interested Citizens</th>
<th>Alachua County</th>
<th>City of Gainesville</th>
<th>Florida Department of Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ned Baier</td>
<td>Dave Cerlanek</td>
<td>Russ Blackburn</td>
<td>Karen Taulbee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerry Dedenbach</td>
<td>Chris Dawson</td>
<td>Paul Folkers</td>
<td>Peter Vega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Fox</td>
<td>Dave Schwartz</td>
<td>Debbie Leistner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beth Lemke</td>
<td>Mark Sexton</td>
<td>Teresa Scott</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Miles</td>
<td>Alan Yeatter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiley Page</td>
<td>Chris Ziegler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathew Surrency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* By telephone

# Spoke and provided written comments
Illustration I
Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary

EXHIBIT 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alachua</td>
<td>May 20, 2013</td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Alachua City Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15100 NW 142 Terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer</td>
<td>April 8, 2013</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Archer City Hall Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16870 SW 134 Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gainesville</td>
<td>August 12, 2013</td>
<td>3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Jack Durrance, Room 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne</td>
<td>May 21, 2013</td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Hawthorne City Hall Auditorium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6700 S.E. 221 Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Springs</td>
<td>May 9, 2013</td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>High Springs City Hall, 110 NW 1st Ave., 2nd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micanopy</td>
<td>Waiting to hear back – will know after Micanopy Feb 12th Board Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newberry</td>
<td>April 22, 2013</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Newberry City Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25440 W Newberry Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldo</td>
<td>April 18, 2013</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Waldo Yerkes Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14245 Cole Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONSENT AGENDA
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION
FOR THE GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA

Jack Durrance Auditorium
Alachua County Administration Building
Gainesville, Florida
Monday, 3:00 p.m.
February 4, 2013

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Page #7 CA. 1 MTPO Minutes- December 3, 2012
APPROVE MINUTES

This set of MTPO minutes is ready for review

Page #19 CA. 2 Archer Braid Trail- 60 Percent Plans
APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Alachua County Public Works Department will present 60 percent plans for this project.

Page #21 CA. 3 Fiscal Year 2011-12 Audit
APPROVE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Audit Review Committee recommends acceptance of the audit report and approval of the invoice for payment.

Page #23 CA. 4 Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan-
Request for Qualifications
APPROVE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

The Request for Qualifications discusses the process that will be used to select the consultant to assist in preparing the Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan.

Page #25 CA. 5 Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan-
Scope of Services
APPROVE JOINT RECOMMENDATION

The Scope of Services discusses specific tasks the consultant is responsible for with respect to the Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan.
CA. 6  Continuity of Operations Plan APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

This Plan is reviewed each year and revisions are made as needed.

CA. 7  Transportation Disadvantaged Program—Coordinating Board Appointment APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Florida Department of Transportation is recommending that Ms. Janell Damato be appointed as the Department’s voting representative.

CA. 8  Transportation Disadvantaged Program—Coordinating Board Membership Certification APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Each year, this certification is approved certifying that the Board represents a cross section of the community.

CA. 9  Transportation Disadvantaged Program—Status Report NO ACTION REQUIRED

The MTPO has asked for regular status reports concerning this program.

CA. 10 MPOAC Weekend Institute NO ACTION REQUIRED

Please contact staff if you are interested in attending the MPOAC Weekend Institute.
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Transportation Telephone Survey

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

No action required. This material is for information only.

BACKGROUND

At the February 4, 2013 meeting, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area asked staff to include a copy of the transportation telephone survey results that was conducted as part of the Year 2025 long range transportation plan update.
The workshops and additional community meetings also helped establish a statement for the vision of the transportation system of the future as follows:

"Land use developed with intensity and density that creates more balance in east-west Gainesville area growth, connects a limited number of highly developed mixed use centers, and is served by a highly-efficient multimodal transportation system, which allows for mode choice. The transportation system is safely used by people of all ages and income classes, supported by a dedicated transportation funding source and provides for:

- walkable University and town centers;
- improved and affordable transit service;
- improved bikeway/trail system; and,
- better road connectivity."

### 1.3 Telephone Survey

A telephone survey was conducted in the Gainesville Urbanized Area in the spring of 2005 to address a series of transportation issues. Highlights of the survey indicate that the respondents (more than 450 completed interviews) are most interested in investing in maintaining existing facilities (Figure 1-2). They prefer that more than half that investment be in roads, with about one quarter spent on transit and the remaining 24 percent divided between sidewalks for pedestrians and paths for bicyclists (Figure 1-3). However, the respondents are not interested in paying additional taxes for funding transportation improvements (Figure 1-4). This latter position echoes the results of the November 2004 countywide referendum on transportation funding.

### 1.4 Evaluation Process

The MTPO YEAR 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Update has developed a list of Year 2025 transit, non-motorized and highway system projects to be tested. Nine evaluation factors have been developed to evaluate proposals for these elements of the plan (Table 1-3). The data elements to be generated by which transportation system performance can be measured by the nine factors are also included in Table 1-3. A brief explanation of each evaluation factor is presented here.

**Provide Multiple Choices in Ways to Travel** – The different transportation elements tested to form the Year 2025 LRTP will allow shifts among modes — transit/non-motorized/roadway. The change in use will be measured to evaluate the alternatives. The weight of this factor will influence the emphasis in the LRTP of shifting highway users to other modes.

**Prevent Unequal Impacts to Low-Income and Minority Communities** – Federal regulations exist to minimize the disproportionate effect on the following population groups: African-Americans, Asian-Americans, American Indians, Alaskan Natives and Hispanics. Additionally, low-income households of all population groups are covered. By examining the level of transit and highway services and the extent to which public and/or private properties are used for these transportation elements in areas where these populations exist, a measure of the impact on them can be established.
Figure 1-2
Gainesville Urbanized Area
Year 2025 Long-Range Transportation Plan Update
Telephone Survey Responses
Importance of Spending for Services

SOURCE: The Corrado Group, Inc.

Figure 1-3
Gainesville Urbanized Area
Year 2025 Long-Range Transportation Plan Update
Telephone Survey Responses
Allocation of Funding
(Divide $100 among systems)

SOURCE: The Corrado Group, Inc.
Figure 1-4
Gainesville Urbanized Area
Year 2025 Long-Range Transportation Plan Update
Telephone Survey Responses
Percentage Answering No

Funding source Percentage

93.8%
91.0%
89.7%
86.8%
83.5%
63.8%
77.0%
66.8%
60.0%
40.0%
30.0%
20.0%
10.0%
0.0%

Another source
Auto Tags
Property Tax
Local Sales Tax
Gasoline Prices

SOURCE: The Corradino Group, Inc
Telephone Survey Responses

Question 4 - Importance of spending for services

Category

- Build bike paths/sidewalks
- Expand bus during week
- Expand bus on weekends
- Increase frequency of bus
- Transit fare-free
- Add Express bus
- Extend transit
- More transit for non-drivers
- Upgrade intersections
- Coordinate signals
- Landscape corridors
- Restrict driveway openings
- Add lanes to roads
- Fill in gaps on roads
- New Roads
- Maintain Existing Fac.
- Build Trans. Fac.

Importance out of 5

Average
Telephone Survey Responses

Divide $100 among systems

- Bike Paths: $10.95
- Sidewalks: $13.18
- Buses: $24.31
- Roads: $51.56
Telephone Survey Responses

Question 3 Percentage answering No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Another source</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Tags</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Sales Tax</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline Prices</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Updated Forms

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Chair and the Chief Staff Official to sign the attached forms.

BACKGROUND

Each year, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area must sign and submit a number of required forms. Attached as Exhibit 1 are the forms that need to be executed and submitted this year.
Debarment and Suspension Certification

As required by the United States Department of Transportation regulation on Government wide Debarment and Suspension at 49 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 29.510.

(1) The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area hereby certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any federal department or agency;

(b) Have not, within a three-year period preceding this proposal, been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (federal, state or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction, violation of federal or state antitrust statutes; or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (federal, state or local) with commission of any of the offenses listed in paragraph (b) of this certification; and

(d) Have not, within a three-year period preceding this certification, had one or more public transactions (federal, state or local) terminated for cause or default.

(2) The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area also hereby certifies that if, later, it becomes aware of any information contradicting the statements of paragraphs (a) through (d) above, it will promptly provide that information to the United States Department of Transportation.

Randy Wells, Chair
Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

Name of Metropolitan Planning Organization

Date
Lobbying Certification for Grants, Loans and Cooperative Agreements

In accordance with Section 1352 of Title 31, United States Code, it is the policy of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area that:

(1) No Federal or state appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid by or on behalf of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal or state agency, or a member of Congress or the state legislature in connection with the awarding of any Federal or state contract, the making of any Federal or state grant, the making of any Federal or state loan, extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal or state contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants and contracts and subcontracts under grants, subgrants, loans, and cooperative agreement), which exceeds $100,000, and that all such subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

(4) This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, Title 31, United States Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each failure.

Randy Wells, Chair

Name of Metropolitan Planning Organization

Date

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area
Lobbying Certification for Grants, Loans and Cooperative Agreements

In accordance with Section 1352 of Title 31, United States Code, it is the policy of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area that:

(1) No Federal or state appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid by or on behalf of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal or state agency, or a member of Congress or the state legislature in connection with the awarding of any Federal or state contract, the making of any Federal or state grant, the making of any Federal or state loan, extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal or state contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants and contracts and subcontracts under grants, subgrants, loans, and cooperative agreement), which exceeds $100,000, and that all such subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

(4) This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, Title 31, United States Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each failure.

Randy Wells, Chair
Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

Name of Metropolitan Planning Organization

Date
Title VI / Nondiscrimination Policy Statement

It is the policy of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area that no person shall on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, familial status, religious status, marital status, sexual orientation, or gender identity, as provided by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights restoration Act of 1987 and the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination or retaliation under any program or activity.

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

Randy Wells, Chair
Name of Metropolitan Planning Organization

Date
Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Utilization

It is the policy of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area that disadvantaged businesses, as defined by 49 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 26, shall have an opportunity to participate in the performance of Metropolitan Planning Organization contracts in a nondiscriminatory environment. The objectives of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program are to ensure non-discrimination in the award and administration of contracts, ensure firms fully meet eligibility standards, help remove barriers to participation, create a level playing field, assist in development of a firm so it can compete successfully outside of the program, provide flexibility, and ensure narrow tailoring of the program.

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, and its consultants shall take all necessary and reasonable steps to ensure that disadvantaged businesses have an opportunity to compete for and perform the contract work of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area in a non-discriminatory environment.

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area shall require its consultants to not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, familial status, religious status, marital status, sexual orientation, or gender identity in the award and performance of its contracts. This policy covers in part the applicable federal regulations and the applicable statutory references contained therein for the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program Plan, Chapters 337 and 339, Florida Statutes, and Rule Chapter 14-78, Florida Administrative Code.

Randy Wells, Chair
Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

Name of Metropolitan Planning Organization  Date
Title VII Nondiscrimination Policy Statement

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area assures the Florida Department of Transportation that no person shall on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, familial status, religious status, marital status, sexual orientation, or gender identity, as provided by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 and the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992 be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination or retaliation under any Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area program or activity.

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area further agrees to the following responsibilities with respect to its programs and activities:

1. Designate a Title VI Liaison that has a responsible position within the organization and access to the Recipient's Chief Executive Officer;
2. Issue a policy statement signed by the Chief Executive Officer, which expresses its commitment to the nondiscrimination provisions of Title VI. The policy statement shall be circulated throughout the Recipient's organization and to the general public. Such information shall be published where appropriate in languages other than English;
3. Insert the clauses of Appendix A of this agreement in every contract subject to the Acts and the Regulations;
4. Develop a complaint process and attempt to resolve complaints of discrimination against sub-recipients. Complaints against the Recipient shall immediately be forwarded to the Florida Department of Transportation District Title VI Coordinator;
5. Participate in training offered on Title VI and other nondiscrimination requirements;
6. If reviewed by Florida Department of Transportation or United States Department of Transportation, take affirmative action to correct any deficiencies found within a reasonable time period, not to exceed ninety (90) calendar days; and
7. Have a process to collect racial and ethnic data on persons impacted by Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area programs.

THIS ASSURANCE is given in consideration of and for the purpose of obtaining any and all federal funds, grants, loans, contracts, properties, discounts or other federal financial assistance under all programs and activities and is binding. The person whose signature appears below is authorized to sign this assurance on behalf of the Recipient.

Dated ____________

by ________________________

Scott R. Koons, AICP, Executive Director
Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area
Appendix A

During the performance of this contract, the contractor, for itself, its assignees and successors in interest (hereinafter referred to as the "Contractor") agrees as follows:

(1) **Compliance with Regulations:** The Contractor shall comply with the Regulations relative to nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted programs of the United States Department of Transportation Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 21, as they may be amended from time to time, (hereinafter referred to as the "Regulations"), which are herein incorporated by reference and made a part of this Agreement.

(2) **Nondiscrimination:** The Contractor, with regard to the work performed during the contract, shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, familial status, religious status, marital status, sexual orientation, or gender identity, as provided by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 and the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992 in the selection and retention of subcontractors, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment. The Contractor shall not participate either directly or indirectly in the discrimination prohibited by section 21.5 of the Regulations, including employment practices when the contract covers a program set forth in Appendix B of the Regulations.

(3) **Solicitations for Subcontractors including Procurements of Materials and Equipment:** In all solicitations made by the Contractor, either by competitive bidding or negotiation for work to be performed under a subcontract, including procurements of materials or leases of equipment; each potential subcontractor or supplier shall be notified by the Contractor of the Contractor's obligations under this contract and the Regulations relative to nondiscrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, familial status, religious status, marital status, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

(4) **Information and Reports:** The Contractor shall provide all information and reports required by the Regulations or directives issued pursuant thereto, and shall permit access to its books, records, accounts, other sources of information, and its facilities as may be determined by the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration to be pertinent to ascertain compliance with such Regulations, orders and instructions. Where any information required of a Contractor is in the exclusive possession of another who fails or refuses to furnish this information the Contractor shall so certify to the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration as appropriate, and shall set forth what efforts it has made to obtain the information.

(5) **Sanctions for Noncompliance:** In the event of the Contractor's noncompliance with the nondiscrimination provisions of this contract, the Florida Department of Transportation shall impose such contract sanctions as it or the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration may determine to be appropriate, including but not limited to:

a. withholding payments to the Contractor under the contract until the Contractor complies, and/or

b. cancellation, termination or suspension of the contract, in whole or in part.
(6) **Incorporation of Provisions:** The Contractor shall include the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (6) in every subcontract, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment, unless exempt by the Regulations, or directives issued pursuant thereto. The Contractor shall take such action with respect to any subcontract or procurement as the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration may direct as a means of enforcing such provisions including sanctions for noncompliance. In the event a Contractor becomes involved in, or is threatened with, litigation with a sub-contractor or supplier as a result of such direction, the Contractor may request the Florida Department of Transportation to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the Florida Department of Transportation, and, in addition, the Contractor may request the United States to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the United States.
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Transportation Disadvantaged Program – Status Report

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

No action required. This agenda item is for information only.

BACKGROUND

Attached are the following reports:

1. Alachua County Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan Standards Report shows that:
   - MV met the on-time performance standard;
   - MV met the complaint standard;
   - MV met the call hold time standard;
   - MV met the accident standard; and
   - MV met the roadcall standard.


Attachments
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TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICE PLAN (TDSP) STANDARDS
ALACHUA COUNTY, SEPTEMBER 2012

On-Time Performance Standard
90%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Pick-Up</th>
<th>Drop Off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/03/2012</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/12/2012</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/20/2012</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/25/2012</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICE PLAN (TDSP) STANDARDS ALACHUA COUNTY, OCTOBER 2012

On-Time Performance Standard 90%

- Standard
- Pick-Up
- Drop Off

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Pick-Up</th>
<th>Drop Off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/01/2012</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/09/2012</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/17/2012</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/25/2012</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICE PLAN (TDSP) STANDARDS

ALACHUA COUNTY, NOVEMBER 2012 - JANUARY 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>STANDARD</th>
<th>COMPLAINTS/1,000 TRIPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complaints/1,000 Trips
## MV TRANSPORTATION
### SUMMARY OF SERVICE ISSUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF COMPLAINT</th>
<th>7/12</th>
<th>8/12</th>
<th>9/12</th>
<th>10/12</th>
<th>11/12</th>
<th>12/12</th>
<th>1/13</th>
<th>2/13</th>
<th>3/13</th>
<th>4/13</th>
<th>5/13</th>
<th>6/13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Late Drop-Off</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pick-Up before Window Opens</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Return Pick-Up</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride Time Exceeded Standards</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can't Get Through by Telephone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Hold for Excessive Periods of Time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone System Problems</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday Reservations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip Denial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Passenger Assistance Provided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Driver ID</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatcher Behavior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservationist Behavior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsafe Driving</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Show by Driver</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservations/Scheduling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Conditioning not Working</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheelchair/Scooter Securement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Behavior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Show by Passenger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip Cancelled, Ride Came Anyway</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheelchair Lift Not Working Properly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charged Wrong Passenger Fare</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Condition</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV Staff Availability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropped Off at Wrong Location</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper Passenger Assistance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Process TO Eligibility Application</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRIPS</strong></td>
<td>8,952</td>
<td>10,210</td>
<td>9,162</td>
<td>10,410</td>
<td>8,512</td>
<td>8,006</td>
<td>9,445</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPLAINTS/1,000 TRIPS</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Individuals Submitting Complaints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Grandparents</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCFRPC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMENDATIONS</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICE PLAN (TDSP) STANDARDS
ALACHUA COUNTY, NOVEMBER 2012 - JANUARY 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>STANDARD</th>
<th>CALL HOLD TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CALL HOLD TIME

- Standard
- Call Hold Time

\Ipvlo09\olahua\aldf 123
TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICE PLAN (TDSP) STANDARDS

ALACHUA COUNTY NOVEMBER 2012 - JANUARY 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>STANDARD</th>
<th>ACCIDENTS/100,000 MILES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACCIDENTS/100,000 MILES

- Standard
- Accidents/100,000 miles
TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICE PLAN (TDSP) STANDARDS
ALACHUA COUNTY, NOVEMBER 2012 - JANUARY 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>STANDARD</th>
<th>ROADCALLS/100,000 MILES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ROADCALLS/100,000 MILES

![Roadcalls Chart](chart.png)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2012-2013 OPERATING DATA</th>
<th>Jul-12</th>
<th>Aug-12</th>
<th>Sep-12</th>
<th>Oct-12</th>
<th>Nov-12</th>
<th>Dec-12</th>
<th>Jan-13</th>
<th>Feb-13</th>
<th>Mar-13</th>
<th>Apr-13</th>
<th>May-13</th>
<th>Jun-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total No Trips Invoiced</strong></td>
<td>8,573</td>
<td>10,210</td>
<td>9,162</td>
<td>10,410</td>
<td>8,612</td>
<td>8,006</td>
<td>9,445</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicaid Alachua</strong></td>
<td>3,233</td>
<td>3,630</td>
<td>3,027</td>
<td>3,257</td>
<td>2,831</td>
<td>2,901</td>
<td>3,154</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TD Trust Fund Alachua</strong></td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>1,269</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,206</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>1,036</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADA</strong></td>
<td>3,657</td>
<td>4,081</td>
<td>3,851</td>
<td>4,350</td>
<td>3,751</td>
<td>3,319</td>
<td>3,646</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FGPA</strong></td>
<td>237</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CICO</strong></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County of Alachua</strong></td>
<td>137</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elder Care</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus Passes Total MED and TD</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus Passes TD</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus Passes Medicaid</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purchased Transportation</strong></td>
<td>Medicaid Alachua</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
<td>$102,394.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TD Trust Fund Alachua</strong></td>
<td>$39,685.14</td>
<td>$45,675.81</td>
<td>$41,372.93</td>
<td>$42,347.43</td>
<td>$40,973.92</td>
<td>$37,963.10</td>
<td>$50,283.23</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADA</strong></td>
<td>$94,818.51</td>
<td>$105,686.62</td>
<td>$103,023.04</td>
<td>$115,306.57</td>
<td>$100,547.17</td>
<td>$88,702.92</td>
<td>$102,708.45</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FGPA</strong></td>
<td>$3,999.54</td>
<td>$6,710.62</td>
<td>$3,381.66</td>
<td>$3,069.69</td>
<td>$1,602.74</td>
<td>$1,466.72</td>
<td>$2,780.09</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CICO</strong></td>
<td>$2,403.06</td>
<td>$1,773.75</td>
<td>$1,333.12</td>
<td>$3,068.74</td>
<td>$4,405.10</td>
<td>$4,219.09</td>
<td>$4,041.45</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County of Alachua</strong></td>
<td>$94,841.00</td>
<td>$15,782.25</td>
<td>$17,093.97</td>
<td>$15,081.17</td>
<td>$12,262.50</td>
<td>$10,118.40</td>
<td>$16,665.08</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elder Care</strong></td>
<td>$1,492.75</td>
<td>$2,174.70</td>
<td>$2,045.90</td>
<td>$3,624.50</td>
<td>$1,508.45</td>
<td>$1,211.10</td>
<td>$1,746.32</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus Passes Total MED and TD</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Dollars Invoiced</strong></td>
<td>$251,748.71</td>
<td>$280,827.96</td>
<td>$281,170.85</td>
<td>$277,790.39</td>
<td>$263,846.04</td>
<td>$246,652.28</td>
<td>$293,154.93</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$748,013.00</td>
<td>$242,239.00</td>
<td>$249,965.00</td>
<td>$258,049.00</td>
<td>$221,126.00</td>
<td>$232,894.00</td>
<td>$264,643.60</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Cost Per Trip</strong></td>
<td>$29.05</td>
<td>$25.68</td>
<td>$27.28</td>
<td>$24.88</td>
<td>$25.98</td>
<td>$29.09</td>
<td>$25.90</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Vehicle Miles</strong></td>
<td>118,064</td>
<td>121,827</td>
<td>107,761</td>
<td>123,726</td>
<td>105,399</td>
<td>95,126</td>
<td>173,977</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Vehicle Hours</strong></td>
<td>6,437</td>
<td>7,177</td>
<td>6,513</td>
<td>7,392</td>
<td>6,496</td>
<td>6,018</td>
<td>6,433</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Avg Miles per Trip</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Avg Cost Per Mile</strong></td>
<td>$3.10</td>
<td>$3.16</td>
<td>$3.33</td>
<td>$3.09</td>
<td>$3.18</td>
<td>$3.41</td>
<td>$3.15</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Avg Cost Per Hour</strong></td>
<td>$38.69</td>
<td>$38.34</td>
<td>$38.33</td>
<td>$35.04</td>
<td>$34.24</td>
<td>$40.03</td>
<td>$36.83</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of No Shows</strong></td>
<td>615</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number Trips Denied</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Accidents</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No RoadCalls</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telephone Calls Rec'd</strong></td>
<td>9,454</td>
<td>10,937</td>
<td>9,274</td>
<td>10,808</td>
<td>8,408</td>
<td>8,832</td>
<td>12,471</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Avg. Telephone On-Hold Time</strong></td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: State Road 226 Transportation System Management Project- 60 Percent Plans

JOINT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board, Citizens Advisory Committee and staff recommend approval of the State Road 226 (SE 16th Avenue) 60 percent plans with a request to try and improve the line-of-sight for northbound traffic on Main Street using the slip lane to go eastbound on SE 16th Avenue and make corresponding adjustments to the slipplane pedestrian crossing to maximize pedestrian safety. Please note that the Technical Advisory Committee did not have a quorum at its February 20, 2013 meeting.

BACKGROUND

At its October 1, 2012 meeting, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area reviewed the scoping plans for State Road 226 (SE 16th Avenue). During this discussion, the following motion was approved:

“approve the “Scoping Plans” for the State Road 226 (SE 16th Avenue) Project, with one review comment to request that the Florida Department of Transportation take another look at, and consider removing, the proposed slip lane triangle configuration in the southeast corner of the South Main Street and Southeast 16th Avenue intersection.”

Recommendation to Eliminate the Sliplane

The Florida Department of Transportation has submitted 60 percent plans for review (see Exhibit 1). The attached plans include the proposed slip lane in the southeast corner of the South Main Street and Southeast 16th Avenue intersection. The Florida Department of Transportation does not recommend eliminating the slip lane for the following reasons (see email response in Exhibit 2):

“The purposes of this slip lane will be to shorten the walking distances for pedestrians in the north to south directions, and in the east and west directions of the intersection; allows the pedestrians crossing the slip lane to get onto the island only to look to their left to cross the lane; and it allows the right-turning vehicles to turn by being controlled with a yield condition instead of the traffic signal. These aforementioned items allow for a more efficient movement for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles.
I recommend that the Yield sign remain for the slip lane. The vehicles in the slip lane should be yielding which would require them to look in the viewing area for other vehicles/bicycles coming eastbound before they enter the flow of traffic. This should not pose a problem for traffic exiting the business to the southeast."

Table 1 shows the currently status of this project in the Transportation Improvement Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year (In Dollars)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$235,000</td>
<td>$2,140,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment

Attached is an article entitled “Strategies in the Pedestrian Plan: Remove all channelized right turns in 3 years.” Commissioner Thomas Hawkins requested that we include this material in the meeting packet.
Florida Department of Transportation
2198 Edison Avenue - MS 2812
Jacksonville, FL 32204

TO: Marlie Sanderson, AICP
FROM: Karen Taulbee, AICP
DATE: February 7, 2013
Subject: Phase II - Plan review SR 226/SW 16th Ave
Project # 423608-2

In October, 2012, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) staff presented the PH 1-Scope/30% Plan Review for the Transportation System Management (TSM) project on SR 226/16th Ave., Main St. to Williston Rd.

During discussion, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) had several questions and sent a plan review comment to FDOT on October 12, 2012. The MTPO plan review comment asked FDOT to take another look at, and consider removing, the proposed slip lane triangle configuration in the southeast corner of the South Main Street and Southeast 16th Avenue intersection.

FDOT design project management was provided the MTPO request. The subsequent Phase II -60%project plan has been submitted for review.

FDOT staff would like to request placement on the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and Citizen's Advisory Committee (CAC) agenda for February 20, 2013 as well as the MTPO agenda March 4th, to review and comment on the Phase II submittal.

The preliminary Roadway Plan set and the preliminary Signing/Pavement Marking Plan set are enclosed.

Please let me know if you need any additional information for the mail out. FDOT staff will attend both the committee meetings and the MTPO for this item.

Thank you
STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CONTRACT PLANS
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PHASE II SUBMITTAL
JANUARY 14, 2013

BEGIN PROJECT
SURVEY SR 226 STA. 148+21.33
MP 1.644

END PROJECT
SURVEY SIDESTREET STA. 00+64.78
M.P. 0.012

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

LENGTH OF PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Linear Feet</th>
<th>Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROADWAY</td>
<td>2972.73</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROLL-OFF</td>
<td>M/A</td>
<td>M/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET LENGTH OF PROJECT</td>
<td>2972.73</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXCEPTIONS</td>
<td>M/A</td>
<td>M/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROSS LENGTH OF PROJECT</td>
<td>2972.73</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FDOT PROJECT MANAGER: MARY L. WILLIAMS, P.E.
PRELIMINARY

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
ROADWAY PLAN

SR 331 (WILLISTON ROAD)

ROAD NO. COUNTY FINANCIAL PROJECT NO.
SR 331 ALACHUA 413000-2-02-01

WIDENING

REMOVE EXIST. PAVEMENT

REMOVE EXIST. PAVEMENT AND WIDEN

CONTR. 6' WIDE CONC. SIDEWALK
WETLAND LIMITS

LIMITS OF MILLING AND RESURFACING

MATCH EXIST. CONC. SIDEWALK AND CURB AND GUTTER

END MILLING AND RESURFACING

SR 331 (WILLISTON ROAD)

CONTR. TYPE F CURB AND GUTTER

CONTR. TYPE F CURB AND GUTTER

CONTR. 6' WIDE CONC. SIDEWALK

MATCH EXIST. CONC. SIDEWALK AND CURB AND GUTTER

END MILLING AND RESURFACING

LIMITS OF CONTR. SR 331

SURVEY SR 331 (WILLISTON ROAD)

WIDENING

REMOVE EXIST. PAVEMENT

REMOVE EXIST. PAVEMENT AND WIDEN

CONTR. 6' WIDE CONC. SIDEWALK
WETLAND LIMITS
In September, 2012, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) committees reviewed the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) 30% design for SR 226/SE 16th Avenue. The project design consists of the Transportation System Management (TSM) alternative for the roadway and intersection, as approved by the MTPO Dec. 13, 2010.

The TAC, CAC and B/PAB committees approved the initial 30% design for this project. The MTPO, at their October 1, 2012, meeting reviewed and approved the 30% design plan and requested the FDOT take another look at, and consider removing, the proposed slip lane triangle configuration in the southeast corner of South Main Street and SE 16th Avenue intersection.

FDOT design project manager and our District Safety Engineer have both reviewed the project request, and offer the follow up recommendation below.

Please provide a copy of this email to the MTPO Committees for the February 20, 2013, project review. Thank you,

Kara S. Taulbee, AICP
Transportation Specialist
Jacksonville Urban Office
904-360-5652
karen.taulbee@dot.state.fl.us

-----Original Message-----
From: Cooper, Rodney
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 3:57 PM
To: Williams, Amy
Cc: Graham, Jennifer; Reichert, Joshua
Subject: RE: Article on topic related to recent MTPO meeting

Amy,
I am responding to the attached Review Questions, dated February 2013, for project FIN 423608-2-52-01. I understand that the location is at the SR 226 and SR 329 intersection in Gainesville. In regards to the use of the NB right-turn slip lane in the SE corner I offer the following:

- I do not recommend removing the slip lane.
- The purposes of this slip lane will to be to shorten the walking distances for pedestrians in the north to south directions, and in the east and west directions of the intersection; allows the pedestrians crossing the slip lane to get onto the island only to look to their left to cross the lane; and it allows the right-turning vehicles to turn by being controlled with a yield condition instead of the traffic signal. These aforementioned items allow for a more efficient movement for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles.
- I recommend that the Yield sign remain for the slip lane. The vehicles in the slip lane should be yielding which would require them to look in the viewing area for other vehicles/bicycles coming eastbound before they enter the flow of traffic. This should not pose a problem for traffic exiting the business to the southeast.
I hope that I have addressed the concerns adequately. Please contact me if you have any other questions.

Thanks,

Rodney H. Cooper, P.E.
District Safety Engineer
Office: (904) 360-5629    Fax: (904) 360-5639

rodney.cooper@dot.state.fl.us
All,

The article linked below relates to the intersection design considered for S. Main St. and 16th Ave.


Thomas Hawkins
Strategies in the Pedestrian Plan: Remove all channelized right turns in 3 years

by STEVEN VANCE on OCTOBER 24, 2012 - 22 COMMENTS AND 23 REACTIONS
A right-turn channelization from southbound Kedzie Avenue to northbound Milwaukee Avenue. From 2005-2011 there were 7 pedestrian crashes (including a fatal hit-and-run crash in 2009) and 4 bicycle crashes. The crash data do not allow me to relate any of them to a specific hazard at this location.

The groundbreaking Chicago Pedestrian Plan says goodbye to this pedestrian safety hazard. I can’t wait to say goodbye to the right-turn channelization on northbound Elston Avenue at Ashland Avenue (why? one, two, three).

**Goal: Improve non-standard intersections**

You’ll find the right-turn channelization (characterized by the presence of an additional crosswalk and often a concrete island) most often at intersections with diagonal streets. The Chicago Pedestrian Plan, in Goal 8 of the “Connectivity” chapter, will “remove all channelized right turn lanes by 2015”. This is an excellent idea because it reduces crossing distance, reduces car travel speeds (which is the determining factor of an injurious or fatal crash), and reduces the likelihood of a right-angle (t-bone) crash. Download the Chicago Pedestrian Plan.
While right-turn channelizations are mainly a pedestrian safety issue, they have adverse consequences for bicyclists as well. Where Elston meets Ashland, there is a paint-only right-turn channelization that allows drivers to turn right across a through-bicyclist’s path (which is illegal in addition to being dangerous, municipal code 9-16-020).

This seems to conflicts with the Mid Term Action item above it, “Remove channelized right-turn lanes intersect at acute angles”. There are some right-angle intersections with right-turn lane channelizations. For example, westbound Adams Street at the Kennedy Expressway, or its complement, the left-turn channelization on eastbound Jackson Boulevard at the Kennedy Expressway. While these two examples will be investigated because of Goal 10, Improve expressway entrances and exits, the milestones and action items there are not as strong as decisive as “remove all channelized right turn lanes by 2015”.

But the intersection of Harrison and Halsted Streets isn’t covered there: it has two right-turn channelizations (on the north corners) right outside of the University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC) where thousands of students and others cross daily to reach 8/Halsted buses and the Blue Line station. At this intersection, there were 2 pedestrian and 4 bicycle crashes from 2005-2011. Another disadvantage of the right-turn channelization is that it disallows drivers moving through it from seeing bicyclists approaching in that direction. I suspected that pedestrian crashes would be higher but perhaps the high level of pedestrian traffic in turn makes for safer driving. At this particular intersection,
pedestrians and drivers become a nuisance to each other: pedestrians cross against the crosswalk signal and drivers block the crosswalk, waiting for a break in traffic into which they can make a legal right-turn on red.

View Turn lanes and the Chicago Pedestrian Plan in a larger map in which I’ve marked the intersections in this article as well as intersections with right-turn lane channelizations that I believe would be eliminated based on the action item in Connectivity Goal 8, improve non-standard intersections. Notice that the crosswalk across the Jackson Boulevard turn lane into the Kennedy Expressway is 60 feet long. 60 feet to cross a single lane of traffic. Lanes are normally 9-14 feet wide.

The Chicago Pedestrian Plan doesn’t directly address wide-radius intersections, like Roosevelt Road and Halsted Street (the scene of 13 pedestrian and 7 bicycle crashes from 2005-2011), that enables (encourages) drivers to turn right at high speeds, who, when braking for a pedestrian in the crosswalk, would have to decelerate more quickly than someone traveling slower at a smaller-radius right-turn. This example is likely addressed with Goal 10, Improve expressway entrances and exits, of which the intersection Roosevelt Road and Halsted Street is not.
View Roosevelt and Halsted in a larger map. Note the distinct difference in turning radii of the northern and southern corners. See more discussion on strategies in the Chicago Pedestrian Plan.

THE SIX WAY INTERSECTION

Chicago has a number of diagonal streets (Ashland Avenue, Halsted Avenue, Lincoln Avenue, Diversey Avenue, and Euclid Avenue) that intersect at one point with both a north-south street and an east-west street. These six way intersections create a number of challenges for pedestrians and vehicles. They require pedestrians to cross long distances to get from one side of the intersection to the other.

There are a number of improvements that can be made to these types of intersections to reduce the crossing distance and improve the connectivity in the neighborhood. The graphic to the right shows a typical six way intersection where a diagonal street intersects two arterial roadways. On the following page a number of modifications have been made. These include:

- removing the channelized right turn lane
- reducing turning radii
- providing turn at the intersection
- providing crosswalks for all movements
The six-way intersection before improvements, page 70, in the Chicago Pedestrian Plan. The text mentions removing right-turn lane channelizations, but the graphic doesn’t show it.

The six-way intersection after improvements, page 71, in the Chicago Pedestrian Plan. Crosswalks for all movements have been added; at some intersections, like Damen-North-Milwaukee, people cross where there is no crosswalk. This drawing shows crosswalks in exactly those places.
Banning Right-Turn-on-Red within the city limits, as is the case in New York City, would also lead to fewer pedestrian-vehicle crashes.

I am also in favor of this, but am wary of how many motorists would simply ignore the law.

I haven't read every word of the plan yet, but I flipped through it a few times trying to find the really revolutionary (infrastructure) stuff. I'm going to double check if "right turn on red" is mentioned in the plan. I advocate for it. We have a pretty heavy ban as-is, at nearly all six-way intersections, and at non-six-way intersections (based mostly on traffic flows and speeds, not pedestrian safety concerns, in my opinion).
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Transportation Alternatives Applications

JOINT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board, Citizens Advisory Committee and staff recommend that the following two Transportation Alternative project applications be prepared and submitted by City of Gainesville staff to the Florida Department of Transportation by March 29, 2013. Please note that the Technical Advisory Committee did not have a quorum at its February 20, 2013 meeting.

Priority #1- NW 45th Avenue from NW 13th Street to NW 6th Street- construct American with Disabilities Act-compliant sidewalk; and

Priority #2- SW 27th Street/SW 40th Place/SW 25th Terrace from SW 35th Terrace to Williston Road- construct American with Disabilities Act-compliant sidewalk.

BACKGROUND

The Florida Department of Transportation is soliciting applications for the Transportation Alternatives Program (that replaces the Transportation Enhancement Program). In Exhibit 1, the Florida Department of Transportation is requesting two project applications by March 29, 2013. Also in Exhibit 1, the Florida Department of Transportation states that the “Safe Routes to School Program” has a separate application and that, because of the extensive nature of this application, “an additional year may be needed before a Safe Routes to School project can be programmed.” The following exhibits are included to assist in selecting the two project applications that will be submitted this year-

Exhibit 1- Florida Department of Transportation January 23, 2013 letter
Exhibit 2- Application Form
Exhibit 3- Year 2035 Bicycle/Pedestrian Cost Feasible Plan
Exhibit 4- Current List of Priority Projects- Bicycle/Pedestrian Enhancement Funded Priorities
Exhibit 5- Current List of Priority Projects- Safe Routes to School Funded Priorities
Exhibit 6- Draft List of Priority Projects- Bicycle/Pedestrian Transportation Alternatives Project Funded Priorities
January 23, 2013

Gainesville MTPO: Sent via e-mail

Dear Mr. Sanderson,

The Florida Department of Transportation is soliciting project applications for the Transportation Alternatives Program for the Work Program cycle for Fiscal Year 2019. The Transportation Alternatives Program was created in 2012 under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act or MAP-21. The Transportation Alternatives Program replaces the Transportation Enhancement Program of prior years. The application form is attached.

Eligible Projects: The following types of projects are eligible for Transportation Alternatives funding:

- Provision of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming techniques, lighting and other safety-related infrastructure, or transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- The provision of safe routes for non-drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs.
- Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-motorized transportation users.
- Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas.
- Inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising.
- Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation structures.
- Vegetation management in transportation rights-of-way to improve roadway safety, prevent against invasive species, and provide erosion control.
- Environmental mitigation activity to address stormwater management, control, and water pollution prevention or abatement related to highway construction or due to highway runoff.
- Reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats.
- The Safe Routes to School Program – A separate application form must be filled out and included with the Transportation Alternatives application. Because of the extensive nature of the Safe Routes to School application, an additional year may be needed before a Safe Routes to School project can be programmed.

The Department receives an annual allocation of approximately $5,000,000 in enhancement funds to be disbursed among the 18 counties that make up District Two. In this solicitation cycle, the Department is asking for a maximum of two (2) projects in addition to any Safe Routes to School project applications to be submitted within the Gainesville Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) boundary. Please prioritize these projects when submitted. The Department also sent a separate solicitation letter to Alachua County requesting a maximum of two (2) projects outside the MTPO boundary.
For Alachua County, the following Transportation Alternatives Projects are already in the tentative FY 2013 - FY2018 work program and do NOT need a new application:

- 4247671 Sidewalk SR 121 (NW 34th St) from Northside Park to US 441
- 4273271 Sidewalk NE 19th Place from NE 9th St to NE 15th St
- 4288931 Sidewalk City of Archer, SR 24 from SW 169th Dr to SW 73rd Ct
- 4288941 Bike Lane/Sidewalk City of Newberry, NW 8th Ave-SW 20th St, various sidewalks connecting schools and trailhead
- 4288951 Bike Lane/Sidewalk SW 8th Ave from 91st Street to SW 122nd Street
- 4288961 Bike Lane/Sidewalk UF Campus Greenway from SW 34th Street to Gale Lemmerand Drive
- 4290291 Bike Path/Trail Archer Braid Trail from SR 24 (Archer Rd) to Kanapaha Park
- 4305131 Sidewalk Town of Lacrosse, SR 121 from NW 202 Pl to CSX Railroad
- 4306141 Bike Lane/Sidewalk UF Campus Greenway from Gale Lemmerand Drive to Archer Road
- 4322401 Bike Lane/Sidewalk Hawthorne, SE 221st from Trailhead to SR 20
- 4322411 Sidewalk SR 200 (US 301) from end of exist sidewalk to NE 177th Pl
- 4322421 Bike Path/Trail UF Campus Greenway, Hull Road from parking lot to US 441
- 4322551 Sidewalk Micanopy, Cholokka Blvd from end of existing sidewalk to US 441
- 4333571 Sidewalk SW 170th St from S, of SW 147th Ave to SW 128th Pl

Please note that projects that were previously applied for but were not programmed will need to be requested again if the project is still desired.

VERY IMPORTANT!!! – If ALL the Right-of-Way necessary to construct the project is not currently in public ownership, please do not submit an application until you speak with us.

The "Certification of Project Sponsor" on the last page of the application must be filled out and signed before a project will be programmed. Once an application is received it will be evaluated for constructability, financial feasibility, and prioritized. If the project is programmed the local agency will be notified and the project added to the Tentative 5-Year Work Program. If the project is not programmed but remains a priority with the local agency, then the project will need to be requested in the next solicitation cycle.

Please submit separate projects on separate application forms. Submit completed applications to me no later than March 29, 2013. The application may be sent by email or regular mail at the address below.

If you have any questions or comments or need further clarification, please call me at (386) 961-7878 or (800) 749-2967, Extension 7878.

Sincerely,

Barney Bennette
Transportation Alternatives Coordinator
Florida Department of Transportation, District 2
1109 S. Marion Avenue
Mail Station 2014
Lake City, FL 32025-5874
email: barney.bennette@dot.state.fl.us.
Project Title: 

Project Sponsor (name of city, county, state, federal agency, or MPO): 

Contact Title Agency 

Address 

Phone Email 

Priority (relative to other applications submitted by the Project Sponsor) 

Name of Applicant (If other than contact person) 

1. Qualifying Transportation Alternatives Activities: 

Check the Transportation Alternatives activity that the proposed project will address. (Check all that apply). 

☐ Provision of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming techniques, lighting and other safety-related infrastructure, or transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. 

☐ The provision of safe routes for non-drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs. 

☐ Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-motorized transportation users. 

☐ Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas. 

☐ Inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising. 

☐ Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation structures. 

☐ Vegetation management in transportation rights-of-way to improve roadway safety, prevent against invasive species, and provide erosion control. 

☐ Environmental mitigation activity to address stormwater management, control, and water pollution prevention or abatement related to highway construction or due to highway runoff. 

☐ Reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats. 

☐ Safe Routes to School Project - A separate SRTS application must be filled out and submitted with this application. Because of the extensive nature of the SRTS application, an additional year may be needed before an SRTS project can be programmed.
2. Project Description:
Use additional sheets as necessary to respond to the following:

(a) Provide a clear and concise detailed description of the Transportation Alternatives project. For sidewalks and multiuse paths, include the preferred construction material, (ie. concrete or asphalt surface). Describe where the project is located, the beginning and ending termini and approximate length. For sidewalks and bike paths that parallel roads, include which side of the road it is proposed and any unique or special features such as boardwalks or bridges. Include a location map if possible.
(b) What project phases are proposed to be funded with Transportation Alternatives funds? (Do not include work that is already complete or will be funded by other means. Check all that apply)

☐ Planning Studies and Activities
☐ Project Development and Environmental Studies
☐ Engineering and Final Plans Preparation Work
☐ Right of Way Acquisition
☐ Construction
☐ Construction Engineering and Inspection Activities

(c) Describe any related project work phases that are already complete or currently underway, such as planning studies, master plans, PD&E studies, engineering, surveying or plans preparation. Provide copies of this information if available

(d) Describe the project's existing right of way ownerships. This description shall identify who owns the right of way, when the right of way was acquired (if known) and how ownership is documented (i.e. plats, deeds, prescriptions, certified surveys). Also describe if any additional right of way is required, and who will acquire and retain ownership of proposed right of way.

(e) Summarize any special characteristics of the project and provide any other specific project information that should be considered.

3. Project Implementation Information (attach extra sheets if needed):

(a) Describe the proposed method of performing (i.e. contract or in-house) and administering (i.e. Local Agency or FDOT) each work phase of the project. If it is proposed that the project be administered by a governmental entity other than the Department of Transportation, the agency must be certified to administer Federal Aid projects in accordance with the department’s Local Agency Program Manual (topic no. 525-010-300).

(b) Describe any public support of the proposed project. (Examples include: written endorsement, formal declaration, resolution, financial donations or other appropriate means).

(c) Describe the proposed ownership and maintenance for the project when it is completed.

(d) Matching local funds are not required, but if matching local funds are to be used, describe source of matching funds and any restrictions on availability.

(e) Other specific implementation information that should be considered.
4. Project Cost:

What is the total estimated cost of the work requested to be funded as an Transportation Alternatives project through this application?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning Activities</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Development and Environmental Studies.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and Final Plans Preparation Work.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Acquisition.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Engineering and Inspection Activities.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other. (Describe)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $0.00

If local matching funds are proposed, how much will be funded by FDOT and how much by local funds?

FDOT Alternatives Funds $0.00 + Local Funds $0.00 = Total $0.00

CERTIFICATION OF PROJECT SPONSOR

I hereby certify that the proposed project herein described is supported by __________________________, (municipal, county, state, federal agency, or MPO) and that said entity will:

1. enter into a maintenance agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation;
2. comply with the Federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Acquisition Policies Act for any Right of Way actions required for the project, and
3. support other actions necessary to fully implement the proposed project.

I further certify that the estimated costs included herein are reasonable and understand that significant increases in these costs could cause the project to be removed from the Florida Department of Transportation work program.

This project will be administered by (check only one):

☐ The applicant or sponsor using the department's Local Agency Program, or
☐ The Florida Department of Transportation

__________________________________________  ______________________________________
Name (please type or print)  Title

__________________________________________  ______________________________________
Signature  Date
EXHIBIT 3

Year 2035
Bicycle/Pedestrian
Cost Feasible Plan

Gainesville Metropolitan Area Boundary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Feasible Segments
Butler Plaza North
Cost Feasible Segments
Privately-owned parcels
Publicly-owned parcels

North Central Florida
Regional Planning Council
### Table 1A
**Bicycle/Pedestrian Priorities - Enhancement Fund**  
**Fiscal Years 2013-14 to 2017-18**  
*(within the Gainesville Metropolitan Area)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 Partially Funded | **UF Cross Campus Trail**  
(part of Archer Braid*) | **FM**: Gale Lemerand Drive  
**TO**: Archer Road  
**[SR 24]** | Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail |
| 2 | **Hull Road Parking Area**  
(part of the Archer Braid*) | **FM**: End of Hull Road  
Parking Area  
**TO**: SW 34 Street  
**[SR 121]** | Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail |
| 3 | **Hull Road Connector**  
(part of the Bivens Braid*) | **FM**: SW 20 Avenue  
**TO**: End of Hull Road  
Parking Area | Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail |
| 4 | **Lake Kanapaha Trail** | **FM**: Tower Road  
**TO**: Interstate 75 | Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail |
| 5 | **SW 34 Street Grade-Separated Crossing**  
(part of the Archer Braid*) | **AT**: SW 34 Street  
**[SR 121]** | Construct bicycle/pedestrian grade-separated crossing |
| 6 | **SW 43 Street** | **FM**: W University Avenue  
**TO**: SW 20 Avenue | Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk |
| 7 | **NW 3 Street** | **FM**: E 9 Street  
**TO**: Waldo Road  
**[SR 24]** | Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk |
| 8 | **E University Avenue**  
[SJR 26] | **FM**: E 9 Street  
**TO**: Waldo Road  
**[SR 24]** | Pedestrian refuge islands |
| 9 | **Bus Stop Upgrades**  
[SJR 26] | **AT**: RTS Systemwide | Construct bus stops and sidewalk connections |

Note: Projects in italic text are partially funded, as shown in the Transportation Improvement Program.

*2004 Alachua Countywide Bicycle Master Plan Addendum*

**ADA** = Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990  
**E** = East  
**FM** = From  
**NW** = Northwest  
**RTS** = Regional Transit System  
**SW** = Southwest  
**UF** = University of Florida  
**W** = West

Initial Enhancement Priorities were developed by the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NE 8 Avenue</td>
<td>FM: NE 25 Street TO: 2800 Block</td>
<td>Construct pedestrian access to the Horizon Center/Lofton School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NW 23 Avenue / NW 16 Boulevard / NW 16 Avenue Corridor</td>
<td>FM: NW 57 Terrace TO: NW 13 Street</td>
<td>Widen sidewalks to accommodate multimodal use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Norton Trail</td>
<td>FM: NW 39 Avenue TO: NW 45 Avenue</td>
<td>Construct pedestrian/bicycle access to Norton Elementary School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Projects in italic text are partially funded, as shown in the Transportation Improvement Program.

FM = From  
NE = Northeast  
NW = Northwest

* Kindergarten through 8-Grade within a 2-mile radius of a school campus

Initial Safe Routes to School Priorities were developed by the Alachua County Traffic Safety Team.
A. Bicycle/Pedestrian Priorities

Table 1A identifies Transportation Alternatives Project-funded bicycle/pedestrian project priorities for the Fiscal Years 2014-15 to 2018-19 Transportation Improvement Program. Table 1B identifies Alachua County-maintained facility bicycle/pedestrian project priorities. Table 1C identifies City of Gainesville-maintained facility bicycle/pedestrian project priorities.

### Table 1A

**Bicycle/Pedestrian Priorities - Transportation Alternatives Project Fund**  
**Fiscal Years 2014-15 to 2018-19**  
*(within the Gainesville Metropolitan Area)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hull Road Connector*</td>
<td>FM: SW 20 Avenue TO: End of Parking Area</td>
<td>Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lake Kanapaha Trail</td>
<td>FM: Tower Road TO: Interstate 75</td>
<td>Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NW 45 Avenue</td>
<td>FM: NW 13 Street TO: NW 6 Street</td>
<td>Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SW 27 Street/SW 40 Place/SW 25 Terrace</td>
<td>FM: SW 35 Terrace TO: Williston Road</td>
<td>Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E University Avenue [SR 26]</td>
<td>FM: E 9 Street TO: Waldo Road [SR 24]</td>
<td>Pedestrian refuge islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Norton Elementary Trail</td>
<td>FM: NW 39 Avenue TO NW 45 Avenue</td>
<td>Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NE 15 Street</td>
<td>FM: NE 12 Avenue TO NE 16 Avenue</td>
<td>Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NW 2 Street</td>
<td>FM: NW 10 Avenue TO NW 14 Avenue</td>
<td>Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bus Stop Upgrades</td>
<td>AT: RTS Systemwide</td>
<td>Construct bus stops and sidewalk connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SW 40 Boulevard/ SW 47 Avenue</td>
<td>FM: Archer Road TO SW 34 Street</td>
<td>Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>E 10 Street</td>
<td>FM: Depot Avenue Trail TO: NE 3 Avenue</td>
<td>Construct bicycle/pedestrian trail; add refuge island at NE 3 Avenue/Waldo Road intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SW 43 Street</td>
<td>FM: SW 40 Boulevard TO: SW 20 Avenue</td>
<td>Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>NW 3 Street</td>
<td>FM: W University Avenue TO: NW 8 Avenue</td>
<td>Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SW 34 Street Grade-Separated Crossing*</td>
<td>AT: SW 34 Street [SR 121]</td>
<td>Construct bicycle/pedestrian grade-separated crossing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Projects in italic text are partially funded, as shown in the Transportation Improvement Program.

*2004 Alachua Countywide Bicycle Master Plan Addendum- Archer Braid projects

**Initial Enhancement Priorities** were developed by the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board.
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Draft Transportation Policy Manual

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area repeal the currently adopted Urban Design Policy Manual enclosed as Exhibit 1.

JOINT RECOMMENDATION

The Citizens Advisory Committee, Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Board and staff all recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area “replace” the currently adopted Urban Design Policy Manual with the draft Transportation Policy Manual enclosed as Exhibit 2.

BACKGROUND

Over the years, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area has adopted urban design/planning policies for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area. These policies establish design standards that are expected to be consistently applied in both the City of Gainesville and unincorporated portions of Alachua County. For example, one adopted policy is to require mast arm traffic signals that are painted black with horizontal signal heads. Over the years, these design/planning policies have been incorporated into the document entitled Urban Design Policy Manual (see website address above).

A review of this Manual indicates that it is out of date and some of the policies are not consistent with current policies of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), City of Gainesville and/or Alachua County. For example, Section 7.5 on page 19 states that “Future modifications of all signalized intersections within the GMA [Gainesville Metropolitan Area] should include the installation of traffic signal preemption system devices.” With the installation of the Gainesville/Alachua County Traffic Management System, these devices are no longer needed.
Alachua County staff and City of Gainesville staff have concluded that the *Urban Design Policy Manual* is no longer needed and that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area should repeal the Manual. According to Alachua County and the City of Gainesville staff, the City and County have their own respective project design standards, specifications and review procedures and that separate Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area design standards and project reviews are a duplication of project reviews conducted by Alachua County and the City of Gainesville.
February 25, 2013

TO: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

FROM: Marlie Sanderson, AICP, Director of Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Citizens Advisory Committee Vacant Position

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Agree to fill the Citizens Advisory Committee vacant position at the June 3rd meeting using the four applications that are currently on file, rather than publishing display advertisements in the Gainesville Sun and the Florida Alligator.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on December 3, 2012, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area approved a new policy concerning vacant positions on the Citizens Advisory Committee. The new policy eliminated the Citizens Advisory Committee “designate positions” that automatically filled vacant committee positions. In addition, the new policy has the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area filling all vacant positions on the advisory committees as they occur.

The Citizens Advisory Committee currently has one vacant position. The positions will be filled by the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area at its June 3, 2013 meeting. Currently, we have four applications on file for citizens interested in being appointed to this Committee. Staff needs direction from the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area as to whether to publish the attached Exhibit 1 display advertisements in the Gainesville Sun and the Florida Alligator at a cost of approximately $1,700 to solicit additional applications or to present the four applications on file at the June 3, 2013 meeting.
NOTICE
APPLICATION FOR CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION FOR THE GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area invites interested persons to apply for its Citizens Advisory Committee. The Citizens Advisory Committee reacts to planning proposals and provides comments with respect to the concerns of various segments of the population in regard to their transportation needs. The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area has also outlined additional functions of the Citizens Advisory Committee as defined in its rules. Citizens Advisory Committee members usually serve a three-year term.

At its June 3, 2013 meeting, the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area will make one appointment to fill a vacancy on its Citizens Advisory Committee.

The Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, composed of the Mayor and six City of Gainesville Commissioners and the five Alachua County Commissioners, is responsible for the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning program for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area.

Public participation is solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, age disability, familial status, religious status, marital status, sexual orientation or gender identity.

Applications and/or additional information may be obtained by writing or calling: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area, 2009 NW 67 Place, Gainesville, Florida 32653; telephone 352.955.2200; or from its website at www.ncfrcp.org/mtpo. Applications must be received no later than Monday, May 20, 2013.
### SCHEDULED 2013 MTPO AND COMMITTEE MEETING DATES AND TIMES

PLEASE NOTE: All of the dates and times shown in this table are subject to being changed during the year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MTPO MEETING MONTH</th>
<th>TAC [At 2:00 p.m.]</th>
<th>B/PAB [At 7:00 p.m.]</th>
<th>MTPO MEETING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>January 23</td>
<td>January 24</td>
<td>February 4 at 3:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>February 20</td>
<td>February 21</td>
<td>March 4 at 3:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>May 22</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>June 3 at 5:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>July 24</td>
<td>July 25</td>
<td>August 5 at 3:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>September 18</td>
<td>September 19</td>
<td>September 30 at 3:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>November 20</td>
<td>November 21</td>
<td>December 2 at 5:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note, unless otherwise scheduled:

1. Shaded boxes indicate the months that we may be able to cancel MTPO meetings if agenda items do not require a meeting and corresponding Advisory Committee meeting may also be cancelled;
2. TAC meetings are conducted at the Gainesville Regional Utilities (GRU) Administration general purpose meeting room;
3. CAC meetings are conducted in the Grace Knight conference room of the County Administration Building; and
4. MTPO meetings are conducted at the Jack Durrance Auditorium of the County Administration Building unless noted.
Use the QR Reader App on your smart phone to visit our website!

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area

2009 NW 67th Place, Gainesville, FL 32653

www.ncfrpc.org/mtpo